Reheating: Characteristics and Constraints based on arXiv:1811.11173[astro-ph.CO] and arXiv:2005.01874[astro-ph.CO] Pankaj Saha Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai, India

- Introduction.
- Preheating and its characteristics.
- Constraints on reheating.
- Summary.

Introduction

Introduction

What is Inflation?

- * Inflation¹ is a period of exponential expansion of the universe in a state of negative pressure. i.e., $\ddot{a} > 0$,
- * We require a 'sufficient amount of inflation' to produce a homogeneous and isotropic universe that acts as an initial condition for hot big bang evolution.
- * The quantum fluctuations in the inflaton generates the seed for large scale structures.
- The inflationary expansion leaves a universe void of any matter or radiation with most of its energy in the (homogeneous) inflaton field.
- The universe defrost in a phase of reheating when the inflation decay to produce all the matter and radiation content of the universe

¹Albrecht, Andreas and Paul J. Steinhardt (1982). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48, pp. 1220–1223; Guth, Alan H. (1981). *Phys. Rev.* D23, pp. 347–356; Linde, Andrei D. (1982). *Phys. Lett.* 108B, pp. 389–393; Starobinsky, Alexei A. (1980). *Phys. Lett.* B91, pp. 99–102.

Initial idea to reheat the universe

- The original idea of reheating was introduces just after the proposal of an inflationary phase.
- They considered a perturbative decay of inflaton into radiation component with a phenomenological decay term $\Gamma_{\phi}\dot{\phi}$ in the inflaton equation².
- In a pioneering paper³, the authors pointed out that the perturbative decay do not correctly describe the inflaton decay in the initial phase.

²Abbott, L. F. et al. (1982). *Phys. Lett.* 117B, p. 29; Albrecht, Andreas et al.

(1982). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48, p. 1437; Dolgov, A. D. and Andrei D. Linde (1982). *Phys. Lett.* 116B, p. 329.

³Kofman, Lev et al. (1994). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 73, pp. 3195–3198.

Preheating after Inflation⁴

- After inflation, the homogeneous inflaton field oscillates coherently.
- It decays to other field depending upon their coupling to inflaton via parametric resonance.

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\phi)^{2} - V(\phi)}_{\text{Inflaton}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\chi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m_{\chi}^{2}\chi^{2}}_{\text{Scalar(daughter) field}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}g^{2}\phi^{2}\chi^{2}}_{\text{Interaction term}}$$
(1)

- Quantum particle production in the presence of time dependent classical background.
- Parametric resonance: The mode functions for scalar field $(a^{6/(n+2)}\chi_k = X_k)$ satisfy Hill/Mathieu equation:

$$\ddot{X}_k + \omega_k^2 X_k = 0; \tag{2}$$

$$\omega_k^2 \equiv \frac{k^2}{a^2} + g^2 \phi^2 \tag{3}$$

The Hill/Mathieu equations

$$\ddot{X} + (\kappa^2 + q\phi^2)X = 0$$

shows parametric growth depending upon the parameter (q,κ^2) .

⁴with D. Maity, JCAP **07** (2019) 018

The inflationary model⁵

• We worked with the following class inflationary models:

$$V(\phi) = \begin{cases} \frac{m^{4-n}}{n} \frac{\phi^n}{1 + \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_*}\right)^n} & n \neq 4\\ \frac{\lambda}{4} \frac{\phi^4}{1 + \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_*}\right)^4} & n = 4 \end{cases}$$

- Shows plateau for large field values, while behaves as $V(\phi) \propto \phi^n$ around $\phi = 0$.
- ϕ_* controls the width of the potential for a fixed n.



Figure: An illustration of the potential shape

⁵D Maity and PS (2019). Class. Quant. Grav. 36, p. 045010.

The instability diagram

Before studying the full-nonlinear dynamics numerically, let us try to understand what we may expect form the simulation by studying the instability diagram for Hill/Mathieu equation.

- The bands show the region in the (q,κ^2) space where the solution will have exponential growth.
- As we increase n, we will have strong resonance shown as increasing Floquet cofficient $\Re(\mu) \Rightarrow$ Increased Thermalization
- For a fixed n, decreasing ϕ_* will have the same effect.



Figure: The instability bands for the χ quanta for n = 2, 4, and 6 and $\phi_* = 10 M_{\rm p}$

Preheating: Lattice Simulation

- The energy density of the universe just after inflation is in the form of homogeneous inflaton field.
- This energy starts decaying into fluctuations of the inflaton and other fields at the onset of preheating.
- The initial stage of preheating is marked by exponential growth of decay product due to resonance.
- The production of these highly inhomogeneous non-thermal products continues until back-reaction effects renders the preheating inefficient.
- The system is highly non-linear and we have to resort to numerical schemes. Also, the effects of back-reaction can be incorporated numerically.
- We will use LATTICEEASY⁶, the standard workhorse for calculating nonlinear field dynamics in an expanding FRW universe

⁶Felder, Gary N. and Igor Tkachev (2008). *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 178, pp. 929–932.

Basic equations and quantities

• The field equations $f = (\phi, \chi)$:

$$\ddot{f}_i + 3H\dot{f}_i - \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2 f_i + \frac{\partial V(f_i)}{\partial f_i} = 0,$$
(5)

• The Friemann equation:

$$3M_{p}^{2}H^{2} = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2}\dot{f}_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2a^{2}}|\nabla f_{i}|^{2} + V(f_{i})$$

with

$$n_k = \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left| \dot{f}_k \right|^2 + \frac{\omega_k}{2} |f_k|^2, \quad \omega_k \equiv \sqrt{k^2 + m_{\text{eff}}^2} \quad m_{\text{eff}}^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial f^2}$$

Results: Preheating phases

• Episodes in preheating: : 1. Resonance phase, 2. Non-linear regime, and, 3. Stationary phase.



Results: Preheating phases

• Episodes in preheating: : 1. Resonance phase, 2. Non-linear regime, and, 3. Stationary phase.



Results: EOS

• For n = 2, eos do not reach radiation eos.



Results: EOS

• For n = 2, eos do not reach radiation eos.



Results: EOS

• For n = 2, changing the coupling parameters do not improve the situation.



Results: EOS

• For n = 4, w_{co} too is 1/3.



Results: EOS

• For n = 6, eos transits for $w_{\rm co} = 1/2$ to $w_{\rm rad} = 1/3$ (thermalization?).



Results: Energy fractions

• For n = 2, energy is not equally distributed among componets.



Results: Energy fractions

• For n = 4, energy is democratically distributed among componets.



Results: Energy fractions

• For n = 6, energy is democratically distributed among componets.



A sign of thermalization

We find the thermalization across modes by considering the Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum defined by the product $n_k\omega_k = T$.

A sign of thermalization

• For n = 2, no sign of thermalization.



A sign of thermalization

• For n = 4, shows a tendency to thermalize.



A sign of thermalization

• For n = 6, much more tendency to thermalize.



General results for preheating

The preheating phase consist of three phase

- The initial parametric resonance phase when the back-reaction is negligible. The comoving amplitude of the inflaton zero mode is almost constant.
- The next stage is the non-linear phase. The back-reaction of the produced quanta work on the inflaton condensate. The gradient energy component increase significantly indicating the growth of inhomogeneity.
- The final stage is the stationary phase when the inflaton decay ceases. The comoving energy is nearly constant.
- Inflation decay is incomplete for traditional $g^2 \phi^2 \chi^2$, $M \phi \chi^2$ couplings for inflation with quadratic type potentials.

Constraints on reheating: issues

- Inflationary phase is well constrained from data from CMB observations. (scalar power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$, spectral tilt n_s , upper-bound on tensor-to-scalar ratio r. and other complementary data from PBH and GWs)
- The inflationary energy scale assumed to be around 10^{16} GeV while the BBN requires a radiation dominated universe at 10 MeV.
- There is a huge gap in energy scales of several orders in cosmological history from inflation to BBN (Primordial Dark Ages) that has no observables.
- Thermalization erases previous history of the components.

Connecting reheating and CMB



Figure: The comoving scales connects the inflationary phase with the CMB.

$$N_{\rm re} = \frac{4}{3w_{\rm eff} - 1} \left[N_k + N_{\rm co} - 61.6 - \ln(H_k) + \frac{1}{4}\ln(\rho_k) \right]$$

Accounting for time-evolution of the reheating equation of the state^7

• CMB and reheating:

$$T_{\rm re} = \left(\frac{43}{11g_{\rm re}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{a_0 T_0}{k}\right) H_k e^{-N_k} e^{-N_{\rm re}}$$

• CMB measurements can constrain reheating phase provided we know the effective equation of state during reheating.

$$w_{\rm eff} = \frac{1}{N_{\rm re}} \int^{N_{\rm re}} w(N') \mathrm{d}N'$$

• Each epoch in cosmic evolution is characterized by its equation of state.

$$\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Inflation} \\ w < -\frac{1}{3} \end{array} }_{N_{\text{end}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Coherent} \\ \text{oscillation} \\ w = \frac{p-2}{p+2} \end{array} }_{N_{\text{con}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rb} \\ w = w_{\text{eff}} \end{array} }_{N_{\text{res}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = \frac{1}{3} \end{array} }_{N_{\text{pol}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = 0 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = 0 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = 0 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{op}}} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \text{MD} \\ w = -1 \end{array} }_{N_{\text{o$$

⁷ with S. Anand and L. Sriramkumar, Phys. Rev. **D 102**, 103511 (2020)

Accounting for time-evolution of the reheating equation of the state: Results from simulation

- Inflationary potentials around the minima behaves as $V(\phi) \propto \phi^p.$
- The EoS for models p > 2, behaves like radiation at the end of simulation.

$$w = \frac{1}{3} + \left(\frac{p-4}{6}\right) \left(\frac{p+2}{4} + \frac{\langle \rho_G \rangle}{\langle V(\phi) \rangle} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\langle V_I(\phi, \mathcal{F}) \rangle}{\langle V(\phi) \rangle}\right)$$



Parameterizing the equation of state during reheating

"With four parameters I can fit an elephant, and with five I can make him wiggle his trunk"–Johnny von Neumann

• Case A: exponential form

$$w(N) = w_0 + w_1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{N}{N_{\rm re}}\right)$$

• Case B: tan-hyperbolic form

$$w(N) = w_0 + w_1 \tanh\left(\frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{N}{N_{\rm re}}\right)$$

• We fix the parameters from the physical conditions:

- 1. Equation of state at the end of coherent oscillation is $w_{\rm co} = \frac{p-2}{p+2}$
- 2. Equation of state asymptotically reaches radiation like equation of state $w_{\rm rad} = \frac{1}{3}$ and is bracketed by the values $w_{\rm co}$ and $w_{\rm re}$.
- 3. The reheating ends when the equation reaches 90% of the radiation-like equation of state.

What is equation of state during reheating?

<i>p</i>	$w_p = \frac{(p-2)}{(p+2)}$	$w_{\rm eff}^{\rm exp}$	$w_{\rm eff}^{\rm tanh}$	
1	-1/3	0.12	0.09	
2	0	0.20	0.19	
4	1/3	1/3	1/3	100
6	1/2	0.41	0.42	
8	3/5	0.44	0.45	0
$p \to \infty$	1	0.53	0.56	
				- p

• Equation of state is completely specified by the inflationary potential.

• Deviates significantly from 'zeroth order approximation'.

Summary

- The primordial dark ages is the least explored phases of the early universe.
- The thermalization process and how the standard model particles are generated are not completely understood.
- We need a lot of computational and theoretical developments to understand this phase.



Conclusion

The 6 parameter 'Elephant'



Thank You, again!