Astrophysics of higher order modes

Divyajyoti

IIT Madras



24th January, 2020

Outline

- Necessity of higher mode waveform
- Parameter Estimation
- Results: 2G detectors
- 3rd generation detectors

Why higher modes?

• IMR waveform, with non-quadrupole modes: $\ell = 2$, $m = \pm 1$; $\ell = 3$, $m = \pm 3$; $\ell = 4$, $m = \pm 4$



Why higher modes?



- Improve the parameter estimation by breaking the degeneracies
- Better measurements of inclination angle and distance can help with the modelling of off-axis GRBs
- Using the improved measurements to put better constraints on cosmological parameters

Figure reference : Varma et al., Phys. Rev. D 90, 124004 (2014)

Error Estimation

For large SNR, errors in the estimation of parameters obey Gaussian probability distribution, $(1 - \alpha)^{1} = (1 - \alpha)^{b} \Delta \alpha^{c}$

$$p(\Delta \theta^a) = p^{(0)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{bc}\Delta \theta^b \Delta \theta^c}$$

where the Fisher Information Matrix is given by,

$$\Gamma_{bc} = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{h}_a^*(f)\tilde{h}_b(f) + \tilde{h}_b^*(f)\tilde{h}_a(f)}{S_h(f)} df$$

The covariance matrix is defined as,

$$\Sigma^{ab} \equiv \langle \Delta \theta^a \Delta \theta^b \rangle = (\Gamma^{-1})^{ab}$$

Finally, RMS error is given by,

$$\sigma_a = \langle (\Delta \theta^a)^2 \rangle^{1/2} = \sqrt{\Sigma^{aa}}$$

Parameters: D_L , ι , t_c , ϕ_c , M_c , η , θ , ϕ , Ψ

Reference: K.G. Arun, Bala R Iyer, B.S. Sathyaprakash, and Pranesh A Sundararajan Phys.Rev.D71:084008,2005

LHV Network: Effect of non-quadrupole modes

 Comoving number density between 10³ – 500³ Mpc³, Total mass M: 5 – 200 solar mass, Mass ratio q: 1 – 10



Roughly a factor of 3.5 improvement

LHV Network: Effect of non-quadrupole modes



Roughly a factor of 6 improvement

LHV network: Effect of merger and ringdown

- Comoving number density between 10³ 500³ Mpc³, Total mass M: 5 – 200 solar mass, Mass ratio q: 1 – 10
- Inspiral is restricted at 2*f_lso



Roughly a factor of **1.5** improvement

LHV network: Effect of merger and ringdown



Roughly a factor of 3 improvement

3rd Generation Detectors

Cosmic Explorer (CE)

- L-shaped, above/under the ground, each arm 40 km
- Proposed for the LIGO Livingston site in the U.S.
- Other proposals: Australia, China and India

Einstein Telescope (ET)

- In the shape of an equilateral triangle, underground, each arm - 10 km
- 2 detectors in each corner of the triangle
- Proposed by the European Union

Networks: LIE and LCIEA

A Network of 3G Detectors



Locations taken from : Salvatore Vitale and Matthew Evans, Phys. Rev. D 95, 064052 (2017)

Sensitivity of 3G Detectors



12

Results: Comparison between 2G-3G detectors

 Comoving number density between 10³ – 500³ Mpc³, Total mass M: 5 – 200 solar mass, Mass ratio q: 1 – 10



Roughly a factor of **35-38** improvement between LHV and LIE, and **2** between LIE and LCIEA

Results: Comparison between 2G-3G detectors



Roughly by **3** orders of magnitude improvement between 2G and 3G ¹⁴ detectors

Concluding Remarks

- Contribution from higher modes becomes relatively significant at higher inclination angles, and in highly asymmetric systems (high mass ratio)
- It can contribute to more detections and better parameter estimation
- Inclusion of higher modes gives better constraints on distance and inclination angle measurements, and increases the angular resolution
- Switching from 2G to 3G detectors can improve the parameter estimation significantly, especially the angular resolution
- Improvement in the estimates of distance, inclination angle and angular resolution can further help in putting better constraints on cosmological constants.

Thank You

Structure of waveform

- Analytical IMR waveform having inspiral upto 3.5 post-Newtonian orders
- The strain amplitude of gravitational radiation for different modes, in Fourier domain is given by,

$$h_{lm}(f) = A_{lm}(f)e^{i\Psi_{lm}(f)}$$

$$A_{lm}(f) = \begin{cases} A_{lm}^{IM}(f), & f < f_{lm}^{A} \\ A_{lm}^{RD}(f), & f \ge f_{lm}^{A} \end{cases} \qquad \Psi_{lm}(f) = \begin{cases} \Psi_{lm}^{IM}(f), & f \le f_{lm}^{P} \\ \Psi_{lm}^{RD}(f), & f \ge f_{lm}^{P} \end{cases}$$

Reference: Ajit Kumar Mehta, Chandra Kant Mishra, Vijay Varma, and Parameswaran Ajith 10.1103/PhysRevD.96.124010