Cosmological Reionization

Tirthankar Roy Choudhury Harish-Chandra Research Institute



From Black Holes to the Universe: Gravity at Work 25th Meeting of the Indian Association for General Relativity & Gravitation (IAGRG) 30 January 2009

Reionization: The Basic Picture



Why Study Reionization?



- Reionization: Second major change in the ionization state of hydrogen (and helium) in the Universe.
- Last phase of cosmic evolution to be tested
- Related to the quest for understanding first non-linear structures (stars, galaxies,...) in the Universe.
 Gravity at work!
- Reionization affects subsequent formation of galaxies (feedback).

Qualitative description



• Density distribution: Collapsed Structures and the Intergalactic Medium





• Sources of ionizing photons



• Sources of ionizing photons

Ionized regions



- Sources of ionizing photons
- Ionized regions
- Pre-overlap era



- Sources of ionizing photons
- Ionized regions
- Pre-overlap era
- Approaching reionization



- Sources of ionizing photons
- Ionized regions
- Pre-overlap era
- Approaching reionization
- Reionization

JUST A <mark>SKETCH</mark>



- Sources of ionizing photons
- Ionized regions
- Pre-overlap era
- Approaching reionization
- Reionization
- Post-overlap era

- Epoch of reionization? When did the sources produce enough photons to ionize the Universe? *z* = 20 or *z* = 6?
- Nature of reionization? Sudden or Gradual? Homogeneous or Inhomogeneous?
- What are the sources responsible? Stars, QSOs, Exotic Particles?

Looking for Answers



High redshift sources

NICMOS Ultra-Deep Field (in the Hubble Space Telescope)



J-dropout: Quite a few possible $z \approx 10$ candidates Various other surveys planned, some based on gravitational lensed sources.

High redshift sources

James Webb Space Telescope



- Infrared-optimized space telescope, scheduled for launch in 2013.
- Funded by NASA, ESA and CSA.
- Expected to detect the first sources at z > 15.

Evidence for reionization







Ly α transition of neutral hydrogen





- $\bullet\,$ As an example: spectrum of a QSO at $z_{\rm em}=3.18$
- Ly α emission feature at $\lambda_{Ly\alpha} \approx 1216 \text{ Å}(1 + z_{em}) \approx 5080 \text{ Å}$
- Ly α forest at $\lambda < \lambda_{Ly\alpha}$



Observed Flux = Unabsorbed Flux $\times \exp(-\tau_{\rm GP})$ Gunn-Peterson optical depth:

$$\tau_{\rm GP} \approx 1.5 \left(\frac{\Omega_b h^2}{0.022}\right) \sqrt{\frac{0.15}{\Omega_m h^2}} \left(\frac{1-Y}{0.76}\right) \left(\frac{1+z}{4}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{\rm HI}}{10^{-5}}\right) \Delta^{\beta}$$

Ionized Medium \equiv Transparent



 Gunn-Peterson Effect: The absence of complete absorption of the QSO spectrum implies that hydrogen is highly ionized.

• This result is found to be true for $z \leq 6$.

• Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS): largest astronomical survey project till date. Determined positions of millions of galaxies and ~ 100,000 QSOs.



- Observed ~ 10 QSOs at z > 6
- The spectra of these sources are markedly different from those at z < 6.





Does this absorption mean high neutrality?

• Gunn-Peterson optical depth:

$$\tau_{\rm GP} \approx 3.6 \left(\frac{\Omega_b h^2}{0.022}\right) \sqrt{\frac{0.15}{\Omega_m h^2}} \left(\frac{1-Y}{0.76}\right) \left(\frac{1+z}{7}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{\rm HI}}{10^{-5}}\right) \Delta^{\beta}$$

• So, even a neutral fraction $x_{\rm HI} \approx 10^{-4}$ would produce complete absorption!

• The only robust constraint from QSO absorption line is

$$x_{
m HI}\gtrsim 10^{-4}.$$

- Reionization should not complete much earlier than $z \approx 6$, otherwise one would end up with $x_{\rm HI} < 10^{-4}$.
- Lyα transition "too strong", saturates too easily...
 From here on, things get model-dependent and messy!!

Closer look into individual QSO spectra



Some of the lines of sight towards QSOs at $z \approx 6$ are **definitely ionized**!!

- Some of the lines of sight are definitely ionized!!
- Question is: what about the other lines of sight with **large regions of zero transmission**? Are they neutral, or can they be explained by cosmic variance of density fluctuations?





Closer look into $z \sim 6$ Gallerani, Choudhury & Ferrara (2005)

• What can be said from the **large absorption gaps** seen in the QSO absorption spectra?



Closer look into $z \sim 6$ Gallerani, Choudhury & Ferrara (2005)

• Width of the gaps depend on the ionization state of the IGM



Closer look into $z \sim 6$ Gallerani, Choudhury & Ferrara (2005)

- Large absorption gaps seen in the QSO absorption spectra $\implies x_{\rm HI} < 0.36$ Gallerani, Ferrara, Fan & Choudhury (2007) 1 $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{LOS}}$ 0.5 0 50 100 50 100 0 W_{max}(Å) W_{max}(Å)
- Consistent with the constraints from sizes of HII regions around QSOs, though uncertainties remain

Maselli, Gallerani, Ferrara & Choudhury (2007)

Optical depth due to Thomson scattering off free electrons:

$$\tau_{\rm el} = \sigma_T c \int_0^{z[t]} \mathrm{d}t \, n_e \, (1+z)^3$$

Provided by reionization-

- $\bullet\,$ Temperature anisotropies are damped at small scales by a factor $e^{-\tau_{el}}.$
- However, this damping can be compensated by hiking the power of density fluctuations $\implies \tau_{\rm el}$ is degenerate with n_s (slope) and σ_8 (normalization).

CMBR: Signal from Patchy reionization

- Patchiness in the electron distribution generates temperature anisotropies
- Signal peaks at very small scales $\ell \gtrsim 1000 \Longrightarrow$ possible to observe with future generation radio interferometers (ACT, SPT).



Holder et al. 2003

CMBR Polarization

Polarization: arises due to (i) quadrupole anisotropies in the radiation field and (ii) Thomson scattering off free electrons



CMBR Polarization

- Polarization signal typically dominant at the **angular size of the horizon** at scattering epoch.
- Scattering at last scattering surface can generate polarization signal at intermediate scales $\ell\gtrsim 100$
- Scattering off free electrons at post-recombination epochs generate polarization at large scales $\ell < 100$.



CMBR Polarization

Optical depth due to Thomson scattering off free electrons:

$$\tau_{el} = \sigma_T c \int_0^{z[t]} dt \ n_e \ (1+z)^3$$
Provided by reionization
$$\int_{10}^{0} \frac{1}{-3yr} \int_{10}^{0} \frac{1}{15} \frac{1}{20} \int_{20}^{0} \frac{1}{0} \int_{10}^{0} \frac{1}{15} \frac{1}{20} \int_{20}^{0} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{10} \int_{15}^{1} \frac{1}{20} \int_{20}^{0} \frac{1}{25} \int_{10}^{0} \frac{1}{15} \int_{20}^{0} \frac{1}{25} \int_{20}^{0} \frac{1}{25} \int_{10}^{0} \frac{1}{15} \int_{20}^{0} \frac{1}{25} \int_{20}^{0} \frac{1}{25} \int_{10}^{0} \frac{1}{15} \int_{1$$

Dunkley et al. (2008)

- $10^{-4} \leq x_{\rm HI} \leq 0.4$ at $z \approx 6$. Should not complete reionization too early.
- Reionization should start early $z \gtrsim 10$.

REIONIZATION IS A SLOW AND EXTENDED PROCESS

Theoretical models

Analytical Studies: Complex processes need to be incorporated:

- (Highly) Non-linear structure formation. Collapse of massive haloes and virialization. Press-Schechter formalism
- Galaxy formation physics: atomic and molecular cooling, fragmentation, star-formation, black hole accretion, feedback
- Escape of photons depends on the distribution of stars and density structure of the halo.
- Evolution of ionization fronts. Strömgren spheres. Radiative Transfer: propagation depends on density structure of the IGM.
- Feedback: heating will suppress galaxy formation.

Not possible to track everything analytically

Reionization Models

Evolution of the volume filling factor of ionized regions:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Q_{\mathrm{HII}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\mathrm{ph}}}{n_{H}} - Q_{\mathrm{HII}}C_{\mathrm{HII}}\frac{n_{e}}{a^{3}}\alpha_{R}(T)$$

Evolution of the temperature

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}t}\approx -2H(z)T+\frac{2}{3k_{\mathrm{boltz}}n_B}\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t}$$

Evolution of the ionization fraction

$$rac{\mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{HII}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathsf{Photoionization} - \mathsf{Recombination}$$

Ionizing flux is determined by the mean free path

$$J_
u \propto \lambda_
u \, \dot{n}_{
m ph}$$

Numerical Simulations:

• Obtain distribution/location of haloes

Identifying $10^9 M_{\odot}$ haloes within a $100 h^{-1}$ Mpc box requires $\sim 1000^3$ particles \implies high dynamic range

• Calculate N_{γ} for haloes

Use simple prescription to calculate photon production efficiency

• Radiative transfer for generating ionization fronts Approximate semi-numeric methods

Example of a detailed semi-analytical model

Choudhury & Ferrara (2005,2006)

- Standard FRW paradigm with ACDM model hierarchical structure formation dominated by dark matter
- Follow ionization and thermal histories of neutral, HII and HeIII regions simultaneously. Treat the IGM as a multi-phase medium.
- Take into account various stages of reionization
- Radiative feedback suppressing star formation in low-mass haloes
- Chemical feedback changing the nature of stars
- Three sources of ionizing radiation:
 - PopIII stars: early redshifts, low metallicity
 - PopII stars: normal stars, transition from PopIII via chemical feedback
 - **Quasars:** significant at $z \leq 6$
- Constrain the free parameters from observations \implies determine which reionization histories are favoured by current data.





What if PopIII stars did **not** contribute significantly?

- H-reionization starts at $z \approx 15$ [early reionization].
- Completes at $z \approx 6$
- Feedback regulated. Extended. "Slow".
- Require substantial sources of photons at $z \approx 10$. What are the sources?

Overall picture emerging. But details remained to be filled:

- Properties of the first sources ($z \gtrsim 10$).
 - Stars?: observations/models of star-formation rate at z > 6 do not predict enough photons to fully ionize the IGM at z ≥ 6. Possible escape routes: modify the stellar spectra, initial mass function, cooling etc.
 - **QSOs**?: no significant population observed at z > 6. In fact, the number density declines from z = 3 to z = 6.
 - Intermediate-mass black holes, Miniquasars?: strong limits from Soft X-ray Background – possible to ionize only partially
 - Exotic particles (decaying neutrinos)?: Strong limits from X-ray and Gamma-ray backgrounds; distortions in the Planckian shape of CMBR not enough!!
- Topology: Shapes of the ionized regions. Inside-out or Outside-in? Dense regions ionized first?
- Nature of feedback

Future

Better observations

- More QSO spectra at high redshifts. Also GRB spectra.
- Ly α emitters.
 - Galaxies with Lyα emission arising from hydrogen gas that is ionized by hot, young stars in these galaxies – extremely suitable for detecting sources at high redshifts.
 - Detection of these sources depends on transparency of the medium: a neutral IGM at high-z would imply less number of Ly α emitters observed.
 - Construct the luminosity function of Ly α emitters at different redshifts and check if they evolve. The evolution can put limits on the neutral hydrogen fraction.
- CMBR polarization: PLANCK data can constrain, e.g., the effects of feedback Burigana et al. (2008)



Tirthankar Roy Choudhury SINP, Kolkata (30-01-09)

- CMBR probes the "integrated" reionization history. Require a line transition so that observations can be done in different redshifts.
- Ly α is a line transition, but too "strong" \Longrightarrow lines become saturated for $x_{\rm HI}\gtrsim 10^{-4}$
- Need a line transition which is "weak"

Future: 21 cm emission observations

• 21 cm (1420 MHz) radiation: arises from the transition between the two hyperfine levels of the hydrogen 1s ground state, slightly split by the interaction between the electron spin and the nuclear spin.



- Line signal, forbidden (weak compared to, say, Ly α)
- Spin temperature

$$\frac{n_1}{n_0} = \frac{g_1}{g_0} e^{-0.068 \text{ K}/T_s}; \qquad \frac{g_1}{g_0} = 3$$

Excitation rate = collisons + radiative scattering off CMBR

Brightness temperature

$$\delta T_b \approx \frac{T_s - T_{\rm CMB}(z)}{1+z} \tau; \quad \tau \propto \frac{n_{\rm HI}}{T_s}$$

Future: 21 cm emission observations

• 21 cm (1420 MHz) radiation: arises from the transition between the two hyperfine levels of the hydrogen 1s ground state, slightly split by the interaction between the electron spin and the nuclear spin.



- In most models, the neutral hydrogen will be observed in emission from $z \approx 15$ until reionization is completed. T_s couples to T_k via Ly α pumping, and $T_k > T_{\rm CMBR}$ because of X-ray heating, shock etc.
- Possible to follow the evolution of ionized regions through maps at different redshifts (frequencies). $\nu_{obs} = 1420 \text{ MHz}/(1 + z)$
- Present Experiments: GMRT (India); Future Experiments: MWA (Australia), LOFAR (Netherlands + Europe), SKA (planning stage)
- Main challenge: Foregrounds from Galactic synchrotron, Extragalactic point sources, RFI,...

Future: 21 cm emission observations

Two possible approaches:

• Individual sources: Look towards ionized regions around sources



Datta, Majumdar, Bharadwaj & Choudhury (2008)

• Statistical: Calculate quantities like correlation function etc Datta, Choudhury & Bharadwaj (2006)

What can be probed with 21 cm experiments?

• Sources form in high density regions, so will the dense regions ionize first? Inside-out



 But high density regions recombine very fast ⇒ self-shielding. So will they remain neutral till the end? Outside-in

Topology of reionization choudhury, Haehnelt & Regan (2009)



21 cm power spectrum choudhury, Haehnelt & Regan (2009)



21 cm power spectrum choudhury, Haehnelt & Regan (2009)

angular scale $\sim 10'$



21 cm: Wealth of information

• Feedback signatures



Schneider, Salvaterra, Choudhury et al. (2008)

- Cross correlate with other probes: high-z galaxies, CMBR kSZ, ...
- 21 cm signal from dark ages (3D probe as opposed to 2D CMBR sky; can probe $k \sim 10^3 {\rm ~Mpc^{-1}})$

- Reionization is crucially linked to the first sources; also affects next generation of structure formation
- Good progress in theoretical modelling, possible to construct models consistent with all available data
- Field driven by observational data observations related to
 - QSO absorption lines + GRBs
 - high-redshift galaxies,
 - CMBR Polarization + SZ signal,
 - Ly α emitters,
 - 21 cm emission

will soon settle the long-standing question on when and how the Universe was reionized.

• Important to develop detailed analytical and numerical models to extract the maximum information about the physical processes relevant for reionization out of the expected large and complex data sets.