Lecture 10: Beyond Normal Operators

Ashwin Joy

Department of Physics, IIT Madras, Chennai - 600036

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

- Normal operators ($m{A}m{A}^\dagger = m{A}^\daggerm{A})$ are unitarily diagonalizable
- What can we say about other operators?

An operator **A** is diagonalizable if there exists an **S**, such that

$$oldsymbol{S}^{-1}oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{S} = oldsymbol{A}_{\mathsf{D}} = egin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots \ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots \ dots & dots & \ddots \ dots & dots & \ddots \ dots & dots & \ddots \ \end{pmatrix}$$

where the similarity operator ${\pmb S}$ is set up using the eigenkets of ${\pmb A}$

In what follows, we prove this!

Basis Transformation

Consider **A** in the standard basis, $\mathbb{B} = \{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, \dots, |e_N\rangle\}$ If there exists an eigenbasis of **A**, $\mathbb{V} = \{|v_2\rangle, |v_2\rangle, \dots, |v_N\rangle\}$ We can construct the transformation operator **S**,

$$|v_j\rangle = \sum_k S_{kj} |e_k\rangle$$
 with $|e_k\rangle = \sum_l S_{lk}^{-1} |v_l\rangle$
or, $\langle e_l | v_j \rangle = S_{lj}$... \mathbb{B} is orthonormal

Therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle e_1 | \boldsymbol{v}_1 \rangle & \langle e_1 | \boldsymbol{v}_2 \rangle & \dots \\ \langle e_2 | \boldsymbol{v}_1 \rangle & \langle e_2 | \boldsymbol{v}_2 \rangle & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots \\ | \boldsymbol{v}_1 \rangle & | \boldsymbol{v}_2 \rangle & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{timelate conducts}}$$

eigenkets as columns

Proof by Geometry

$$\mathbf{AS} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} & \dots \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots \\ |v_1\rangle & |v_2\rangle & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1 |v_1\rangle & \lambda_2 |v_2\rangle & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots \\ |v_1\rangle & |v_2\rangle & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{A}_{D}}$$
Therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathsf{D}}$$

Proof by Dirac Formalism

$$(\mathbf{AS})_{ij} = \sum_{l} \mathbf{A}_{il} \mathbf{S}_{lj}$$

$$= \sum_{l} \langle e_{i} | \mathbf{A} | e_{l} \rangle \langle e_{l} | v_{j} \rangle$$

$$= \langle e_{i} | \mathbf{A} | v_{j} \rangle \dots \text{ closure of } \mathbb{B}$$

$$= \langle e_{i} | v_{j} \rangle \lambda_{j} \dots \mathbf{A} | v_{j} \rangle = \lambda_{j} | v_{j} \rangle$$

$$= \mathbf{S}_{ij} \lambda_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{k} \mathbf{S}_{ik} \delta_{kj} \lambda_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k} \mathbf{S}_{ik} \mathbf{A}_{Dkj}$$

$$= (\mathbf{SA}_{D})_{ij}$$

yielding,

$$\boldsymbol{AS} = \boldsymbol{SA}_{\mathrm{D}} \implies \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{AS} = \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{D}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

For some A and B, there exists a similarity operator S, such that

$\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{S}=\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathsf{D}}$ and $\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}\boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{S}=\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathsf{D}}$

if and only if, $[\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}] = 0$

Proof

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Left as exercise, as it is similar to the case of Hermitian operators

Problem

Q. Consider the following operator in the standard basis

$$oldsymbol{A} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute the following

- 1. Tr (e^A)
- 2. det (*e*^{*A*})
- 3. **A**∞

Solution

From the characeteristic equation of A,

$$0 = \det (\mathbf{A} - a\mathbf{I}) = (1 - a)(0.5 - a)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

we get the eigenvalues, a = 1, 0.5

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix}}_{\textbf{A}-\textbf{I}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}}_{|v\rangle} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -0.5y \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{yielding } x = \text{arbitrary}, y = 0 \end{array}$$

We pick a normalized ket, $\ket{v_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 1\\0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{A} - 0.5\mathbf{I}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x\\y \end{bmatrix}}_{|v\rangle} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5x + y\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ yielding } x = -2y$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We pick a normalized ket, $|v_2\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} -2/\sqrt{5} & 1/\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T}$

Diagonalize **A**

Since $|\textit{v}_1\rangle$ and $|\textit{v}_2\rangle$ are linearly independent, we have

$$oldsymbol{S}^{-1}oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{S}=oldsymbol{A}_{\mathsf{D}}=egin{bmatrix}1&0\0&0.5\end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$m{S} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & -2/\sqrt{5} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}$$
 yielding, $m{S}^{-1} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & \sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix}$

Exponentiation to an arbitrary integer (n > 0) is super easy!

$$oldsymbol{A}^n = (oldsymbol{S}oldsymbol{A}_{ extsf{D}}oldsymbol{S}^{-1})^n = oldsymbol{S}oldsymbol{A}_{ extsf{D}}^noldsymbol{S}^{-1} = oldsymbol{S} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0.5^n \end{bmatrix}oldsymbol{S}^{-1}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \boldsymbol{A}^n = \boldsymbol{S} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ 三臣 - ∽ � � �

For a function of **A**, say

$$e^{\boldsymbol{A}} = e^{\boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{D}}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1}} = \boldsymbol{S}e^{\boldsymbol{A}_{\mathrm{D}}}\boldsymbol{S}^{-1} = \boldsymbol{S}\begin{bmatrix} e & 0\\ 0 & \sqrt{e} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{S}^{-1}$$

By the cyclicity of trace,

$${\sf Tr}\;(e^{m A})=e+\sqrt{e}$$

By the distributivity of determinant,

$$\det \ (e^{\pmb{A}}) = e^{3/2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙