Dynamics of Transients in galactic nuclei

NGC 205

Indian Institute of Technology Madras

28/01/2025

Karamveer Kaur

Technion, Israel

With: Nicholas Stone, Re'em Sari, Hagai Perets, Barak Rom



Nuclear Star Cluster

Extreme Stellar Densities $n_* \approx 10^6 \ pc^{-3}$



Extreme Stellar Densities $n_* \approx 10^6 \ pc^{-3}$



Nuclear Star Cluster

Massive Black hole





Extreme Stellar Densities $n_* \approx 10^6 \ pc^{-3}$

Breeding Ground of Transients!

Extreme-Mass Ratio Inspirals (EMRIs)





Low-frequency (mHz) Gravitational waves



Plunging radius $r_{plunge} = 4 R_s \approx 0.1 AU M_6$

Extreme-Mass Ratio Inspirals (EMRIs)





Low-frequency (mHz) Gravitational waves



Plunging radius $r_{plunge} = 4 R_s \approx 0.1 AU M_6$ $M_6 = M/10^6 M_{\odot}$

Tidal Disruption Events (TDEs)



idal disruption
adius
$$r_{tid} = R_* \left(\frac{M}{m_*}\right)^{1/3} \approx 0.5 AU M_6^{1/3}$$

Hills 1975, Rees 1988



Electromagnetic flare in radio, optical, X-rays



TDEs and their Hosts



~ 100 TDE candidates

- Preferred hosts are Post-starburst (E+A) galaxies
- Association with recently faded Active Galactic Nuclei AGNs (Wevers & French 2024)

Loss Cone Dynamics



Frank & Rees 76, Lightman & Shapiro 77, Cohn & Kulsrud 78, Amaro-Seoane 18



Radius of influence

$$r_h \approx \frac{GM}{\sigma^2} \approx 1 \, pc \, \sqrt{M_6}$$

From $M \propto \sigma^4$ relation





 $\Delta v \Rightarrow \Delta E, \Delta L$

 $\Delta E = v \,\Delta v$

Two-body scatterings Hyperbolic gravitational interactions

 $\Delta L = r \Delta v$





 $\Delta v \Rightarrow \Delta E, \Delta L$

 $\Delta L = r \Delta v$

 $\Delta E = v \Delta v$ *Pericentre*

Diffusion in *L* much faster than *E* for high ecc orbits.

Apocentre





2-Body Relaxation Time

$$T_{L} \sim T_{2b} r_{p} / a$$

$$T_{2b} \sim \frac{T_{kep}}{\ln \Lambda} \left(\frac{M}{m_{f}} \right)^{2} \frac{1}{N_{f}(a)} \simeq 1 \, Gyr \, M_{6}^{5/4} a_{1}^{\gamma - 3/2}$$

















2-Body Relaxation Time

$$T_{L} \sim T_{2b} r_{p} / a$$

$$T_{2b} \sim \frac{T_{kep}}{\ln \Lambda} \left(\frac{M}{m_{f}} \right)^{2} \frac{1}{N_{f}(a)} \simeq 1 \, Gyr \, M_{6}^{5/4} a_{1}^{\gamma - 3/2}$$



2-Body Relaxation Time

$$T_{L} \sim T_{2b} r_{p} / a$$

 $T_{2b} \sim \frac{T_{kep}}{\ln \Lambda} \left(\frac{M}{m_{f}} \right)^{2} \frac{1}{N_{f}(a)} \simeq 1 \, Gyr \, M_{6}^{5/4} a_{1}^{\gamma - 3/2}$

GW Inspiral Time

$$T_{gw} \sim \frac{R_s}{c} \frac{M}{m} \left(\frac{r_p}{R_s} \right)^{7/2} \left(\frac{a}{R_s} \right)^{1/2} \simeq 10^3 \, yr \, a_{-2}^{1/2} r_{p,-7}^{7/2} \, M_6^{7/4}$$



2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral





2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral



Advection in *E*



2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral



Advection in *E*



2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral





2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral





2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral





2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral





2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral





2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral





2-Body Relaxation & GW inspiral





2-Body Relaxation Time

$$T_L \sim T_{2b} r_p / a$$

 $T_{2b} \sim \frac{T_{kep}}{\ln \Lambda} \left(\frac{M}{m_f} \right)^2 \frac{1}{N_f(a)} \simeq 1 \, Gyr \, M_6^{5/4} a_1^{\gamma - 3/2}$

GW Inspiral Time

$$T_{gw} \sim \frac{R_s}{c} \frac{M}{m} \left(\frac{r_p}{R_s} \right)^{7/2} \left(\frac{a}{R_s} \right)^{1/2} \simeq 10^3 \, yr \, a_{-2}^{1/2} r_{p,-7}^{3/2} \, M_6^{7/2}$$

GW Loss Cone *r*_{p,gw}

$$r_{c} \sim 0.05 r_{h}$$

 $\overline{r_{gw}} \sim 100 R_s$

Kaur, Rom & Sari (2025)



Fokker-Planck Equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_p}{\partial r_p} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_r}{\partial r}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \frac{r}{2 T_{2\mathrm{b}}(r)} r_p \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial r_p}$$

Diffusive flux in r_p

$$\mathcal{F}_r = \frac{r\mathcal{N}}{T_{\rm gw}(r, r_p)}$$

Advective flux in *r*



Fokker-Planck Equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_p}{\partial r_p} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_r}{\partial r}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \frac{r}{2 T_{2\mathrm{b}}(r)} r_p \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial r_p}$$

Diffusive flux in r_p

$$\mathcal{F}_r = \frac{r\mathcal{N}}{T_{\rm gw}(r, r_p)}$$

Advective flux in *r*

Initial conditions need results from E relaxation!



Bahcall-Wolf (1976) profile from only diffusion in E

$$ho(a) \propto a^{-\gamma} \qquad \gamma = \frac{7}{4} \qquad Single species$$


Bahcall-Wolf (1976,77) profile from only diffusion in E

$$\rho(a) \propto a^{-\gamma}$$
 $\gamma = \frac{7}{4}$ Single species

Multiple Stellar populations:

Heavier BHs sink inwards and form steep profiles. Stars form shallower profiles.

$$\gamma_{bh} = \frac{7}{4} \qquad \gamma_* = \frac{3}{2}$$

Mass segregation



Bahcall-Wolf (1976) profile from only diffusion in *E*

$$\rho(a) \propto a^{-\gamma}$$
 $\gamma = \frac{7}{4}$ Single species

Multiple Stellar populations:

Heavier BHs sink inwards and form steep profiles. Stars form shallower profiles.

$$\gamma_{bh} = \frac{7}{4} \qquad \gamma_* = -$$

 $y_{bb} = 2 - 2.5$

Mass segregation Bahcall & Wolf (1977)

Strong mass segregation Alexander & Hopman (2009)

Loss cones in Spherical <u>NSC</u>



Fokker-Planck Equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_p}{\partial r_p} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_r}{\partial r}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \frac{r}{2 T_{2\mathrm{b}}(r)} r_p \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial r_p}$$

Diffusive flux in r_p

$$\mathcal{F}_r = \frac{r\mathcal{N}}{T_{\rm gw}(r, r_p)}$$

Advective flux in r



Fokker-Planck Equation

$$\mathcal{N}_{i}(r, r_{p}) = \frac{2N_{0}}{r_{h}^{3-\gamma}} r^{1-\gamma} \frac{\ln(r_{p}/r_{p,\text{gw}}) + c_{0}}{\ln\Lambda_{0} + c_{0}}$$



Fokker-Planck Equation

$$\mathcal{N}_{i}(r, r_{p}) = \frac{2N_{0}}{r_{h}^{3-\gamma}} r^{1-\gamma} \frac{\ln(r_{p}/r_{p, \text{gw}}) + c_{0}}{\ln\Lambda_{0} + c_{0}}$$



Fokker-Planck Equation

$$\mathcal{N}_{i}(r, r_{p}) = \frac{2N_{0}}{r_{h}^{3-\gamma}} r^{1-\gamma} \frac{\ln(r_{p}/r_{p, gw}) + c_{0}}{\ln\Lambda_{0} + c_{0}}$$



Fokker-Planck Equation

Logarithmic Profile in r_p in diffusive regime

$$\mathcal{N}_{i}(r, r_{p}) = \frac{2N_{0}}{r_{h}^{3-\gamma}} r^{1-\gamma} \frac{\ln(r_{p}/r_{p,\text{gw}}) + c_{0}}{\ln\Lambda_{0} + c_{0}}$$



Cohn & Kulsrud 78

Fast diffusion in



Fokker-Planck Equation

Logarithmic Profile in r_p in diffusive regime

$$\mathcal{N}_{i}(r, r_{p}) = \frac{2N_{0}}{r_{h}^{3-\gamma}} r^{1-\gamma} \frac{\ln(r_{p}/r_{p, \text{gw}}) + c_{0}}{\ln\Lambda_{0} + c_{0}}$$

$$\frac{\text{TDE Rates}}{\dot{N} \sim \frac{N(r_h)}{\ln(1/l_{lc})T_{2B}(r_h)}}$$

$$\dot{N} \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1}$$

Magorrian & Tremaine 99 , Stone & Metzger 16, van Velzen+ 20

Advection in *E*



Fokker-Planck Equation

$$\mathcal{N}_{i}(r, r_{p}) = \frac{2N_{0}}{r_{h}^{3-\gamma}} r^{1-\gamma} \frac{\ln(r_{p}/r_{p,\text{gw}}) + c_{0}}{\ln\Lambda_{0} + c_{0}}$$

in L

diffusion

Fast

Kaur, Rom & Sari (2025)



Advection in *E*

Fokker-Planck Equation

Logarithmic Profile in r_p in diffusive regime

$$\mathcal{N}_{i}(r, r_{p}) = \frac{2N_{0}}{r_{h}^{3-\gamma}} r^{1-\gamma} \frac{\ln(r_{p}/r_{p, gw}) + c_{0}}{\ln\Lambda_{0} + c_{0}}$$

"Self-Similar nature"

New Coordinate

Л

$$x = \frac{r_p}{r_{p,gw}}$$

Proposed form of solution

$$f(r, r_p) = \frac{2N_0}{r_h^{3-\gamma}} \frac{r^{1-\gamma}}{\ln \Lambda_0 + c_0} g(x)$$



Reduced 1D Problem

$$2x^{11/2-2\gamma}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(x\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{g}{x^{2\gamma-3}}\right) = 0$$



Reduced 1D Problem

$$2x^{11/2-2\gamma}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(x\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{g}{x^{2\gamma-3}}\right) = 0$$

Asymptotic Solution for x >> 1

Asymptotic Solution for x << 1

$$g_i(x) = \log x + c_0$$

$$g_{\rm gw}(x) = 2c_1 x^{2\gamma - 3}$$



Advection in *E*



Advection in *E*







Advection in *E*



Almost Analytical EMRI rates

$$\Gamma = 290 \text{ Gyr}^{-1} \frac{A \, 1.9^{\frac{\gamma - 3/2}{3 - \gamma}} (f_{-3} \, m_1)^{\frac{3}{2(3 - \gamma)}}}{(3 - \gamma) \, M_6^{1/4} \, s_{-1}^{3/2}}$$

$$\Gamma = 200 - 2100 \mathrm{~Gyr}^{-1}$$

Amplification factor compared to analytics

$$A = \frac{c_1 \ln \Lambda_0}{\ln \Lambda_0 + c_0}$$



Almost Analytical EMRI rates

$$\Gamma = 290 \,\,\mathrm{Gyr}^{-1} \,\frac{A \, 1.9^{\frac{\gamma - 3/2}{3 - \gamma}} (f_{-3} \, m_1)^{\frac{3}{2(3 - \gamma)}}}{(3 - \gamma) \, M_6^{1/4} \, s_{-1}^{3/2}}$$

$$\Gamma = 200 - 2100 \ \mathrm{Gyr}^{-1}$$

- Thorough analytical recipe to be employed in an evolutionary set-up for detection rate estimates.
- To account for unrelaxed distribution, replenishing and depletion of BHs with time in NSCs.

Significance

 Our approach captures the effect of variable critical radius r_c, missing in earlier analytical approaches. Earlier time-depedent numerical Fokker-Planck methods also account for only fixed critical r_c (Broggi+2023).





Kaur, Rom & Sari 2025



Henon 1960 , Goodman 1983, Kaur & Perets 2024

 $\Delta v \sim v$

 $b_{90} \sim \frac{Gm}{v^2} \sim \frac{m}{M}r$



Close Encounters





 $b_{ej} \sim$

-e^b₉₀

 $\sim \frac{4 m}{M} a \gg b_{90}$





High impact parameter for ejection near periapse

Impact on EMRI rates



Kaur & Perets 2024

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{a_{\rm f}}{a}\right)^{\gamma-3/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{R}} \left(\mathcal{R}\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial \mathcal{R}}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial a} (|\dot{a}|\mathcal{N}) \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{R}} (|\dot{\mathcal{R}}|\mathcal{N}) - F_{\rm ej}(a, \mathcal{R})\mathcal{N}.$$

$$\langle \dot{P}_{\rm ej} \rangle \simeq \frac{1}{\ln \Lambda T_{\rm 2b}(a_{\rm f})} \sqrt{\frac{a}{a_{\rm f}}} \frac{r_0}{a_{\rm f}} \left(\frac{a_{\rm f}}{r_0} - \frac{a_{\rm f}}{2a} \right)^{\gamma}$$

Impact on EMRI rates





Impact on EMRI rates





EMRI rate suppression upto an order of magnitude!

Conclusions

- Our semi-analytical model provides a thorough recipe for evaluating transition radius r_c and EMRI rates in statistical calculations of EMRI detection rates.
- Strong scatterings can significantly suppress the EMRI rates and should be taken into account in future studies.
- Consistent studies accounting for 2D relaxation are essential for predicting accurate EMRI rates.







E+A Galaxies – merger scenarios

MBH binary

Eccentric Stellar Disks

Anisotropic velocity distribution

Steep density distribution

Karas & Subr 2007; Chen + 2009; Stone & Metzger 2016; Madigan et al. 2018

Wet gas-rich mergers can trigger AGN episodes!



E+*A Galaxies* – *merger scenarios*

MBH binary

Eccentric Stellar Disks

Anisotropic velocity distribution

Steep density distribution

Karas & Subr 2007; Chen + 2009; Stone & Metzger 2016; Madigan et al. 2018

• Non-Spherical systems



Kaur & Stone 2025

• Non-Spherical systems



Kaur & Stone 2025



Circulating Orbits

Non-Spherical systems



Kaur & Stone 2025





Circulating Orbits

• Non-Spherical systems



Kaur & Stone 2025









• Non-Spherical systems



Kaur & Stone 2025







• Non-Spherical systems



Kaur & Stone 2025







Filling the Loss Wedge



$$T_{2b} \sim \frac{T_{kep}}{\ln \Lambda} \left(\frac{M}{m_f} \right)^2 \frac{1}{N_f(a)} \simeq 1 \, Gyr \, M_6^{5/4} \, a_1^{\gamma - 3/2}$$

Only logarithmic enhancement in rates due to 2D nature of diffusion in *L* (Magorrian & Tremaine 1999, Vasiliev & Merritt 2013)

$$\dot{N}_{2b} \sim \frac{N(r_h)}{\ln(1/l_{lc})T_{2B}(r_h)}$$

 $l_{lc} \sim 10^{-3}$ $l_{lw} \sim \sqrt{\mu} \sim 0.1$
Filling the Loss Wedge



Filling the Loss Wedge



Growing Libration Island- DF tracking



Adiabatic Approach : (1) Actions remain conserved, except at separatrix crossing at μ_c .Henrard 1982(2) Distribution function is always conserved, even upon crossing.Sridhar & Touma 1996

Growing Libration Island- DF tracking



Adiabatic Approach : (1) DF evaluation at any time t. (2) Number of TDEs fallen into the loss cone by time t. => TDE rate.

Enhanced TDE rates



$$\langle \dot{N}_{\rm cl} \rangle = \frac{N_{\rm h}}{4T_{\rm Kep}(r_{\rm h})} \sqrt{\frac{r_{\rm tid}}{r_{\rm h}}} \mu_0^{\alpha-\beta+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}(\beta,\gamma)$$
$$= 0.8 \times 10^{-3} \,{\rm yr}^{-1} \, M_7^{7/15} \, \mu_{-1}^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}$$

Rate enhancement is most important for high M. and μ

Tenatative Support from observations:

- * High-amplitude IR flares in AGNs (van Velzen+24)
- * Preference of TDE host galaxies towards recently faded AGNs (Wevers & French 24).

Conclusions

- TDE rates per galaxy from standard channel agree with observed TDE rates on average ~10⁻⁴ – 10⁻⁵ yr⁻¹. But, it does not present the complete picture!
- TDEs prefer very special galaxy hosts E+A galaxies. The real TDE rates are inhomogeneous among galaxy types.
- Recent evidence of influence of presence of gas on TDE rates. Some of E+A galaxies are associated with recently faded AGNs. Rates as high as ~ 10⁻³ yr⁻¹ are possible.

What's next for loss cones?

- Transients (TDEs and EMRIs) in gas-rich nuclei effects of gas dynamical friciton, drag forces, thermal feedback to disk and its dissipation, GR effects and accouting for secular dynamics and 2-body scatterings in asymmetric system and more. What will be the observational signature of such TDEs?
- **Deeper surveys** like LSST in future; more TDEs and tracking down fertile environments in TDE host galaxies to check these theories. LISA will whisper in mHz in future telling about EMRI formation.
- Quasi-periodic eruptions (QPEs = EMRI + TDE) these can be early electromagnetic signatures of EMRIs. We need to testify the theory on this ground (Linial & Metzger 23).

What's next for loss cones?



 Quasi-periodic eruptions (QPEs = EMRI + TDE) – these can be early electromagnetic signatures of EMRIs. We need to testify the theory on this ground (Linial & Metzger 23).

What's next for loss cones? (cntd)

- Time-dependent evolution of rates important, while accoutning for star formation and depletion of sources for both TDEs and EMRIs. What are abundances and distribution of BHs in galactic nuclei? Important for even TDEs as BHs can be dominant strong scatterers. Currently, this question is not even settled at theoretical level weak vs strong segregation.
- Transients around intermediate mass black holes (IMBHs) with masses < 10⁵ M_{sun}. The role of full loss cones for EMRI rates and more recent Cliff-hanger EMRIs. How will these EMRIs sound – will they be similar to just plunges? Important source for as LISA sensitivity maximum for these MBH masses.
- **Realistic complexities** of NSCs. They are significantly flattened as well. We need to evaluate transient (TDE) rates keeping these complexities in view.

Morphology of NSCs --Gravitational Instabilities

Other directions ...

Accretion disc instabilities – impact of magnetic fields











Thanks ...

