

Dynamics of the very early universe: towards decoding its signature through primordial black hole abundance, dark matter, and gravitational waves

(Based on Phys.Rev.D **108** (2023) 6, 063523; Phys.Rev.D **109** (2024) 2, 023521; e-Print: 2403.16963; JCAP 07 (2024) 002 and e-Print: 2407.18246**)**

In collaboration with Suvashis Maity , Dr. Essodjolo Kpatcha, Dr. Nilanjandev Bhaumik, Prof. Yann Mambrini, Prof. L. Sriramkumar, and Prof. Debaprasad Maity.

> Md Riajul Haque, National Postdoctoral Fellow, Centre for Strings, Gravitation, and Cosmology, Department of Physics, IIT Madras, India

Outline of the talk

Motivation:

Observational difficulty in the early Universe and introduction to the reheating phase

Goal:

- Discuss the standard background dynamics of reheating: perturbative reheating.
- **◆ Possibilities of PBH reheating**
- $\bullet\bullet$ Comparison between monochromatic and the extended mass function.
- Particle production from a single BH and dark matter parameter space from evaporating BHs
- \bullet Decoding the early universe through Primary Gravitational waves (PGWs)
- v Constraining the reheating phase through scalar induced secondary gravitational waves with NANOGrav 15-year data.
- Quantum correction on Hawking evaporation and its effect on dark matter production

Conclusions

Observational challenges in probing the early Universe

v There is a massive gap in terms of energy (and time) scale between the periods of inflation and BBN, which is poorly understood from both theory and observation

Why do we need reheating phase?

\Box The end point of inflation

- **❖** The universe is cold, dark, and dominated by the homogeneous inflaton field.
- How does the Universe transition to a the hot, thermalized, radiationdominated state after inflation, which is required for nucleosynthesis.

Reheating!

 \Box Natural consequence after inflation: fill the empty space with matter (**generate entropy)**

Schematic diagram of the evolution of the comoving Hubble radius

 \triangle We need to understand how the modified expansion history influences the prediction for cosmological observables.

Perturbative Reheating: evolution of density components and equation of state parameter

• Assuming potential $V(\phi) \propto |\phi|^{2n}$, averaging over one oscillation we have $\langle \dot{\phi}^2 \rangle \simeq \langle \phi V'(\phi) \rangle$

$$
\rho_{\phi} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \langle \dot{\phi}^2 \rangle + \langle V(\phi) \rangle = (n+1) \langle V(\phi) \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\omega_{\phi} = \left(\frac{n-1}{n+1} \right) \longrightarrow \omega_{eff} = \left(\frac{3p_{\phi} + \rho_R}{3(\rho_{\phi} + \rho_R)} \right)
$$

Reheating: Some possible interactions between inflaton and radiation (s/f)

Figure: Feynmann diagram for all possible interactions between inflaton (Ф) and radiation (s/f)

$$
\Gamma_{s/f} = \begin{cases}\n\Gamma_{\phi \to ss} &= \frac{(g_1^r)^2}{8\pi m_\phi(t)} \left(1 + 2f_B(m_\phi/2T)\right), \qquad \text{for } g_1^r \phi s^2 \\
\Gamma_{\phi\phi \to ss} &= \frac{(g_2^r)^2 \rho_\phi(t)}{8\pi m_\phi^3(t)} \left(1 + 2f_B(m_\phi/T)\right), \qquad \text{for } g_2^r \phi^2 s^2 \\
\Gamma_{\phi \to \bar{f}f} &= \frac{(h^r)^2}{8\pi} m_\phi(t) \left(1 - 2f_F(m_\phi/2T)\right), \qquad \text{for } h^r \phi \bar{f}f\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma_{\phi\phi \to ss}^{gr} = \frac{\rho_\phi m_\phi}{1024\pi M_p^4} \left(1 + 2f_B(m_\phi/T)\right), \quad f_{B/F}(z) = \frac{1}{e^z \mp 1}
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma_{\phi\phi \to ff}^{gr} = \frac{\rho_\phi m_f^2}{4096\pi \pi M_p^4 m_\phi} \left(1 - 2f_F(m_\phi/T)\right),
$$

M. R. Haque and D. Maity [Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 4, 043531]. Y. Mambrini and K. A. Olive [Phys.Rev.D 103 (2021) 11, 115009].

PBH formation during reheating : possibilities

Q The production of PBHs from inflation usually requires the existence of a short period of *ultra-slowroll* that produces a peak in the primordial power spectrum of scalar curvature perturbations.

 \Box Perturbations that were generated during the late inflationary era can get resonantly amplified and collapse into black holes before the Universe is reheated. Depending on the reheating temperature, the PBH mass fraction can peak at different masses.

 \Box Bubble collision during phase transition and in principle that can happen during reheating.

Energy spectrum

Left: Energy spectrum of the emitting particles. Right: absorption cross-section in high energy limit

$$
Q_s(E,M_{\rm BH})\equiv\frac{{\rm d}^2N_s}{{\rm d}t{\rm d}E}=\frac{\Gamma_s}{e^{E/T_{\rm BH}}-(-1)^{2s}}\bigg|
$$

9

$$
\sigma_s \equiv \frac{\pi \Gamma_s}{E^2}, \quad \sigma_{\rm GO} \equiv \frac{27}{4} \pi r_{\rm S}^2
$$

A. Cheek, L. Heurtier, Y. F. Perez-Gonzalez and J. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022). A. Arbey and J. Auffinger, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 693 (2019).

Primordial Black Hole evaporation

 \Box The rate of change of the BH mass :

$$
\frac{dM_{\rm BH}}{dt} = -\sum_{j} \int_0^{\infty} E_j \frac{\partial^2 N_j}{\partial p \partial t} dp = -\epsilon (M_{\rm BH}) \frac{M_P^4}{M_{\rm BH}^2}
$$

 \Box The mass-dependent evaporation function. $\epsilon(M_{\rm BH})$: $\epsilon(M_{\rm BH}) = \sum g_j \epsilon_j(z_j)$

$$
E_j\,=\,\sqrt{m_j^2+p^2}\,\,,\ \ z_j\,=\,m_j/T_{\rm BH}
$$

 \Box Evaporation function for massless particles

$$
\epsilon_j(0) = \frac{27 \xi \pi g_j}{4 \ 480}
$$

and total evaporation function

$$
\epsilon = \frac{27}{4} \frac{g_*(T_{\rm BH})\pi}{480}
$$

Compare the evaporation function with the function to the to the geometric optics limit

A. Cheek, L. Heurtier, Y. F. Perez-Gonzalez and J. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022). A. Arbey and J. Auffinger, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 693 (2019).

Evolution of the PBHs

Dependency on the evolution of the PBHs

1. PBH mass distribution \vert 2. Formation mas

$$
f_\text{PBH}(M) = \delta(M - M_\text{in})
$$

$$
M_{\rm in} = \gamma M_H = \gamma \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{\rho(t_{\rm in})}{H^3(t_{\rm in})} = 4\pi \gamma \frac{M_P^2}{H(t_{\rm in})}
$$

 $\gamma = w^{3/2}$ \triangleleft Collapse efficiency : |

3. Total energy falls into BH at the point of formation

$$
\beta = \frac{\rho_{\rm BH}(t_{\rm in})}{\rho_{\rm tot}(t_{\rm in})}
$$

$$
\rho_{\rm tot} = \rho_{\phi} + \rho_R
$$

Restriction on the PBH parameters

 \Box Minimum allowed PBH mass bounded by the size of the horizon at the end of inflation

$$
M_{\rm in} \gtrsim H_{\rm end}^{-3} \rho_{\rm end} \sim \frac{M_P^3}{\sqrt{\rho_{\rm end}}} \simeq 1 {\rm g} = M_{\rm min}
$$

Maximum allowed mass can be calculated from the PBH mass variation with respect to time

$$
\frac{dM_{\rm BH}}{dt} = -\epsilon \frac{M_P^4}{M_{\rm BH}^2} \qquad t_{\rm ev} \simeq 1 \text{ s} \left(\frac{M_{\rm in}}{10^8 \text{ g}}\right)^3
$$

 \Box Allowed mass range for ultralight PBHs : $|1g \lesssim M_{\rm in} \lesssim 10^8 {\rm g}|$

 \Box Restriction on β : Induced gravitational waves (GWs) sourced by the density fluctuation due to the inhomogeneities of the PBH distribution is not in conflict with the BBN constraints on the effective number of relativistic species

$$
\beta < 1.1 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{w^{3/2}}{0.2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{M_{\rm in}}{10^4 \,\rm g} \right)^{-17/24}
$$

12

Reheating set up (with PBH)

 \Box Boltzmann equations :

$$
\dot{\rho}_{\phi} + 3H(1 + w_{\phi})\rho_{\phi} = -\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi} (1 + w_{\phi})
$$

$$
\dot{\rho}_{R} + 4H\rho_{R} = \Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}(1 + w_{\phi}) - \frac{\rho_{BH}}{M_{BH}}\frac{dM_{BH}}{dt}\theta(t - t_{in})\theta(t_{ev} - t)
$$

$$
\dot{\rho}_{BH} + 3H\rho_{BH} = \frac{\rho_{BH}}{M_{BH}}\frac{dM_{BH}}{dt}\theta(t - t_{in})\theta(t_{ev} - t)
$$

Q Friedman equation:
$$
\rho_{\phi} + \rho_{R} + \rho_{BH} = 3H^{2}M_{P}^{2}
$$

\n**Q** Mass reduction:
$$
\frac{dM_{BH}}{dt} = -\epsilon \frac{M_{P}^{4}}{M_{BH}^{2}}
$$

\n**Q** lifetime of the BH:
$$
t_{\text{ev}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{BH}} \quad \Gamma_{BH} = 3\epsilon \frac{M_{P}^{4}}{M_{in}^{3}}
$$

M. R. Haque, E. Kpatcha, D. Maity and Y. Mambrini, Phys.Rev.D **108** (2023) 6, 063523.

Evolution of the energy densities (with and without PBH)

14 M. R. Haque, E. Kpatcha, D. Maity and Y. Mambrini, Phys.Rev.D **108** (2023) 6, 063523.

PBH reheating (PBH domination)

 \Box Condition for the PBH domination :

$$
\beta_c \;=\; \left(\frac{\epsilon}{(1+w_\phi)2\pi\gamma}\right)^{\frac{2w_\phi}{1+w_\phi}} \left(\frac{M_P}{M_{\rm in}}\right)^{\frac{4w_\phi}{1+w_\phi}}
$$

 \Box PBH dominates reheating process

$$
\Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}(1+w_{\phi}) < -\frac{\rho_{\rm BH}}{M_{\rm BH}}\frac{dM_{\rm BH}}{dt}
$$

 \Box Reheating temperature :

$$
\Gamma_{\rm BH} = H \Rightarrow \rho_{\rm RH} = 3M_P^2 \Gamma_{\rm BH}^2
$$

$$
T_{\rm RH} = M_P \left(\frac{3\,\epsilon^2}{\alpha_T}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{M_P}{M_{\rm in}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Evolution of the normalized energy densities as a function of scale factor

PBH reheating (without PBH domination)

 \Box Condition for the PBH reheating :

$$
\beta^{n<7}~\gtrsim~\beta^{\phi}_{\rm crit}=\delta\times\left(\frac{y^2_{\phi}}{8\pi}\right)^{\frac{6w_{\phi}-2}{3-3w_{\phi}}}\left(\frac{M_P}{M_{\rm in}}\right)^{\frac{2-2w_{\phi}}{1+w_{\phi}}}\times\lambda^{\frac{3w_{\phi}-1}{3w_{\phi}+3}}\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{M_P^4}\right)^{\frac{6w_{\phi}-2}{3-3w_{\phi}}}
$$

16 M. R. Haque, E. Kpatcha, D. Maity and Y. Mambrini, Phys.Rev.D **108** (2023) 6, 063523.

PBH reheating (Case for the extended mass distribution)

 \Box PBH number density and energy density :

$$
n_{\rm BH}(t) = \int_0^\infty f_{\rm PBH}(M, t)dM,
$$

$$
\rho_{\rm BH}(t) = \int_0^\infty M f_{\rm PBH}(M, t)dM
$$

 \Box Conservation of the infinitesimal PBH comoving number density

$$
a^{3}(t)dn_{\rm BH} \equiv a^{3}f_{\rm PBH}(M,t)dM = a_{\rm in}^{3}f_{\rm PBH}(M_{i},t_{i})dM_{i}
$$

 \Box Friedmann Boltzmann equation for different energy components:

$$
\dot{\rho}_{\text{BH}} + 3H\rho_{\text{BH}} = \frac{a_{\text{in}}^3}{a^3} \int_{\widetilde{M}}^{\infty} \frac{dM}{dt} f_{\text{PBH}}(M_i, t_i) dM_i
$$

$$
\dot{\rho}_R + 4H\rho_R = \Gamma_{\phi}\rho_{\phi}(1 + w_{\phi}) - \frac{a_{\text{in}}^3}{a^3} \int_{\widetilde{M}}^{\infty} \frac{dM}{dt} f_{\text{PBH}}(M_i, t_i) dM_i
$$

A. Cheek, L. Heurtier, Y. F. Perez-Gonzalez, and J. Turner, (2022), arXiv:2212.03878 [hep-ph].

Extended Vs monochromatic mass distribution

 \Box Power-law mass function :

$$
f_{\rm PBH}(M_i, t_i) = \begin{cases} CM_i^{-\alpha}, & \text{for } M_{\rm min} \le M_i \le M_{\rm max} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \alpha = \frac{2 + 4w}{1 + w}
$$

B. J. Carr, Astrophys. J. **201**, 1 (1975).

M. R. Haque, E. Kpatcha, D. Maity and Y. Mambrini, Phys.Rev.D **108** (2023) 6, 063523.

Inflaton reheating vs PBH reheating

Reheating temperature as a function of initial BH mass

Evolution of the reheating temperature as function of β

M. R. Haque, E. Kpatcha, D. Maity and Y. Mambrini, Phys.Rev.D **108** (2023) 6, 063523.

Particle production from a single BH

The emission rate of a particle of species *j* : $\frac{d^2 N_j}{dt dE} = \frac{27}{4} \pi R_S^2 \times \frac{g_j}{2 \pi^2} \frac{E^2}{e^{\frac{E}{T_{\text{BH}}}} \pm 1}$

$$
R_S = \frac{M_{\rm BH}}{4\pi M_P^2} \qquad T_{\rm BH} = \frac{M_P^2}{M_{\rm BH}} \simeq 10^{13} \left(\frac{1 \text{g}}{M_{\rm in}}\right) \text{ GeV}
$$

 \Box If the mass of the emitting particles less than the BH temperature at its formation time

$$
N_j^{m_j < T_{\rm BH}^{\rm in}} = \int_{t_{\rm in}}^{t_{\rm ev}} \frac{dN_j}{dt} = \frac{15g_j\zeta(3)}{g_*\pi^4} \frac{M_{\rm in}^2}{M_P^2} \simeq 10^8 \left(\frac{M_{\rm in}}{1 \text{ g}}\right)^2
$$
\n
$$
\text{mass } m_j \; > \; T_{\rm BH}^{\rm in} : \quad \left[N_j^{m_j > T_{\rm BH}^{\rm in}} = \int_{t_j}^{t_{\rm ev}} \frac{dN_j}{dt} = \frac{15g_i\zeta(3)}{g_*\pi^4} \frac{M_P^2}{m_j^2} \simeq 10^{14} \left(\frac{10^{10} \text{GeV}}{m_j}\right)
$$

□ DM relic abundance of the species *j* today :

$$
\Omega_j h^2 = 1.6 \times 10^8 \frac{g_0}{g_{\rm RH}} \frac{N_j \times n_{\rm BH}(a_{\rm ev})}{T_{\rm RH}^3} \left(\frac{a_{\rm ev}}{a_{\rm RH}}\right)^3 \frac{m_j}{\rm GeV}
$$

Y. Mambrini, Particles in the dark Universe, Springer Ed., ISBN 978-3-030-78139-2 (2021)

 \Box For

DM parameter space: PBH reheating (PBH domination)

M. R. Haque, E. Kpatcha, D. Maity and Y. Mambrini, Phys.Rev.D **109** (2024) 2, 023521.

DM parameter space: PBH reheating vs Inflaton reheating

M. R. Haque, E. Kpatcha, D. Maity and Y. Mambrini, Phys.Rev.D **109** (2024) 2, 023521.

Decoding the phase of reheating through primary gravitational waves

$$
\text{• In the domain } k \gg kre: \ \Omega_{\scriptscriptstyle \text{GW}}(k) \, h^2 \simeq \Omega_{\scriptscriptstyle \text{R}} \, h^2 \, \frac{H_{\scriptscriptstyle \text{I}}^2}{12 \, \pi^2 M_{\scriptscriptstyle \text{Pl}}^2} \, \frac{4 \, \gamma^2}{\pi} \, \Gamma^2 \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\gamma} \right) \left(\frac{k}{2 \, \gamma \, k_{\scriptscriptstyle \text{re}}} \right)^{n_{\scriptscriptstyle \text{GW}}}
$$

• In the domain $k \ll kre \quad \Omega_{\text{gw}}(k) h^2 \simeq \left(\frac{g_{r,0}}{g_{r,\text{eq}}}\right)^{1/3} \Omega_{\text{R}} h^2 \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\text{T}}(k)}{24} \simeq \Omega_{\text{R}} h^2 \frac{H_{\text{T}}^2}{12 \pi^2 M_{\text{Pl}}^2}$ • Index of this spectrum : $n_{\text{gw}} = 1 - \frac{2\nu}{\gamma} = -\frac{2(1-3w_{\phi})}{1+3w_{\phi}}$

The possible probing range of different couplings between the inflaton and SM particles and PBH parameters considering PGWs

24 S. Maity, M. R. Haque, arXiv 247.18246..

Formation of primordial black holes (PBHs) during post-inflationary era

- * A schematic representation of the standard PBH formation scenario. The green line indicates the comoving scale of perturbations generated during inflation responsible for the PBH formation, much smaller than the CMB scales indicated in blue.
- $\cdot \cdot$ The amplitude of the perturbations on small scales required to forms PBHs.

Formation of the PBHs during reheating that the power spectrum rises as a control to peak as a condition of the peak and, beyond the peak and, it fall as *kn*⁰ with *n*⁰ *<* 0. We should point out that such power spectra arise in single-field models nearly scale invariant, as is the companies, as is required to fit the CMB data. On small scales, we shall assume the companies, we shall assume that \mathbb{R}^2 **that the power spectrum rises as** *k***₄ as** *k***₄ as** *k***₄ as** *k***₄ and, beyond the peak and, it falls the peak, it falls the p** as *kn*⁰ with *n*⁰ *<* 0. We should point out that such power spectra arise in single-field models Inflation of the **pperiod of the period of united period** \mathbf{r} power spectrum that with the south with ingelering that the power spectrum rises as *karmation* of the pRHs during reheating as **k**ⁿ0 matron of the *r* Dris auring reneating

nearly scale invariant, as is required to fit the CMB data. On small scales, we shall scales, we shall assume

We assume that the inflationary scalar power spectrum with a broken power law is given by power spectrum that with intervals with intervals with intervals with intervals \mathbf{r} ◆ We assume that the inflationary scalar power spectrum with a broken pow
 $\left(\begin{array}{ccc} k & \lambda^4 & k & k \end{array} \right)$ of inflation that permit a brief period of ultra slow roll \mathcal{I} is complete form of the scalar slow roll \mathcal{I} \bullet we assume that the initiationally scalar pow

, (2.8)

, (2.9)

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) = A_{\text{s}} \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_{\text{s}}-1} + A_0 \begin{cases} \left(\frac{k}{k_{\text{peak}}}\right)^4 & k \le k_{\text{peak}} \\ \left(\frac{k}{k_{\text{peak}}}\right)^{n_0} & k \ge k_{\text{peak}} \end{cases}
$$

where A_s and n_s are the amplitude and spectral index of the power spectrum at the CMB pivot scale of $k_* = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. is located and *A*⁰ represents the extent of enhancement of the power spectrum at the location

• We shall assume that the threshold value of the density contrast for the formation of PBHs is given by following analytical expression W_{α} abell example that the thus hald value of the density contrast for the formation of $\overline{\text{DI}}$ we shall assume that the uneshold value of the density contrast for the formation of Γ is given by following analytical expression ↓
• We shall assume that the threshold value of the density contrast for the formation of PBHs is given by following analytical expression generated, we shall assume the values of *A*^S and *n*^S to be as suggested by the recent Planck

$$
\delta_c^{\rm an} = \frac{3(1+w_{\rm re})}{5+3 w_{\rm re}} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi \sqrt{w_{\rm re}}}{1+3 w_{\rm re}}\right)
$$

is located and *A*⁰ represents the extent of enhancement of the power spectrum at the location

the critical value of the density contrast above which the overdense regions collapse to form

domination, the power spectrum, say, *P*(*k*), associated with the density contrast is related to

tion *P*() is a Gaussian function. During the phase of reheating or the epoch of radiation

epoch of radiation domination which follows reheating, since total energy density varies as

cold dark matter, i.e. *f*PBH = ⌦PBH */*⌦c. Note that the energy density of PBHs ⇢PBH always

where, in arriving at the final expression, we have assumed that the final expression, we have a stributed that the probability of the probability

◆ Fraction of the dark matter contributed from PBH today \bullet P_B \bullet fraction of the density that collapses to form PBHs (often referred to as the PBHs (often referred to as the PBHs (of \bullet **▼** Fraction of the dark matter contributed from PDH tod ◆ Fraction of the dark matter contributed from PBH today It is important to recognize that di↵erent models for the collapse of PBHs lead to di↵erent

<u>range</u>

$$
f_{\rm PBH}(M)=\beta(M)\,\frac{\Omega_{\rm m}\,h^2}{\Omega_{\rm c}\,h^2}\,\left(\frac{g_{\rm s,eq}}{g_{\rm s,re}}\right)\,\left(\frac{g_{\rm re}}{g_{\rm eq}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+w_{\rm re}}}\,\left(\frac{T_{\rm re}}{T_{\rm eq}}\right)^{\frac{1-3\,w_{\rm re}}{1+w_{\rm re}}}\,\left(\frac{M}{\gamma\,M_{\rm eq}}\right)^{-\frac{2\,w_{\rm re}}{1+w_{\rm re}}}
$$

^p² (*M*)

T. Harada, C.-M. Yoo, and K. Kohri, Phys. Rev. D 88, 084051 (2013). T. Harada, C.-M. Yoo, and K. Kohri, Phys. Rev. D 88, 084051 (2013). $\frac{13}{2}$. tion **P()** is a Gaussian function. During the phase of reduced or the phase of reduced or the epoch of radiation. During the epoch of radiation. The epoch of radiation of radiation ϵ reduced or the epoch or the epoch of T Harada, C_N Voo and K Kohri Phys Rey D 88 084051 (2013) 26 **a a** $\frac{1}{20}$ **a** $\frac{1}{2013}$.

data (10).
1970 - Paris Barbara, prima pendang pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangang panga
1970 - Paris Barbara, pangangan pangangan pangangan pangangan pangang pangang pangang pangang pangang

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Formation of the PBHs during reheating

 \bullet $f_{\rm PBH}$ is plotted as a function of M/M_{\odot} . For the left plot we fix $w_{\rm re} = (1/9, 1/3, 2/3)$ and for the middle plot we fix $w_{\text{re}} = (1/9, 2/3)$. For right panel $T_{re} = 50$ MeV.

$$
f_{\rm PBH}(M) \,\propto\, T_{\rm re}^{\frac{1-3\,w_{\rm re}}{1+w_{\rm re}}}\,M^{-\frac{2\,w_{\rm re}}{1+w_{\rm re}}}
$$

27 S. Maity, N. Bhaumik, M. R. Haque, D. Maity and L. Sriramkumar, arXiv 2403.16963.

Generation of scalar induced secondary GWs during the epoch of reheating

The dimensionless spectral energy density of primary and secondary GWs today have been plotted for a given reheating temperature and different values of the parameter describing the equation of state during reheating

Best-fit values

The best-fit values arrived upon comparison with the NANOGrav 15-year data.

L. Sriramkumar (CSGC, IIT Madras, Chennai, India) Decoding the physics of the early universe through GWs March 19, 2024 35 / 58

S. Maity, N. Bhaumik, M. R. Haque, D. Maity and L. Sriramkumar, arXiv 2403.16963.

Constraints on the epoch of reheating and secondary constraints on the spoch of reheating reduced by a

 \bullet we have plotted the marginalized posterior distributions of the parameters that have been arrived at upon comparing our model with the NANOGrav 15-year data.

28S. Maity, N. Bhaumik, Md. R. Haque, D. Maity and L. Sriramkumar, in preparation.

Spectrum of the secondary GWs and the formation of the PBHs with the best-fit values

Example Strate Secondary Strategy ↓ density of the secondary GWs today $\Omega_{\rm GW}$ (f) is plotted for a given reheating temperature and the best-fit values of the L. Sriramkumar (CSGC, IIT Madras, Chennai, India) Decoding the physics of the early universe through GWs March 19, 2024 37 / 58 parameters in the different models.

v The fraction of PBHs that constitute the dark matter density today is plotted for a given reheating temperature $T_{re} = 50$ MeV and the best-fit values of the parameters in the different models.

Bayesian evidence

- We obtain the marginalized likelihood in support of model Y and utilize it to evaluate the Bayesian factor against a reference model X. source of the stochastic GW background observed by the NANOGrav 15-year data, when \bullet we obtain the marginalized included in
- \cdot When $\delta_c = \delta_c$ ^{an} and $\delta_c = 1.5 \delta_c$ ^{an}, our comparison with the NANOGrav's 15-year data finds strong Bayesian evidence in favor of the scenario wherein PBHs are formed during reheating, resulting
contract the CLEAR of t in the generation of secondary GWs rather than the SMBHB model.

Bayesian evidence
Bayesian evidence
Bayesian evidence de la provincia evidence

Quantum correction on the evaporation of PBHs proposed that the quantum e↵ects begin to be important when *M*BH = (1*/*2)*M*in, or *q* = 1*/*2. **With the formation of PBHs** is the absolute and **in** with ⊿erem is the ⊿erem is the ⊿erem is the set of the se relativistic degrees of the theorem as social bath, which with the theorem assume $q \sim 1000$. proposed that the proposed that the condition of the section of the party of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M} **However, Suppressure is suppressure in the evanometer of a black detailed to the detailed modeling of a black** To keep our study as general as possible, let *t*^q be the time at the end of the semiclassical *CMB anisotropies, we use Ref. [52].*

purpose of our analysis, we can suppose that the semiclassical regime is valid until the semiconduction \mathcal{L}_max

P), and P **(ain) is the background radiation energy density and can be connected by and can be connected by**

*a*BBN*, t*BBN : Scale factor and time at BBN

2 Mass evolution of PBHs due to evaporation and electronic memory and electronic memory and electronic memory

into account the memory burden e $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

in the semiclassical approximation before considering the quantum e↵ect. The Hawking

✓ *M^P*

As a first step, we need to study the evaporation process of the black hole in detail, taking

In Eq. (2.4), represents the ecoesistic theory factor factor for collapse, which defines what fraction of the

burgen
Burgen und Stadt er siehen und der

the decay due to an excess of entropy, produced by its evaporation, surrounding the PBH. The PBH. The PBH. The

density calculated today (see the text for details). For the restriction from Extragalactic rays and

 $2N$. The above conclusions are under the assumption that the assumption that the PBHs radiate particles in a

However, such value is subjected to the detailed quantum mechanical modeling of a black hole.

Q During phase-I (standard Hawking evaporation) To keep our study as general as possible, let *t*^q be the time at the end of the semiclassical the total mass inside the Hubble radius collapses to form \mathbb{R}^n *standard Hawking evaporation)* 3+2*k*

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ we obtain

⇢*R*(*a*in)*/*(3*M*²

$$
\frac{dM_{\rm BH}}{dt} = -\epsilon \frac{M_P^4}{M_{\rm BH}^2}
$$
\n
$$
t_{\rm q} = \frac{1 - q^3}{\Gamma_{\rm BH}^0}, \quad \Gamma_{\rm BH}^0 = 3\epsilon M_P^4 / M_{\rm in}^3
$$

 \Box During phase-II (Memory burden phase) ring phase-II (Memory burden phase) is really abrupt, hence $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ and \mathbf{H} as an 'explosion'. The intervals of it as an 'explosion \Box During phase-II (Memory burden phase)

A. Alexandre, G. Dvali, and E. Koutsangelas, arXiv:2402.14069. M. R. Haque, S. Maity, D. Maity, and Y. Mambrini, JCAP 07 (2024) 002. –4– *and q is set to the value q* = 1*/*2*. We have chosen four values of k, where k* = 0*,* 1*,* 3*, and* 5 *are plotted* to be the current age of the universe, the lifetime of a PBH increases with a PBH increases with the lifetime of α and β

phase. Then, from Eq. (2.3) we obtain

Limits on the ultralight PBHs

v Different limits on the formation mass as a function of *k* are plotted here.

34

❖ Constraints on f_{PBH} for $k=2$ is plotted here.

Modified DM parameter space for evaporating PBHs panel: *We have structure of the PBH dominance scenario* **divide lines represent the maximum in** *deported to be consistent with the BBN bound.* **Letter with the BBN bound. blue, and mage chosen, respectively. Right panels:** *Right panels: Right panels: 0 and plotted for the plott di*↵*erent values of q* = 0*.*1*,* 0*.*5*, and* 0*.*9*, illustrated in blue, green, and magenta, respectively. dashed lines represent the maximum M*in *values allowed to be consistent with the BBN bound.* Left panel: *We have chosen q* = 1*/*2 *and plotted for three di*↵*erent values of k* = 0*,* 1*, and* 2*, shown in blue, green, and magenta, respectively.* Right panel: *We have chosen k* = 0 *and plotted for three* **dialyier values of** *q* **= 0.07**, **dialyiers of all and magenta, respectively. The mage of all and magenta, respectively. The mage of all and magnetic set of** \blacksquare

of inflation. The shaded regions correspond to dark matter overproduction, ⌦*^j h*² *>* 0*.*12*. The vertical*

The value of the dark matter mass is plotted here as a function of the formation mass of PBHs for the 0*.*12 = 1*.*⁶⁴ ⇥ ¹⁰⁴³ ⇠ *^g^j* 2*k*(3 + 2*k*) case where the evaporation happens during PBH domination. matter mass is plotted here as a function of the formation mass of PRHs for the where implying the extint commutation. in the shaded regions, for divideo *pp. <i>k* and *lemination* vaporation happens during r DTT domination.

in the shaded regions, for di↵erent values of *k* and *q* = 1*/*2 (on the left) or *k* = 1 and

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S})$, and for large mass, when the time of all $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S})$, when the time of all $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S})$

masses, corresponding to *m^j* . *T*in

DM from the evaporating as well as stable PBHs for ultralight PBHs

v The critical values of β corresponding to the total dark matter density are plotted in brown as a function of PBH mass when the dark matter is emitted from the evaporation of PBHs before BBN. The black dashed lines correspond to the critical β when the stable PBHs contribute to the total dark matter energy density.

Dark matter from the stable PBHs with Hawking $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ **evaporation (phase-I) before BBN** *g*q *T*3(*a*q) which we assume has the same value⁴ as *g*ev. The ratio *n^j* (*a*q)*/T*3(*a*q) is given by⁵

⌦*jh*² = 1*.*⁶ ⇥ ¹⁰⁸ *^g*⁰

⌦PBH *^h*² = 1*.*⁶ ⇥ ¹⁰⁸ *^g*⁰

*g*q

*T*3(*a*q)

GeV *,* (3.30)

GeV *.* (3.35)

Dark matter mass as a function of the PBH mass taking into account the contribution from both the evaporation product and the stable PBHs. \blacksquare

by the relic abundance constraint in the plane (*M*in,), in three cases : without taking into account the burden e↵ect (*k* = 0), and with burden e↵ect for *k* = 1*,* 2 and 3 for *q* = 1*/*2 and

Conclusions

- **Q** PBHs *does not have* to dominate over the inflaton density to affect the reheating. Even if they remain subdominant, the continuous entropy injection through their decay can notably change the reheating process, especially for low inflaton couplings to the particles in the plasma. If PBHs dominate the background dynamics ($\beta > \beta_c$), the reheating process becomes insensitive to the inflaton and the PBH fraction *β*. Therefore, it is the PBH mass *Min* that solely controls the DM abundance as well as the reheating temperature T_{RH} .
- \Box We discuss in detail the reheating and DM parameter space in the background of the reheating phase dynamically obtained from two chief systems in the early Universe: the inflaton *ϕ* and the primordial black holes. The DM is assumed to be produced purely gravitationally from the PBH decay, not interacting with the thermal bath and the inflaton.
- \Box The observations by the PTAs and their possible implications for the stochastic GW background offer a wonderful opportunity to understand the physics operating over a wider range of scales in the early universe.
- \Box We compute the relic abundance of dark matter in the presence of Primordial Black Holes (PBHs) beyond the semiclassical approximation, which is assumed to suppress the black hole evaporation rate by the inverse power of its own entropy. We, include the possibility of populating the dark sector by the decay of PBHs to those fundamental particles, adding the contribution to stable PBH whose lifetime is extended due to the quantum corrections.

Thank You