Are First and Second Order Formulations of Gravity Equivalent?

Romesh K. Kaul

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai



29th Meeting of IAGRG, IIT Guwahati; 19th May 2017

RKK and S. Sengupta:

"Degenerate spacetimes in first order gravity", Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) no.8, 084026, arXiv:1602.04559 [gr-qc];



RKK and S. Sengupta:

"Degenerate spacetimes in first order gravity", Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) no.8, 084026, arXiv:1602.04559 [gr-qc];



RKK and S. Sengupta:

"Degenerate spacetimes in first order gravity", Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) no.8, 084026, arXiv:1602.04559 [gr-qc];



RKK and S. Sengupta:

"Degenerate spacetimes in first order gravity", Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) no.8, 084026, arXiv:1602.04559 [gr-qc];



RKK and S. Sengupta:

"Degenerate spacetimes in first order gravity", Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) no.8, 084026, arXiv:1602.04559 [gr-qc];



One way to describe theory of gravity is through Einstein-Hilbert action functional (with Euclidean signature):

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)$$

where Riemann curvature tensor is: $R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\ \rho}(\Gamma) = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\ \rho} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \rho} + \Gamma_{\mu\eta}^{\ \rho}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\ \eta} - \Gamma_{\nu\eta}^{\ \rho}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \eta};$ $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = g_{\rho\beta}R_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{\ \rho}; \quad g \equiv det \ g_{\mu\nu}$

and Christoffel symbols are given in terms of the metric as:

$$\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ \lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

We could add cosmological constant and also matter fields to this action, but for the purpose of this talk, we do not need to do so.

This action functional for pure gravity on its own is of sufficient interest



- 日本 - 4 日本 - 4 日本 - 日本

One way to describe theory of gravity is through Einstein-Hilbert action functional (with Euclidean signature):

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)$$

where Riemann curvature tensor is: $R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\ \rho}(\Gamma) = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\ \rho} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \rho} + \Gamma_{\mu\eta}^{\ \rho}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\ \eta} - \Gamma_{\nu\eta}^{\ \rho}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \eta};$ $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = g_{\rho\beta}R_{\mu\nu\alpha}^{\ \rho}; \quad g \equiv det \ g_{\mu\nu}$

and Christoffel symbols are given in terms of the metric as:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ \lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

We could add cosmological constant and also matter fields to this action, but for the purpose of this talk, we do not need to do so.

This action functional for pure gravity on its own is of sufficient interest



- 日本 - 4 日本 - 4 日本 - 日本

One way to describe theory of gravity is through Einstein-Hilbert action functional (with Euclidean signature):

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \, \sqrt{g} \, g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)$$

where Riemann curvature tensor is:

$$R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\ \
ho}(\Gamma) = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\ \
ho} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \
ho} + \Gamma_{\mu\eta}^{\ \
ho}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\ \ \eta} - \Gamma_{\nu\eta}^{\ \
ho}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \ \eta};$$

$$R_{\mu
ulphaeta}=g_{
hoeta}R_{\mu
ulpha}{}^{
ho}; \hspace{0.5cm} g\equiv det \hspace{0.5cm} g_{\mu
u}$$

and Christoffel symbols are given in terms of the metric as:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ \lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

We could add cosmological constant and also matter fields to this action, but for the purpose of this talk, we do not need to do so.

This action functional for pure gravity on its own is of sufficient interest



・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

One way to describe theory of gravity is through Einstein-Hilbert action functional (with Euclidean signature):

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \, \sqrt{g} \, g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)$$

where Riemann curvature tensor is:

$$\mathsf{R}_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^{\rho}(\mathsf{\Gamma}) = \partial_{\mu}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\nu\lambda}{}^{\rho} - \partial_{\nu}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\rho} + \mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mu\eta}{}^{\rho}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\nu\lambda}{}^{\eta} - \mathsf{\Gamma}_{\nu\eta}{}^{\rho}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\eta};$$

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

$${\it R}_{\mu
ulphaeta}={\it g}_{
hoeta}{\it R}_{\mu
ulpha}{}^{
ho}; ~~{\it g}\equiv {\it det}~{\it g}_{\mu
u}$$

and Christoffel symbols are given in terms of the metric as:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ \lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

We could add cosmological constant and also matter fields to this action, but for the purpose of this talk, we do not need to do so.

This action functional for pure gravity on its own is of sufficient interest

One way to describe theory of gravity is through Einstein-Hilbert action functional (with Euclidean signature):

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \, \sqrt{g} \, g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)$$

where Riemann curvature tensor is:

$$\mathsf{R}_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\rho}(\mathsf{\Gamma}) = \partial_{\mu}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\nu\lambda}^{\rho} - \partial_{\nu}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda}^{\rho} + \mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mu\eta}^{\rho}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\nu\lambda}^{\eta} - \mathsf{\Gamma}_{\nu\eta}^{\rho}\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda}^{\eta};$$

$${\it R}_{\mu
ulphaeta}={\it g}_{
hoeta}{\it R}_{\mu
ulpha}{}^{
ho}; ~~{\it g}\equiv {\it det}~{\it g}_{\mu
u}$$

and Christoffel symbols are given in terms of the metric as:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ \lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

We could add cosmological constant and also matter fields to this action, but for the purpose of this talk, we do not need to do so.

This action functional for pure gravity on its own is of sufficient interest

One way to describe theory of gravity is through Einstein-Hilbert action functional (with Euclidean signature):

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \, \sqrt{g} \, g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\Gamma)$$

where Riemann curvature tensor is:

$$R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\ \rho}(\Gamma) = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\ \rho} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \rho} + \Gamma_{\mu\eta}^{\ \rho}\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^{\ \eta} - \Gamma_{\nu\eta}^{\ \rho}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\ \eta};$$

$$R_{\mu
ulphaeta}=g_{
hoeta}R_{\mu
ulpha}^{~
ho};~~g\equiv det~g_{\mu
u}$$

and Christoffel symbols are given in terms of the metric as:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ \lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_{\nu} g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

We could add cosmological constant and also matter fields to this action, but for the purpose of this talk, we do not need to do so. This action functional for pure gravity on its own is of sufficient interest.



Here the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the independent field in the action (Γ is not an independent field).

Variation of the action functional with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion which are identical with the Einstein field equations for pure gravity (vacuum equations):

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 0$$

where the Ricci tensor, $R_{\mu\alpha}\equiv g^{
ueta}~R_{\mu
ulphaeta}$ and the Rici scalar is: $R\equiv g^{\mu
u}~R_{\mu
u}$

These equations have, besides others, the remarkable property that they admit Schwarzschild black hole as a solution.

Here the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the independent field in the action (Γ is not an independent field).

Variation of the action functional with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion which are identical with the Einstein field equations for pure gravity (vacuum equations):

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 0$$

where the Ricci tensor, $R_{\mu\alpha}\equiv g^{
ueta}~R_{\mu
ulphaeta}$ and the Rici scalar is: $R\equiv g^{\mu
u}~R_{\mu
u}$

These equations have, besides others, the remarkable property that they admit Schwarzschild black hole as a solution.

Here the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the independent field in the action (Γ is not an independent field).

Variation of the action functional with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion which are identical with the Einstein field equations for pure gravity (vacuum equations):

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 0$$

where the Ricci tensor, $R_{\mu\alpha}\equiv g^{
ueta}~R_{\mu
ulphaeta}$ and the Rici scalar is: $R\equiv g^{\mu
u}~R_{\mu
u}$

These equations have, besides others, the remarkable property that they admit Schwarzschild black hole as a solution.

Here the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the independent field in the action (Γ is not an independent field).

Variation of the action functional with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion which are identical with the Einstein field equations for pure gravity (vacuum equations):

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 0$$

where the Ricci tensor, $R_{\mu\alpha}\equiv g^{
ueta}~R_{\mu
ulphaeta}$ and the Rici scalar is: $R\equiv g^{\mu
u}~R_{\mu
u}$

These equations have, besides others, the remarkable property that they admit Schwarzschild black hole as a solution.

Here the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the independent field in the action (Γ is not an independent field).

Variation of the action functional with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion which are identical with the Einstein field equations for pure gravity (vacuum equations):

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 0$$

where the Ricci tensor, $R_{\mu\alpha}\equiv g^{\nu\beta}~R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ and the Rici scalar is: $R\equiv g^{\mu\nu}~R_{\mu\nu}$

These equations have, besides others, the remarkable property that they admit Schwarzschild black hole as a solution.

Here the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the independent field in the action (Γ is not an independent field).

Variation of the action functional with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion which are identical with the Einstein field equations for pure gravity (vacuum equations):

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 0$$

where the Ricci tensor, $R_{\mu\alpha}\equiv g^{\nu\beta}~R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ and the Rici scalar is: $R\equiv g^{\mu\nu}~R_{\mu\nu}$

These equations have, besides others, the remarkable property that they admit Schwarzschild black hole as a solution.

Solutions of Einstein equations for pure gravity (that is, in absence of any matter like fermions), by construction, do not possess any torsion.

The important point to register here is that, by construction, the metric here is non-degenerate; it has no zero eigen values so that its inverse and determinant are defined.



Solutions of Einstein equations for pure gravity (that is, in absence of any matter like fermions), by construction, do not possess any torsion.

The important point to register here is that, by construction, the metric here is non-degenerate; it has no zero eigen values so that its inverse and determinant are defined.



Solutions of Einstein equations for pure gravity (that is, in absence of any matter like fermions), by construction, do not possess any torsion.

The important point to register here is that, by construction, the metric here is non-degenerate; it has no zero eigen values so that its inverse and determinant are defined.



Solutions of Einstein equations for pure gravity (that is, in absence of any matter like fermions), by construction, do not possess any torsion.

The important point to register here is that, by construction, the metric here is non-degenerate; it has no zero eigen values so that its inverse and determinant are defined.



An alternate formulation of theory of gravity is the first order formulation. It is described by the Hilbert-Palatini action functional given in terms of tetrads e'_{μ} and connection fields $\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ}$ as :

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

where now the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{\ IJ}(\omega)$ is the field strength of the gauge connections $\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ}$ of the local Lorentz group SO(4) in the Euclidean version of the theory:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{IJ}(\omega) \equiv \partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{IJ} + \omega_{[\mu}{}^{IK}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{KJ}$$

The completely antisymmetric epsilon symbols have constant values 0 and ± 1 with $\epsilon^{xyz\tau} = +1$ and $\epsilon_{1234} = +1$.

The tetrad can be thought of to be square root of the metric as:

$$g_{\mu\nu} \equiv e^I_{\mu} e^J_{\nu} \eta_{IJ}$$
 where $\eta_{IJ} = \eta^{IJ} = \delta_{IJ}$



An alternate formulation of theory of gravity is the first order formulation. It is described by the Hilbert-Palatini action functional given in terms of tetrads e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} as :

$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta} \ {}^{KL}(\omega)$

where now the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ IJ}(\omega)$ is the field strength of the gauge connections $\omega_{\mu}^{\ \ IJ}$ of the local Lorentz group SO(4) in the Euclidean version of the theory:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{IJ}(\omega) \equiv \partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{IJ} + \omega_{[\mu}{}^{IK}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{KJ}$$

The completely antisymmetric epsilon symbols have constant values 0 and ± 1 with $\epsilon^{xyz\tau} = +1$ and $\epsilon_{1234} = +1$.

The tetrad can be thought of to be square root of the metric as:



An alternate formulation of theory of gravity is the first order formulation. It is described by the Hilbert-Palatini action functional given in terms of tetrads e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} as :

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \; \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \; \epsilon_{IJKL} \; e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \; R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

where now the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ IJ}(\omega)$ is the field strength of the gauge connections $\omega_{\mu}^{\ \ IJ}$ of the local Lorentz group SO(4) in the Euclidean version of the theory:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{IJ}(\omega) \equiv \partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{IJ} + \omega_{[\mu}{}^{IK}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{KJ}$$

The completely antisymmetric epsilon symbols have constant values 0 and ± 1 with $\epsilon^{xyz\tau} = +1$ and $\epsilon_{1234} = +1$.

The tetrad can be thought of to be square root of the metric as:



An alternate formulation of theory of gravity is the first order formulation. It is described by the Hilbert-Palatini action functional given in terms of tetrads e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} as :

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

where now the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ I}(\omega)$ is the field strength of the gauge connections $\omega_{\mu}^{\ \ I}$ of the local Lorentz group SO(4) in the Euclidean version of the theory:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{IJ}(\omega) \equiv \partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{IJ} + \omega_{[\mu}{}^{IK}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{KJ}$$

The completely antisymmetric epsilon symbols have constant values 0 and ± 1 with $\epsilon^{xyz\tau} = +1$ and $\epsilon_{1234} = +1$.

The tetrad can be thought of to be square root of the metric as:



An alternate formulation of theory of gravity is the first order formulation. It is described by the Hilbert-Palatini action functional given in terms of tetrads e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} as :

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

where now the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ I}(\omega)$ is the field strength of the gauge connections $\omega_{\mu}^{\ \ I}$ of the local Lorentz group SO(4) in the Euclidean version of the theory:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{IJ}(\omega) \equiv \partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{IJ} + \omega_{[\mu}{}^{IK}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{KJ}$$

The completely antisymmetric epsilon symbols have constant values 0 and ± 1 with $\epsilon^{xyz\tau} = +1$ and $\epsilon_{1234} = +1$.

The tetrad can be thought of to be square root of the metric as:



An alternate formulation of theory of gravity is the first order formulation. It is described by the Hilbert-Palatini action functional given in terms of tetrads e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} as :

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

where now the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ I}(\omega)$ is the field strength of the gauge connections $\omega_{\mu}^{\ \ I}$ of the local Lorentz group SO(4) in the Euclidean version of the theory:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{IJ}(\omega) \equiv \partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{IJ} + \omega_{[\mu}{}^{IK}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{KJ}$$

The completely antisymmetric epsilon symbols have constant values 0 and ± 1 with $\ \epsilon^{xyz\tau}=+1$ and $\ \epsilon_{1234}=+1$.

The tetrad can be thought of to be square root of the metric as:



An alternate formulation of theory of gravity is the first order formulation. It is described by the Hilbert-Palatini action functional given in terms of tetrads e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} as :

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

where now the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{\ \ I}(\omega)$ is the field strength of the gauge connections $\omega_{\mu}^{\ \ I}$ of the local Lorentz group SO(4) in the Euclidean version of the theory:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{IJ}(\omega) \equiv \partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{IJ} + \omega_{[\mu}{}^{IK}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{KJ}$$

The completely antisymmetric epsilon symbols have constant values 0 and ± 1 with $\ \epsilon^{xyz\tau}=+1$ and $\ \epsilon_{1234}=+1$.

The tetrad can be thought of to be square root of the metric as:

Both the tetrad e^I_μ and connection fields $\omega^{\ IJ}_\mu$ in the action functional are to be taken as independent.

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are obtained by varying with respect to both these fields independently.

Equations of motion:

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta e_{\mu}^{I}}: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{IJKL} e_{\nu}^{J} R_{\alpha\beta}^{KL}(\omega) = 0 \qquad (16 \ eqns.)$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}}: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{IJKL} e_{\mu}^{K} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{L} = 0 \qquad (24 \ eqns.)$$
where
$$D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\nu}^{I} \equiv \partial_{\nu} e_{\nu}^{I} + \omega_{\nu}^{IJ} e_{\nu}^{J}.$$

We need to solve these equations for the tetrads and connection fields.

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

Both the tetrad e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} in the action functional are to be taken as independent.

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are obtained by varying with respect to both these fields independently.

Equations of motion:

 $\frac{\delta S}{\delta e_{\mu}^{I}}: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{IJKL} e_{\nu}^{J} R_{\alpha\beta}^{KL}(\omega) = 0 \qquad (16 \ eqns.)$ $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}}: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{IJKL} e_{\mu}^{K} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{L} = 0 \qquad (24 \ eqns.)$ where $D_{\mu}(\omega) e_{\nu}^{I} \equiv \partial_{\mu} e_{\nu}^{I} + \omega_{\mu}^{IJ} e_{\nu}^{J}.$

We need to solve these equations for the tetrads and connection fields.

Both the tetrad e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} in the action functional are to be taken as independent.

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are obtained by varying with respect to both these fields independently.

Equations of motion: $\frac{\delta S}{\delta e_{\mu}^{l}}$: $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{IJKL} e_{\nu}^{J} R_{\alpha\beta}^{KL}(\omega) = 0$ (16 eqns.) $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}}$: $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{IJKL} e_{\mu}^{K} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{L} = 0$ (24 eqns.)where $D_{\mu}(\omega) e_{\nu}^{J} \equiv \partial_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{J} + \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}e_{\nu}^{J}.$

We need to solve these equations for the tetrads and connection fields.

Both the tetrad e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields $\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ}$ in the action functional are to be taken as independent.

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are obtained by varying with respect to both these fields independently.

Equations of motion:

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta e_{\mu}^{I}}: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{IJKL} e_{\nu}^{J} R_{\alpha\beta}^{KL}(\omega) = 0 \qquad (16 \ eqns.)$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu}^{D}}: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{IJKL} e_{\mu}^{K} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{L} = 0 \qquad (24 \ eqns.)$$
where
$$D_{\mu}(\omega) e_{\nu}^{I} \equiv \partial_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{I} + \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}e_{\nu}^{J}.$$

We need to solve these equations for the tetrads and connection fields.

Both the tetrad e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields $\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ}$ in the action functional are to be taken as independent.

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are obtained by varying with respect to both these fields independently.

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_{\mu}^{I}} &: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e_{\nu}^{J} \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ KL}(\omega) \ = \ 0 \qquad (16 \ eqns.) \\ \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}} &: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e_{\mu}^{K} \ D_{\nu}(\omega) \ e_{\alpha}^{L} \ = \ 0 \qquad (24 \ eqns.) \\ \end{split}$$
where
$$\begin{split} D_{\mu}(\omega) \ e_{\nu}^{I} \ \equiv \ \partial_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{I} \ + \ \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}e_{\nu}^{J}. \end{split}$$

We need to solve these equations for the tetrads and connection fields.

Both the tetrad e'_{μ} and connection fields $\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ}$ in the action functional are to be taken as independent.

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are obtained by varying with respect to both these fields independently.

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_{\mu}^{I}} &: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e_{\nu}^{J} \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{KL}(\omega) = 0 \qquad (16 \ eqns.) \\ \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}} &: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e_{\mu}^{K} \ D_{\nu}(\omega) \ e_{\alpha}^{L} = 0 \qquad (24 \ eqns.) \\ \end{split}$$
where
$$\begin{split} D_{\mu}(\omega) \ e_{\nu}^{I} \ \equiv \ \partial_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{I} \ + \ \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}e_{\nu}^{J}. \end{split}$$

We need to solve these equations for the tetrads and connection fields.

Both the tetrad e_{μ}^{I} and connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} in the action functional are to be taken as independent.

Euler-Lagrange equations of motion are obtained by varying with respect to both these fields independently.

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta S}{\delta e_{\mu}^{I}} &: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e_{\nu}^{J} \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ KL}(\omega) \ = \ 0 \qquad (16 \ eqns.) \\ \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}} &: \qquad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e_{\mu}^{K} \ D_{\nu}(\omega) \ e_{\alpha}^{L} \ = \ 0 \qquad (24 \ eqns.) \\ \end{split}$$
where
$$\begin{split} D_{\mu}(\omega) \ e_{\nu}^{J} \ \equiv \ \partial_{\mu}e_{\nu}^{J} \ + \ \omega_{\mu}^{IJ}e_{\nu}^{J}. \end{split}$$

We need to solve these equations for the tetrads and connection fields.
Consider the second set of equations of motion first.

$$e^{[l}_{[\mu}D_{
u}(\omega) \; e^{J]}_{lpha]} \; = \; 0 \qquad (24 \; eqns.)$$

Assume tetrads are *invertible*, that is, det $e^l_\mu \neq 0$. Inverse of the tetrad, e^{μ}_l , is defined by $e^l_\mu e^\nu_l = \delta^\nu_\mu$ and $e^l_\mu e^\mu_J = \delta^l_J$.

By multiplying by e_l^{μ} successively, this set of 24 equations can be easily solved to yield equivalent 24 equations:

$$\mathcal{D}_{[\mu}(\omega) \; e^{l}_{
u]} \;=\; 0 \;.$$
 That is, the torsion is zero.

These equations can further be solved for 24 connection fields :

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e_{l}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{J} - e_{J}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{l} - e_{\mu}^{K} e_{l}^{\lambda} e_{J}^{\rho} \partial_{[\lambda} e_{\rho]}^{K} \right).$$



Consider the second set of equations of motion first.

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}D_{
u}(\omega) e^{J]}_{\alpha]} = 0$$
 (24 eqns.)

Assume tetrads are *invertible*, that is, det $e^l_\mu \neq 0$. Inverse of the tetrad, e^{μ}_l , is defined by $e^l_\mu e^\nu_l = \delta^\nu_\mu$ and $e^l_\mu e^\mu_J = \delta^l_J$.

By multiplying by e_l^{μ} successively, this set of 24 equations can be easily solved to yield equivalent 24 equations:

$$D_{[\mu}(\omega) \; e^{I}_{
u]} \;=\; 0 \;.$$
 That is, the torsion is zero.

These equations can further be solved for 24 connection fields :

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e_{l}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{J} - e_{J}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{l} - e_{\mu}^{K} e_{l}^{\lambda} e_{J}^{\rho} \partial_{[\lambda} e_{\rho]}^{K} \right).$$

Thus, through this set of equations of motion, we find that the connection fields are not independent but are given in terms of the tetrads and their derivatives as above.



э

Consider the second set of equations of motion first.

$$e^{[l}_{[\mu}D_{
u}(\omega) \ e^{J]}_{lpha]} = 0$$
 (24 eqns.)

Assume tetrads are *invertible*, that is, det $e_{\mu}^{l} \neq 0$. Inverse of the tetrad, e_{I}^{μ} , is defined by $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{I}^{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}$ and $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{J}^{\mu} = \delta_{J}^{l}$.

By multiplying by e_l^{μ} sucessively, this set of 24 equations can be easily solved to yield equivalent 24 equations:

$$\mathcal{D}_{[\mu}(\omega) \; e^{I}_{
u]} \;=\; 0 \;.$$
 That is, the torsion is zero.

These equations can further be solved for 24 connection fields :

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e_{l}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{J} - e_{J}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{l} - e_{\mu}^{K} e_{l}^{\lambda} e_{J}^{\rho} \partial_{[\lambda} e_{\rho]}^{K} \right).$$



Consider the second set of equations of motion first.

$$e^{[l}_{[\mu}D_{
u}(\omega) \ e^{J]}_{lpha]} = 0$$
 (24 eqns.)

Assume tetrads are *invertible*, that is, det $e_{\mu}^{l} \neq 0$. Inverse of the tetrad, e_{I}^{μ} , is defined by $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{I}^{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}$ and $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{J}^{\mu} = \delta_{J}^{l}$.

By multiplying by e_l^{μ} successively, this set of 24 equations can be easily solved to yield equivalent 24 equations:

 $D_{[\mu}(\omega) \; e_{
u]}^{\prime} \; = \; 0 \; . \qquad$ That is, the torsion is zero.

These equations can further be solved for 24 connection fields :

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e_{l}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{J} - e_{J}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{l} - e_{\mu}^{K} e_{l}^{\lambda} e_{J}^{\rho} \partial_{[\lambda} e_{\rho]}^{K} \right).$$



Consider the second set of equations of motion first.

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}D_{
u}(\omega) \ e^{J]}_{lpha]} = 0$$
 (24 eqns.)

Assume tetrads are *invertible*, that is, det $e_{\mu}^{l} \neq 0$. Inverse of the tetrad, e_{I}^{μ} , is defined by $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{I}^{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}$ and $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{J}^{\mu} = \delta_{J}^{l}$.

By multiplying by e_l^{μ} successively, this set of 24 equations can be easily solved to yield equivalent 24 equations:

$$D_{[\mu}(\omega) \; e_{
u]}^{\prime} \; = \; 0 \; . \qquad$$
 That is, the torsion is zero.

These equations can further be solved for 24 connection fields :

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e_{l}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{J} - e_{J}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{l} - e_{\mu}^{K} e_{l}^{\lambda} e_{J}^{\rho} \partial_{[\lambda} e_{\rho]}^{K} \right).$$



Consider the second set of equations of motion first.

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}D_{
u}(\omega) \ e^{J]}_{lpha]} = 0$$
 (24 eqns.)

Assume tetrads are *invertible*, that is, det $e_{\mu}^{l} \neq 0$. Inverse of the tetrad, e_{I}^{μ} , is defined by $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{I}^{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}$ and $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{J}^{\mu} = \delta_{J}^{l}$.

By multiplying by e_l^{μ} successively, this set of 24 equations can be easily solved to yield equivalent 24 equations:

$$D_{[\mu}(\omega) \; e_{
u]}^{\prime} \; = \; 0 \; .$$
 That is, the torsion is zero.

These equations can further be solved for 24 connection fields :

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e_{l}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{J} - e_{J}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{I} - e_{\mu}^{K} e_{l}^{\lambda} e_{J}^{\rho} \partial_{[\lambda} e_{\rho]}^{K} \right)$$



Consider the second set of equations of motion first.

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}D_{
u}(\omega) \ e^{J]}_{lpha]} = 0$$
 (24 eqns.)

Assume tetrads are *invertible*, that is, det $e_{\mu}^{l} \neq 0$. Inverse of the tetrad, e_{I}^{μ} , is defined by $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{I}^{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}$ and $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{J}^{\mu} = \delta_{J}^{l}$.

By multiplying by e_l^{μ} successively, this set of 24 equations can be easily solved to yield equivalent 24 equations:

$$D_{[\mu}(\omega) \; e_{
u]}^{\prime} \; = \; 0 \; .$$
 That is, the torsion is zero.

These equations can further be solved for 24 connection fields :

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e_{I}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{J} - e_{J}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} e_{\nu]}^{I} - e_{\mu}^{K} e_{I}^{\lambda} e_{J}^{\rho} \partial_{[\lambda} e_{\rho]}^{K} \right)$$



Consider the second set of equations of motion first.

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}D_{
u}(\omega) \ e^{J]}_{lpha]} = 0$$
 (24 eqns.)

Assume tetrads are *invertible*, that is, det $e_{\mu}^{l} \neq 0$. Inverse of the tetrad, e_{I}^{μ} , is defined by $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{I}^{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}$ and $e_{\mu}^{l}e_{J}^{\mu} = \delta_{J}^{l}$.

By multiplying by e_l^{μ} successively, this set of 24 equations can be easily solved to yield equivalent 24 equations:

$$D_{[\mu}(\omega) \; e_{
u]}^{\prime} \; = \; 0 \; .$$
 That is, the torsion is zero.

These equations can further be solved for 24 connection fields :

$$\omega_{\mu}^{\ IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{e}_{I}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\nu]}^{J} - \mathbf{e}_{J}^{\nu} \partial_{[\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\nu]}^{I} - \mathbf{e}_{\mu}^{K} \mathbf{e}_{I}^{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{J}^{\rho} \partial_{[\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{\rho]}^{K} \right)$$



Other set of Euler-Lagrange equations of motion, obtained by varying the action with respect to tetrad fields, can be rewritten as:

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu} R^{JK]}_{
ulpha]}(\omega) = 0$$
 (16 eqns.)

Multiplying by the inverse tetrads $e_I^{\mu} e_J^{\nu}$, these can be seen to yield the following 16 equations:

$$R_{\alpha}^{K} - \frac{1}{2} e_{\alpha}^{K} R = 0$$

where

 $R^{\ K}_{lpha}\ \equiv\ e^{\mu}_{I}\ R_{\mulpha}^{\ IK}(\omega)$ and $R\ \equiv\ e^{lpha}_{K}\ R^{\ K}_{lpha}$

Important point to register is that, for invertible tetrads, the solutions of the equations motion would all be torsion free.



Other set of Euler-Lagrange equations of motion, obtained by varying the action with respect to tetrad fields, can be rewritten as:

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu} R^{JK]}_{\nu\alpha]}(\omega) = 0$$
 (16 eqns.)

Multiplying by the inverse tetrads $e_I^{\mu} e_J^{\nu}$, these can be seen to yield the following 16 equations:

$$R_{\alpha}^{K} - \frac{1}{2} e_{\alpha}^{K} R = 0$$

where

 $R^{\ K}_{lpha}\ \equiv\ e^{\mu}_{I}\ R_{\mulpha}^{\ IK}(\omega)$ and $R\ \equiv\ e^{lpha}_{K}\ R^{\ K}_{lpha}$

Important point to register is that, for invertible tetrads, the solutions of the equations motion would all be torsion free.



Other set of Euler-Lagrange equations of motion, obtained by varying the action with respect to tetrad fields, can be rewritten as:

$$e^{[l}_{[\mu} R^{JK]}_{
ulpha]}(\omega) = 0$$
 (16 eqns.)

Multiplying by the inverse tetrads $e_I^{\mu} e_J^{\nu}$, these can be seen to yield the following 16 equations:

$$R_{\alpha}^{K} - \frac{1}{2} e_{\alpha}^{K} R = 0$$

where

 $R^{\ K}_{lpha}\ \equiv\ e^{\mu}_{I}\ R_{\mulpha}^{\ IK}(\omega)$ and $R\ \equiv\ e^{lpha}_{K}\ R^{\ K}_{lpha}$

Important point to register is that, for invertible tetrads, the solutions of the equations motion would all be torsion free.



Other set of Euler-Lagrange equations of motion, obtained by varying the action with respect to tetrad fields, can be rewritten as:

$$e^{[l}_{[\mu} R^{JK]}_{
ulpha]}(\omega) = 0$$
 (16 eqns.)

Multiplying by the inverse tetrads $e_I^{\mu} e_J^{\nu}$, these can be seen to yield the following 16 equations:

$$R_{\alpha}^{K} - \frac{1}{2} e_{\alpha}^{K} R = 0$$

where

Important point to register is that, for invertible tetrads, the solutions of the equations motion would all be torsion free.



Other set of Euler-Lagrange equations of motion, obtained by varying the action with respect to tetrad fields, can be rewritten as:

$$e^{[l}_{[\mu} R^{JK]}_{
ulpha]}(\omega) = 0$$
 (16 eqns.)

Multiplying by the inverse tetrads $e_I^{\mu} e_J^{\nu}$, these can be seen to yield the following 16 equations:

$$R_{\alpha}^{K} - \frac{1}{2} e_{\alpha}^{K} R = 0$$

where

 $R^{\ \ K}_{lpha}\ \equiv\ e^{\mu}_{I}\ R_{\mulpha}^{\ \ IK}(\omega) \hspace{1.5cm} \text{and} \hspace{1.5cm} R\ \equiv\ e^{lpha}_{K}\ R^{\ \ K}_{lpha}$

Important point to register is that, for invertible tetrads, the solutions of the equations motion would all be torsion free.



Other set of Euler-Lagrange equations of motion, obtained by varying the action with respect to tetrad fields, can be rewritten as:

$$e^{[l}_{[\mu} R^{JK]}_{
ulpha]}(\omega) = 0$$
 (16 eqns.)

Multiplying by the inverse tetrads $e_I^{\mu} e_J^{\nu}$, these can be seen to yield the following 16 equations:

$$R_{\alpha}^{K} - \frac{1}{2} e_{\alpha}^{K} R = 0$$

where

 $R_{\alpha}^{\ K} \equiv e_{I}^{\mu} R_{\mu\alpha}^{\ IK}(\omega)$ and $R \equiv e_{K}^{\alpha} R_{\alpha}^{\ K}$

Important point to register is that, for invertible tetrads, the solutions of the equations motion would all be torsion free.



Further notice that the above equations are really Einstein equations, which becomes transparent, by realizing that, for invertible tetrads, the local Lorentz field strength and Reimann curvature are related:

$$R_{\mu\nu}{}^{IJ}(\omega) e^{I}_{\lambda} e^{\rho}_{J} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^{\rho}(\Gamma)$$

Thus, for invertible tetrads, the first order and second order formalisms are exactly equivalent.

In both these formulations, the configurations that satisfy vacuum equations of motion, *(for first order formalism, with invertible tetrads)*, do not possess any torsion. This is both necessary and also sufficient.

Torsion is introduced in both these frameworks by matter couplings, say by coupling fermions to the theory.



Further notice that the above equations are really Einstein equations, which becomes transparent, by realizing that, for invertible tetrads, the local Lorentz field strength and Reimann curvature are related:

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega) e^{I}_{\lambda} e^{\rho}_{J} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\rho}(\Gamma)$$

Thus, for invertible tetrads, the first order and second order formalisms are exactly equivalent.

In both these formulations, the configurations that satisfy vacuum equations of motion, *(for first order formalism, with invertible tetrads)*, do not possess any torsion. This is both necessary and also sufficient.

Torsion is introduced in both these frameworks by matter couplings, say by coupling fermions to the theory.



Further notice that the above equations are really Einstein equations, which becomes transparent, by realizing that, for invertible tetrads, the local Lorentz field strength and Reimann curvature are related:

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega) e^{I}_{\lambda} e^{\rho}_{J} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\rho}(\Gamma)$$

Thus, for invertible tetrads, the first order and second order formalisms are exactly equivalent.

In both these formulations, the configurations that satisfy vacuum equations of motion, *(for first order formalism, with invertible tetrads)*, do not possess any torsion. This is both necessary and also sufficient.

Torsion is introduced in both these frameworks by matter couplings, say by coupling fermions to the theory.



Further notice that the above equations are really Einstein equations, which becomes transparent, by realizing that, for invertible tetrads, the local Lorentz field strength and Reimann curvature are related:

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega) e^{I}_{\lambda} e^{\rho}_{J} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\rho}(\Gamma)$$

Thus, for invertible tetrads, the first order and second order formalisms are exactly equivalent.

In both these formulations, the configurations that satisfy vacuum equations of motion, *(for first order formalism, with invertible tetrads)*, do not possess any torsion. This is both necessary and also sufficient.

Torsion is introduced in both these frameworks by matter couplings, say by coupling fermions to the theory.



Further notice that the above equations are really Einstein equations, which becomes transparent, by realizing that, for invertible tetrads, the local Lorentz field strength and Reimann curvature are related:

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega) e^{I}_{\lambda} e^{\rho}_{J} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\rho}(\Gamma)$$

Thus, for invertible tetrads, the first order and second order formalisms are exactly equivalent.

In both these formulations, the configurations that satisfy vacuum equations of motion, *(for first order formalism, with invertible tetrads)*, do not possess any torsion. This is both necessary and also sufficient.

Torsion is introduced in both these frameworks by matter couplings, say by coupling fermions to the theory.



Further notice that the above equations are really Einstein equations, which becomes transparent, by realizing that, for invertible tetrads, the local Lorentz field strength and Reimann curvature are related:

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega) e^{I}_{\lambda} e^{\rho}_{J} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\rho}(\Gamma)$$

Thus, for invertible tetrads, the first order and second order formalisms are exactly equivalent.

In both these formulations, the configurations that satisfy vacuum equations of motion, *(for first order formalism, with invertible tetrads)*, do not possess any torsion. This is both necessary and also sufficient.

Torsion is introduced in both these frameworks by matter couplings, say by coupling fermions to the theory.



Further notice that the above equations are really Einstein equations, which becomes transparent, by realizing that, for invertible tetrads, the local Lorentz field strength and Reimann curvature are related:

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega) e^{I}_{\lambda} e^{\rho}_{J} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^{\rho}(\Gamma)$$

Thus, for invertible tetrads, the first order and second order formalisms are exactly equivalent.

In both these formulations, the configurations that satisfy vacuum equations of motion, *(for first order formalism, with invertible tetrads)*, do not possess any torsion. This is both necessary and also sufficient.

Torsion is introduced in both these frameworks by matter couplings, say by coupling fermions to the theory.



Recall the Hilbert-Palatini action functional:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \; \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \; \epsilon_{IJKL} \; e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \; R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

Notice that this action is defined both for the **invertible** as well as **non-invertible** tetrads.

There are no det e_{μ}^{l} nor inverse of the tetrad here.

It could admit configurations which involve degenerate *(non-invertible)* tetrads.

Such configurations would be particularly important in the quatum theory where we need to integrate over all possible configurations including those with degenerate tetrads, in the fuctional integral, each with a weight exp(-S) as dictated by Feynman path integral quantum theory.

Also, as we shall see in the following, such configurations could as well possess torsion even without presence of any torsion inducing matter.



Recall the Hilbert-Palatini action functional:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

Notice that this action is defined both for the **invertible** as well as **non-invertible** tetrads.

There are no det e'_{μ} nor inverse of the tetrad here.

It could admit configurations which involve degenerate *(non-invertible)* tetrads.

Such configurations would be particularly important in the quatum theory where we need to integrate over all possible configurations including those with degenerate tetrads, in the fuctional integral, each with a weight exp(-S) as dictated by Feynman path integral quantum theory.

Also, as we shall see in the following, such configurations could as well possess torsion even without presence of any torsion inducing matter.



Recall the Hilbert-Palatini action functional:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

Notice that this action is defined both for the **invertible** as well as **non-invertible** tetrads.

There are no det e_{μ}^{I} nor inverse of the tetrad here.

It could admit configurations which involve degenerate *(non-invertible)* tetrads.

Such configurations would be particularly important in the quatum theory where we need to integrate over all possible configurations including those with degenerate tetrads, in the fuctional integral, each with a weight exp(-S) as dictated by Feynman path integral quantum theory.

Also, as we shall see in the following, such configurations could as well possess torsion even without presence of any torsion inducing matter.



Recall the Hilbert-Palatini action functional:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

Notice that this action is defined both for the **invertible** as well as **non-invertible** tetrads.

There are no det e_{μ}^{l} nor inverse of the tetrad here.

It could admit configurations which involve degenerate (*non-invertible*) tetrads.

Such configurations would be particularly important in the quatum theory where we need to integrate over all possible configurations including those with degenerate tetrads, in the fuctional integral, each with a weight exp(-S) as dictated by Feynman path integral quantum theory.

Also, as we shall see in the following, such configurations could as well possess torsion even without presence of any torsion inducing matter.



Recall the Hilbert-Palatini action functional:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

Notice that this action is defined both for the **invertible** as well as **non-invertible** tetrads.

There are no det e_{μ}^{I} nor inverse of the tetrad here.

It could admit configurations which involve degenerate *(non-invertible)* tetrads.

Such configurations would be particularly important in the quatum theory where we need to integrate over all possible configurations including those with degenerate tetrads, in the fuctional integral, each with a weight exp(-S) as dictated by Feynman path integral quantum theory.

Also, as we shall see in the following, such configurations could as well possess torsion even without presence of any torsion inducing matter.



Recall the Hilbert-Palatini action functional:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

Notice that this action is defined both for the **invertible** as well as **non-invertible** tetrads.

There are no det e_{μ}^{I} nor inverse of the tetrad here.

It could admit configurations which involve degenerate *(non-invertible)* tetrads.

Such configurations would be particularly important in the quatum theory where we need to integrate over all possible configurations including those with degenerate tetrads, in the fuctional integral, each with a weight exp(-S) as dictated by Feynman path integral quantum theory.

Also, as we shall see in the following, such configurations could as well possess torsion even without presence of any torsion inducing matter.



Recall the Hilbert-Palatini action functional:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \ \epsilon_{IJKL} \ e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \ R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

Notice that this action is defined both for the **invertible** as well as **non-invertible** tetrads.

There are no det e'_{μ} nor inverse of the tetrad here.

It could admit configurations which involve degenerate *(non-invertible)* tetrads.

Such configurations would be particularly important in the quatum theory where we need to integrate over all possible configurations including those with degenerate tetrads, in the fuctional integral, each with a weight $\exp(-S)$ as dictated by Feynman path integral quantum theory.

Also, as we shall see in the following, such configurations could as well possess torsion even without presence of any torsion inducing matter.



Recall the Hilbert-Palatini action functional:

$$S = \frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^4x \; \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \; \epsilon_{IJKL} \; e^I_\mu e^J_\nu \; R_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ KL}(\omega)$$

Notice that this action is defined both for the **invertible** as well as **non-invertible** tetrads.

There are no det e'_{μ} nor inverse of the tetrad here.

It could admit configurations which involve degenerate *(non-invertible)* tetrads.

Such configurations would be particularly important in the quatum theory where we need to integrate over all possible configurations including those with degenerate tetrads, in the fuctional integral, each with a weight exp(-S) as dictated by Feynman path integral quantum theory.

Also, as we shall see in the following, such configurations could as well possess torsion even without presence of any torsion inducing matter.



Thus, even at the classical level, we could ask the following questions:

(i) Are there any degenerate tetrad solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion in the first order formulation?

(ii) Do such solutions possess torsion even without any matter?

(iii) Do these configurations have finite action?



Thus, even at the classical level, we could ask the following questions:

(i) Are there any degenerate tetrad solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion in the first order formulation?

(ii) Do such solutions possess torsion even without any matter?

(iii) Do these configurations have finite action?



Thus, even at the classical level, we could ask the following questions:

- (i) Are there any degenerate tetrad solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion in the first order formulation?
- (ii) Do such solutions possess torsion even without any matter?
- (iii) Do these configurations have finite action?
- If we do find such solutions of the equation of motion, then two formulations of the gravity theory, based on Einstein-Hilbert and Hilbert-Palatini action functionals, would not be equivalent even classically.



Thus, even at the classical level, we could ask the following questions:

(i) Are there any degenerate tetrad solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion in the first order formulation?

(ii) Do such solutions possess torsion even without any matter?

(iii) Do these configurations have finite action?



Thus, even at the classical level, we could ask the following questions:

(i) Are there any degenerate tetrad solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion in the first order formulation?

(ii) Do such solutions possess torsion even without any matter?

(iii) Do these configurations have finite action?



Thus, even at the classical level, we could ask the following questions:

(i) Are there any degenerate tetrad solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion in the first order formulation?

(ii) Do such solutions possess torsion even without any matter?

(iii) Do these configurations have finite action?



Let us start with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigen-value.

Ansatz:
$$e_{\mu}^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{x}^{2} & e_{x}^{2} & e_{x}^{2} & 0 \\ e_{y}^{1} & e_{y}^{2} & e_{y}^{3} & 0 \\ e_{z}^{1} & e_{z}^{2} & e_{z}^{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{a}^{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$g_{\mu
u}\equiv e^{\prime}_{\mu}\;e^{\prime}_{
u}=\left(egin{array}{cc} g_{ab}&0\0&0\end{array}
ight); \quad g_{ab}=e^{\prime}_{a}\;e^{\prime}_{b}; \quad det\;e^{\prime}_{a}\equiv e
eq 0$$

We denote the inverse of the triad fields as \hat{e}_i^a :

$$\hat{e}^a_i \; e^j_a = \delta^i_j \; ; \qquad \hat{e}^a_i \; e^i_b = \delta^a_b$$

Note that all the triad fields e_a^i , in general, depend on all the four coordinates, *x*, *y*, *z*, and τ .

Four dimensional length element is: $ds_{(4)}^2 = 0 + g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$ For this degenerate tetrad, we look for solutions of the eqns of motion.


Let us start with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigen-value.

Ansatz:
$$e'_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i}_{x} & e^{2}_{x} & e^{3}_{x} & 0 \\ e^{1}_{y} & e^{2}_{y} & e^{3}_{y} & 0 \\ e^{1}_{z} & e^{2}_{z} & e^{3}_{z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i}_{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

 $g_{\mu
u} \equiv e^I_\mu \; e^I_
u = \left(egin{array}{cc} g_{ab} & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight); \quad g_{ab} = e^i_a \; e^i_b \;; \quad det \; e^i_a \equiv e
eq 0$

We denote the inverse of the triad fields as \hat{e}_i^a :

$$\hat{e}^a_i \; e^j_a = \delta^i_j \; ; \qquad \hat{e}^a_i \; e^i_b = \delta^a_b$$

Note that all the triad fields e_a^i , in general, depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, and τ .

Four dimensional length element is: $ds_{(4)}^2 = 0 + g_{ab}dx^a dx^b$ For this degenerate tetrad, we look for solutions of the eqns of motion.



Let us start with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigen-value.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ansatz}: \qquad e'_{\mu} \ &= \ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i}_{x} & e^{2}_{x} & e^{3}_{x} & 0 \\ e^{1}_{y} & e^{2}_{y} & e^{3}_{y} & 0 \\ e^{1}_{z} & e^{2}_{z} & e^{3}_{z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ &= \ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i}_{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \\ g_{\mu\nu} \equiv e^{i}_{\mu} \ e^{i}_{\nu} = \left(\begin{array}{c} g_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) ; \qquad g_{ab} = e^{i}_{a} \ e^{i}_{b} ; \quad det \ e^{i}_{a} \equiv e \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We denote the inverse of the triad fields as \hat{e}_i^a :

$$\hat{e}^a_i \; e^j_a = \delta^i_j$$
 ; $\hat{e}^a_i \; e^i_b = \delta^a_b$

Note that all the triad fields e_a^i , in general, depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, and τ .

Four dimensional length element is: $ds_{(4)}^2 = 0 + g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$ For this degenerate tetrad, we look for solutions of the eqns of motion.



Let us start with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigen-value.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Ansatz}: & e_{\mu}^{\prime} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{x}^{\prime} & e_{x}^{\prime} & e_{x}^{\prime} & 0 \\ e_{y}^{1} & e_{y}^{2} & e_{y}^{3} & 0 \\ e_{z}^{1} & e_{z}^{2} & e_{z}^{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{a}^{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ g_{\mu\nu} \equiv e_{\mu}^{\prime} & e_{\nu}^{\prime} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; & g_{ab} = e_{a}^{i} & e_{b}^{i}; & \det e_{a}^{i} \equiv e \neq 0 \end{array}$$

We denote the inverse of the triad fields as \hat{e}_i^a :

$$\hat{e}^a_i \; e^j_a = \delta^i_j$$
 ; $\hat{e}^a_i \; e^i_b = \delta^a_b$

Note that all the triad fields e_a^i , in general, depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, and τ .

Four dimensional length element is: $ds_{(4)}^2 = 0 + g_{ab}dx^a dx^b$



Let us start with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigen-value.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ansatz}: \qquad e'_{\mu} \ &= \ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i}_{x} & e^{2}_{x} & e^{3}_{x} & 0 \\ e^{1}_{y} & e^{2}_{y} & e^{3}_{y} & 0 \\ e^{1}_{z} & e^{2}_{z} & e^{3}_{z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ &= \ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i}_{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \\ g_{\mu\nu} \equiv e^{i}_{\mu} \ e^{i}_{\nu} = \left(\begin{array}{c} g_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) ; \qquad g_{ab} = e^{i}_{a} \ e^{i}_{b} ; \quad det \ e^{i}_{a} \equiv e \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We denote the inverse of the triad fields as \hat{e}_i^a :

$$\hat{e}^a_i \ e^j_a = \delta^i_j$$
; $\hat{e}^a_i \ e^i_b = \delta^a_b$

Note that all the triad fields e_a^i , in general, depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, and τ .

Four dimensional length element is: $ds_{(4)}^2 = 0 + g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$ For this degenerate tetrad, we look for solutions of the eqns of motion.



Let us start with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigen-value.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Ansatz}: & e'_{\mu} &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i}_{x} & e^{2}_{x} & e^{3}_{x} & 0\\ e^{1}_{y} & e^{2}_{y} & e^{3}_{y} & 0\\ e^{1}_{z} & e^{2}_{z} & e^{3}_{z} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i}_{a} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};\\\\ g_{\mu\nu} \equiv e^{I}_{\mu} & e^{I}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{ab} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; & g_{ab} = e^{i}_{a} & e^{i}_{b}; & det \ e^{i}_{a} \equiv e \neq 0 \end{array}$$

We denote the inverse of the triad fields as \hat{e}_i^a :

$$\hat{e}^a_i \; e^j_a = \delta^i_j$$
; $\hat{e}^a_i \; e^i_b = \delta^a_b$

Note that all the triad fields e_a^i , in general, depend on all the four coordinates, *x*, *y*, *z*, and τ .

Four dimensional length element is: $ds_{(4)}^2 = 0 + g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$

For this degenerate tetrad, we look for solutions of the eqns of motion.



Let us start with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigen-value.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Ansatz}: & e_{\mu}^{\prime} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{x}^{\prime} & e_{x}^{\prime} & e_{x}^{\prime} & 0 \\ e_{y}^{1} & e_{y}^{2} & e_{y}^{3} & 0 \\ e_{z}^{1} & e_{z}^{2} & e_{z}^{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{a}^{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ g_{\mu\nu} \equiv e_{\mu}^{\prime} & e_{\nu}^{\prime} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; & g_{ab} = e_{a}^{i} & e_{b}^{i}; & \det e_{a}^{i} \equiv e \neq 0 \end{array}$$

We denote the inverse of the triad fields as \hat{e}_i^a :

$$\hat{e}^a_i \; e^j_a = \delta^i_j$$
; $\hat{e}^a_i \; e^i_b = \delta^a_b$

Note that all the triad fields e_a^i , in general, depend on all the four coordinates, *x*, *y*, *z*, and τ .

Four dimensional length element is: $ds_{(4)}^2 = 0 + g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$ For this degenerate tetrad, we look for solutions of the eqns of motion.



Recall the 24 connection eqns of motion: $e_{[\mu}^{[I]} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{J]} = 0$

Unlike the case of invertible tetrads, these equations now can not be solved for all the 24 connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

It turns out that 12 of the connection fields are fixed other 12 connection fields stay undetermined.



Recall the 24 connection eqns of motion: $e_{\mu}^{[I} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{J]} = 0$

Unlike the case of invertible tetrads, these equations now can not be solved for all the 24 connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

It turns out that 12 of the connection fields are fixed other 12 connection fields stay undetermined.



Recall the 24 connection eqns of motion: $e_{\mu}^{[I} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{J]} = 0$

Unlike the case of invertible tetrads, these equations now can not be solved for all the 24 connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

It turns out that 12 of the connection fields are fixed other 12 connection fields stay undetermined.



Recall the 24 connection eqns of motion: $e_{\mu}^{[I} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{J]} = 0$

Unlike the case of invertible tetrads, these equations now can not be solved for all the 24 connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

It turns out that 12 of the connection fields are fixed other 12 connection fields stay undetermined.



Recall the 24 connection eqns of motion: $e_{\mu}^{[I} D_{\nu}(\omega) e_{\alpha}^{J]} = 0$

Unlike the case of invertible tetrads, these equations now can not be solved for all the 24 connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

It turns out that 12 of the connection fields are fixed other 12 connection fields stay undetermined.



$$\begin{split} D_{\tau}(\omega) \; e_{a}^{k} \; &= \; 0 \;, \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{\tau}^{ij} \; = \; \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \; \partial_{\tau} \; e_{a}^{j} \; = \; e_{a}^{i} \; \partial_{\tau} \; \hat{e}_{j}^{a} \\ \text{and} \; \; \omega_{\tau}^{4k} \; &= \; 0 \;; \quad \omega_{a}^{4k} \; \equiv \; M_{a}^{k} \; \equiv \; M^{kl} \; e_{a}^{l} \; \text{ with } \; M^{kl} \; = \; M^{lk} \\ \text{and} \; \; \omega_{a}^{ij} \; &= \; \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) \; + \; \kappa_{a}^{ij} \; , \\ \text{where} \; \; \; \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) \; &= \; \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{e}_{i}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{j} - \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{i} + e_{a}^{l} \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \hat{e}_{j}^{c} \partial_{[b} e_{c]}^{l} \right) \\ \text{and contorsion} \; \; \kappa_{a}^{ij} \; &\equiv \; \epsilon^{ijk} N^{k} = \; \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_{a}^{l} \; \text{ with } \; N^{lk} \; = \; N^{kl} \\ \text{Note that} \; \; \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) \; \text{are the torsionless connection fields:} \; D_{[a}(\bar{\omega}) \; e_{b]}^{i} \; = \; 0.] \\ \text{We notice here, of 24 connection fields } \omega_{\mu}^{lJ} \; , \; \text{only 12 get fixed, the est of the 12 components as represented by the symmetric matrices \; M^{ij} \; \text{and } N^{ij} \; \text{are not determined.} \end{split}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆目 > ◆目 > ●目

$$D_{\tau}(\omega) \ e^k_a \ = \ 0 \ , \quad \textit{where} \quad \omega^{ij}_{\tau} \ = \ \hat{e}^a_i \ \partial_{\tau} \ e^j_a \ = \ e^i_a \ \partial_{\tau} \ \hat{e}^a_j$$

and $\omega_{ au}^{4k} = 0$; $\omega_a^{4k} \equiv M_a^k \equiv M^{kl} e_a^l$ with $M^{kl} = M^{lk}$ and $\omega_a^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_a^{ij}(e) + \kappa_a^{ij}$,

where $ar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) = rac{1}{2} \left(\hat{e}_{i}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{j} - \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{i} + e_{a}^{l} \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \hat{e}_{j}^{c} \partial_{[b} e_{c]}^{l}
ight)$

and contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_a^k = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$ with $N^{lk} = N^{kl}$

[Note that $\bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e)$ are the torsionless connection fields: $D_{[a}(\bar{\omega}) e_{b]}^{i} = 0.$]

We notice here, of 24 connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} , only 12 get fixed, the rest of the 12 components as represented by the symmetric matrices M^{ij} and N^{ij} are not determined.

$$\begin{split} D_{\tau}(\omega) \ e_{a}^{k} &= 0 \ , \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{\tau}^{ij} \ = \ \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \ \partial_{\tau} \ e_{a}^{j} \ = \ e_{a}^{i} \ \partial_{\tau} \ \hat{e}_{j}^{a} \\ \text{and} \quad \omega_{\tau}^{4k} &= 0 \ ; \quad \omega_{a}^{4k} \ \equiv \ M_{a}^{k} \ \equiv \ M^{kl} \ e_{a}^{l} \ \text{with} \ M^{kl} \ = \ M^{lk} \\ \text{and} \quad \omega_{a}^{ij} \ = \ \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) + \kappa_{a}^{ij} \ , \\ \text{where} \quad \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) \ = \ \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{e}_{i}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{j} - \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{i} + e_{a}^{l} \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \partial_{[b} e_{c]}^{l} \right) \\ \text{and contorsion} \quad \kappa_{a}^{ij} \ \equiv \ \epsilon^{ijk} N_{a}^{k} \ = \ \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_{a}^{l} \ \text{with} \ N^{lk} \ = \ N^{kl} \\ \text{Note that} \ \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) \ \text{are the torsionless connection fields:} \ D_{[a}(\bar{\omega}) \ e_{b]}^{i} \ = \ 0.] \\ \text{We notice here, of 24 connection fields } \omega_{\mu}^{l,l}, \ \text{only 12 get fixed, the est of the 12 components as represented by the symmetric natrices \ M^{ij} \ \text{and} \ N^{ij} \ \text{are not determined.} \end{split}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆目 > ◆目 > ●目

$$D_{\tau}(\omega) e_{a}^{k} = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{\tau}^{ij} = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \partial_{\tau} e_{a}^{j} = e_{a}^{i} \partial_{\tau} \hat{e}_{j}^{a}$$
and $\omega_{\tau}^{4k} = 0; \quad \omega_{a}^{4k} \equiv M_{a}^{k} \equiv M_{a}^{kl} \equiv M_{a}^{kl} e_{a}^{l} \quad \text{with} \quad M^{kl} = M^{lk}$
and $\omega_{a}^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) + \kappa_{a}^{ij},$
where $\bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{e}_{i}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{j} - \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{i} + e_{a}^{l} \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \hat{e}_{j}^{c} \partial_{[b} e_{c]}^{l} \right)$
and contorsion $\kappa_{a}^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_{a}^{k} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_{a}^{l} \quad \text{with} \quad N^{lk} = N^{kl}$
ote that $\bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e)$ are the torsionless connection fields: $D_{[a}(\bar{\omega}) e_{b]}^{i} = 0.$]

We notice here, of 24 connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} , only 12 get fixed, the rest of the 12 components as represented by the symmetric matrices M^{ij} and N^{ij} are not determined.

・ロト ・ 聞 ト ・ 臣 ト ・ 臣 ト 三臣 -

$$D_{\tau}(\omega) \ e_{a}^{k} = 0 \ , \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{\tau}^{ij} = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \ \partial_{\tau} \ e_{a}^{j} = e_{a}^{i} \ \partial_{\tau} \ \hat{e}_{j}^{a}$$
and $\omega_{\tau}^{4k} = 0 \ ; \quad \omega_{a}^{4k} \equiv M_{a}^{k} \equiv M^{kl} \ e_{a}^{l} \ \text{with} \ M^{kl} = M^{lk}$
and $\omega_{a}^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) + \kappa_{a}^{ij} \ ,$
where $\bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{e}_{i}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{j} - \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{i} + e_{a}^{l} \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \hat{e}_{j}^{c} \partial_{[b} e_{c]}^{l} \right)$
and contorsion $\kappa_{a}^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_{a}^{k} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_{a}^{l} \ \text{with} \ N^{lk} = N^{kl}$
[Note that $\bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e)$ are the torsionless connection fields: $D_{[a}(\bar{\omega}) \ e_{b]}^{i} = 0$.]
We notice here, of 24 connection fields $\omega_{a}^{i,j}$, only 12 get fixed, the

rest of the 12 components as represented by the symmetric matrices M^{ij} and N^{ij} are not determined.

[[

V

HILBERT-PALATINI ACTION: DEGENERATE TETRADS

$$\begin{split} D_{\tau}(\omega) \; e_{a}^{k} \; = \; 0 \;, \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{\tau}^{ij} \; = \; \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \; \partial_{\tau} \; e_{a}^{j} \; = \; e_{a}^{i} \; \partial_{\tau} \; \hat{e}_{j}^{a} \\ \text{and} \; \omega_{\tau}^{4k} \; = \; 0 \;; \quad \omega_{a}^{4k} \; \equiv \; M_{a}^{k} \; \equiv \; M^{kl} \; e_{a}^{l} \; \text{ with } \; M^{kl} \; = \; M^{lk} \\ \text{and} \; \omega_{a}^{ij} \; = \; \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) \; + \; \kappa_{a}^{ij} \;, \\ \text{where} \; \; \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) \; = \; \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{e}_{i}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{j} - \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \partial_{[a} e_{b]}^{i} + e_{a}^{l} \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \hat{e}_{j}^{c} \partial_{[b} e_{c]}^{l} \right) \\ \text{and contorsion} \; \; \kappa_{a}^{ij} \; \equiv \; \epsilon^{ijk} N_{a}^{k} \; = \; \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_{a}^{l} \; \text{ with } \; N^{lk} \; = \; N^{kl} \\ [\text{Note that} \; \; \bar{\omega}_{a}^{ij}(e) \; \text{are the torsionless connection fields:} \; D_{[a}(\bar{\omega}) \; e_{b]}^{i} \; = \; 0.] \\ \text{We notice here, of 24 connection fields } \; \omega_{\mu}^{lJ} \;, \; \text{only 12 get fixed, the} \\ \text{rest of the 12 components as represented by the symmetric matrices } \; M^{ij} \; \text{and } \; N^{ij} \; \text{are not determined.} \end{split}$$

・ロン ・四 と ・ 田 と ・ 田 と

For degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue, this set of equations are exactly equivalent to original 24 equations of motion we had obtained by varying the action functional with respect to the connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

An important property to note here is: these equations imply that such configurations would generically have non-zero contorsion even for the case of pure gravity without any matter fields such as fermions.

Next we analyse the rest of equations of motion; those obtained by varying the action with respect to the tetrad fields e_u^l :

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}R^{~~JK]}_{
ulpha]}(\omega)~=0$$

(16 *eqns*)

э

For degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue, this set of equations are exactly equivalent to original 24 equations of motion we had obtained by varying the action functional with respect to the connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

An important property to note here is: these equations imply that such configurations would generically have non-zero contorsion even for the case of pure gravity without any matter fields such as fermions.

Next we analyse the rest of equations of motion; those obtained by varying the action with respect to the tetrad fields e_{μ}^{I} :

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}R^{\ \ JK]}_{
ulpha]}(\omega)~=0$$

(16 *eqns*)

For degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue, this set of equations are exactly equivalent to original 24 equations of motion we had obtained by varying the action functional with respect to the connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

An important property to note here is: these equations imply that such configurations would generically have non-zero contorsion even for the case of pure gravity without any matter fields such as fermions.

Next we analyse the rest of equations of motion; those obtained by varying the action with respect to the tetrad fields e_{μ}^{I} :

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}R^{JK]}_{
ulpha]}(\omega) = 0$$

(16 *eqns*).

For degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue, this set of equations are exactly equivalent to original 24 equations of motion we had obtained by varying the action functional with respect to the connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

An important property to note here is: these equations imply that such configurations would generically have non-zero contorsion even for the case of pure gravity without any matter fields such as fermions.

Next we analyse the rest of equations of motion; those obtained by varying the action with respect to the tetrad fields e_{μ}^{I} :

(16 *eqns*)



For degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue, this set of equations are exactly equivalent to original 24 equations of motion we had obtained by varying the action functional with respect to the connection fields ω_{μ}^{IJ} .

An important property to note here is: these equations imply that such configurations would generically have non-zero contorsion even for the case of pure gravity without any matter fields such as fermions.

Next we analyse the rest of equations of motion; those obtained by varying the action with respect to the tetrad fields e_{μ}^{I} :

$$e^{[I}_{[\mu}R_{\nu\alpha]}^{\ \ JK]}(\omega) = 0 \qquad (16 \ eqns).$$



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{ au a}^{\ \ ij}(\omega) = 0,$

 $R_{a au}^{\ \ 4k}(\omega) \ = \ -D_{ au}(\omega) \ M_a^k \ = \ 0 \ , \qquad \qquad (\ \omega_a^{4k} \equiv M_a^k \ = \ M^{kl} e_a^l \)$

 $\hat{e}^{a}_{k} \; R_{ab}^{\ \ 4k}(\omega) \; = \; \left(e^{l}_{b} \hat{e}^{a}_{i} - \delta^{a}_{b} \delta^{l}_{i}
ight) \; D_{a}(\bar{\omega}) \; M^{il} \; = \; 0 \; ,$

 $\hat{e}_{i}^{a} \hat{e}_{j}^{b} R_{ab}^{\ ij}(\omega) = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ ij}(\bar{\omega}) + \left(M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj}\right) + \left(N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj}\right) = 0.$

 $(\text{Recall } \omega_a^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_a^{ij}(e) + \kappa_a^{ij} \text{ with contorsion } \kappa_a^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_a^k = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l, \quad N^{ij} = N^{ji})$

First equation is identically satisfied by configurations that satisfy the earlier conditions on e_a^i and ω_{μ}^{IJ} . Thus, no new conditions here.

Next two equations are solved by: $M^i_a = \lambda \; e^i_a$ with λ as a constant.

Thus for this solution, $M^{ij} = \lambda \delta^{ij}$ and $M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj} = -6\lambda^2$



After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{\tau a}^{\ ij}(\omega) = 0,$

 $R_{a au}^{\ \ 4k}(\omega) \;=\; -D_{ au}(\omega) \; M_{a}^{k} \;=\; 0 \;, \qquad \qquad (\; \omega_{a}^{4k} \equiv M_{a}^{k} \;=\; M^{kl} e_{a}^{l} \;)$

 $\hat{e}^{a}_{k} \; R_{ab}^{\ \ 4k}(\omega) \; = \; \left(e^{\prime}_{b} \hat{e}^{a}_{i} - \delta^{a}_{b} \delta^{\prime}_{i}
ight) \; D_{a}(ar{\omega}) \; M^{il} \; = \; 0 \; ,$

 $\hat{e}_{i}^{a} \hat{e}_{j}^{b} R_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\omega) = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) + \left(M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj}\right) + \left(N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj}\right) = 0.$

(Recall $\omega_a^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_a^{ij}(\mathbf{e}) + \kappa_a^{ij}$ with contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_a^k = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} \mathbf{e}_a^l$, $N^{ij} = N^{ji}$)

First equation is identically satisfied by configurations that satisfy the earlier conditions on e_a^i and ω_{μ}^{IJ} . Thus, no new conditions here.

Next two equations are solved by: $M^i_a = \lambda \; e^i_a$ with λ as a constant.

Thus for this solution, $M^{ij} = \lambda \delta^{ij}$ and $M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj} = -6\lambda^2$



- 日本 - 4 日本 - 4 日本 - 日本

After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{\tau a}^{\ ij}(\omega) = 0,$

 $R_{a\tau}^{4k}(\omega) = -D_{\tau}(\omega) M_{a}^{k} = 0$, $(\omega_{a}^{4k} \equiv M_{a}^{k} = M^{kl}e_{a}^{l})$

 $\hat{e}^{a}_{k} \; R_{ab}^{\ \ 4k}(\omega) \; = \; \left(e^{\prime}_{b} \hat{e}^{a}_{i} - \delta^{a}_{b} \delta^{\prime}_{i}
ight) \; D_{a}(\bar{\omega}) \; M^{i\prime} \; = \; 0 \; ,$

 $\hat{e}_{i}^{a} \hat{e}_{j}^{b} R_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\omega) = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) + \left(M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj}\right) + \left(N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj}\right) = 0.$

(Recall $\omega_a^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_a^{ij}(\mathbf{e}) + \kappa_a^{ij}$ with contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_a^k = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} \mathbf{e}_a^l$, $N^{ij} = N^{ji}$)

First equation is identically satisfied by configurations that satisfy the earlier conditions on e_a^i and ω_{μ}^{IJ} . Thus, no new conditions here.

Next two equations are solved by: $M^i_a = \lambda \; e^i_a$ with λ as a constant.

Thus for this solution, $M^{ij} = \lambda \delta^{ij}$ and $M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj} = -6\lambda^2$



After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{\tau a}{}^{ij}(\omega) = 0,$ $R_{a\tau}^{4k}(\omega) = -D_{\tau}(\omega) M_{a}^{k} = 0.$ $(\omega_a^{4k} \equiv M_a^k = M^{kl} e_a^l)$ $\hat{e}_k^a R_{ab}^{4k}(\omega) = \left(e_b^l \hat{e}_i^a - \delta_b^a \delta_i^l \right) D_a(\bar{\omega}) M^{il} = 0 ,$

Thus for this solution, $M^{ij} = \lambda \delta^{ij}$ and $M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj} = -6\lambda^2$



- 日本 - 4 日本 - 4 日本 - 日本

After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{\tau a}{}^{ij}(\omega) = 0,$ $R_{a\tau}^{4k}(\omega) = -D_{\tau}(\omega) M_{a}^{k} = 0.$ $(\omega_a^{4k} \equiv M_a^k = M^{kl} e_a^l)$ $\hat{e}_k^a R_{ab}^{4k}(\omega) = \left(e_b^l \hat{e}_i^a - \delta_b^a \delta_i^l \right) D_a(\bar{\omega}) M^{il} = 0 ,$ $\hat{e}_{i}^{a} \ \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \ R_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\omega) = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \ \hat{e}_{j}^{b} \ \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) + (M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj}) + (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj}) = 0.$

After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{\tau a}{}^{ij}(\omega) = 0,$ $(\omega_a^{4k} \equiv M_a^k = M^{kl} e_a^l)$ $R_{2\pi}^{4k}(\omega) = -D_{\pi}(\omega) M_{2}^{k} = 0$ $\hat{e}_k^a R_{ab}^{4k}(\omega) = \left(e_b^l \hat{e}_i^a - \delta_b^a \delta_i^l \right) D_a(\bar{\omega}) M^{il} = 0 ,$ $\hat{e}_{i}^{a} \hat{e}_{i}^{b} R_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\omega) = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) + (M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj}) + (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj}) = 0.$ (Recall $\omega_a^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_a^{ij}(e) + \kappa_a^{ij}$ with contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_a^k = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$, $N^{ij} = N^{ji}$)



After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{\tau \gamma}^{ij}(\omega) = 0.$ $R_{a\tau}^{4k}(\omega) = -D_{\tau}(\omega) M_{a}^{k} = 0$, $(\omega_{a}^{4k} \equiv M_{a}^{k} = M^{kl}e_{a}^{l})$ $\hat{e}_k^a R_{ab}^{4k}(\omega) = \left(e_b^l \hat{e}_i^a - \delta_b^a \delta_i^l \right) D_a(\bar{\omega}) M^{il} = 0 ,$ $\hat{e}_{i}^{a} \, \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \, R_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\omega) = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \, \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \, \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) + \left(M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj}\right) + \left(N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj}\right) = 0.$ (Recall $\omega_a^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_a^{ij}(e) + \kappa_a^{ij}$ with contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_a^k = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$, $N^{ij} = N^{ji}$) First equation is identically satisfied by configurations that satisfy the earlier conditions on e_a^i and ω_{μ}^{IJ} . Thus, no new conditions here.



After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{\tau \gamma}^{ij}(\omega) = 0.$ $R_{a\tau}^{4k}(\omega) = -D_{\tau}(\omega) M_{a}^{k} = 0$, $(\omega_{a}^{4k} \equiv M_{a}^{k} = M^{kl}e_{a}^{l})$ $\hat{e}_k^a R_{ab}^{4k}(\omega) = \left(e_b^l \hat{e}_i^a - \delta_b^a \delta_i^l \right) D_a(\bar{\omega}) M^{il} = 0 ,$ $\hat{e}_{i}^{a} \, \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \, R_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\omega) = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \, \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \, \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) + \left(M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj}\right) + \left(N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj}\right) = 0.$ (Recall $\omega_a^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_a^{ij}(e) + \kappa_a^{ij}$ with contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_a^k = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$, $N^{ij} = N^{ji}$) First equation is identically satisfied by configurations that satisfy the earlier conditions on e_a^i and ω_u^{IJ} . Thus, no new conditions here. Next two equations are solved by: $M_a^i = \lambda e_a^i$ with λ as a constant.

After some bit of analysis, it can be shown that the most general solutions of these equations are provided by configurations which satisfy the following 16 constraints:

 $\hat{e}^a_i R_{\tau \gamma}^{ij}(\omega) = 0.$ $R_{a\tau}^{4k}(\omega) = -D_{\tau}(\omega) M_{a}^{k} = 0$, $(\omega_{a}^{4k} \equiv M_{a}^{k} = M^{kl}e_{a}^{l})$ $\hat{e}_k^a R_{ab}^{4k}(\omega) = \left(e_b^l \hat{e}_i^a - \delta_b^a \delta_i^l \right) D_a(\bar{\omega}) M^{il} = 0 ,$ $\hat{e}_{i}^{a} \, \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \, R_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\omega) = \hat{e}_{i}^{a} \, \hat{e}_{i}^{b} \, \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) + \left(M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj}\right) + \left(N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj}\right) = 0.$ (Recall $\omega_a^{ij} = \bar{\omega}_a^{ij}(e) + \kappa_a^{ij}$ with contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} \equiv \epsilon^{ijk} N_a^k = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$, $N^{ij} = N^{ji}$) First equation is identically satisfied by configurations that satisfy the earlier conditions on e_a^i and ω_u^{IJ} . Thus, no new conditions here. Next two equations are solved by: $M_a^i = \lambda e_a^i$ with λ as a constant. Thus for this solution, $M^{ij} = \lambda \delta^{ij}$ and $M^{ij}M^{ji} - M^{ii}M^{jj} = -6\lambda^2$.



This allows us to write the last condition on the curvatures as:

$$\xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 - \hat{e}^a_i \hat{e}^b_j \bar{R}^{ij}_{ab}(\bar{\omega})$$

All we need to do now is find a set of triads e_a^i which satisfy all the earlier conditions. Evaluate the corresponding spatial (three-) curvature scalar $\hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ ij}(\bar{\omega})$ to fix the above combination of the contorsion matrices as represented by ξ .

This is what we shall do next. There are many possible solutions.

There are a set of solutions for homgeneous three-geometries described by the triads e_a^i , which can be put in eight classes given by Thurston's model three-geometries.

These eight geometries are: E_3 , S_3 , H_3 , $S_2 \times R$, $H_2 \times R$, Sol, Nil, SL(2, R).

This suggests a connection with some deep mathematics here.



This allows us to write the last condition on the curvatures as:

 $\xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 - \hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega})$

All we need to do now is find a set of triads e_a^i which satisfy all the earlier conditions. Evaluate the corresponding spatial (three-) curvature scalar $\hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ ij}(\bar{\omega})$ to fix the above combination of the contorsion matrices as represented by ξ .

This is what we shall do next. There are many possible solutions.

There are a set of solutions for homgeneous three-geometries described by the triads e_a^i , which can be put in eight classes given by Thurston's model three-geometries.

These eight geometries are: E_3 , S_3 , H_3 , $S_2 \times R$, $H_2 \times R$, Sol, Nil, SL(2, R).

This suggests a connection with some deep mathematics here.



This allows us to write the last condition on the curvatures as:

$$\xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 - \hat{e}^a_i \hat{e}^b_j \bar{R}^{\ \ ij}_{ab}(\bar{\omega})$$

All we need to do now is find a set of triads e_a^i which satisfy all the earlier conditions. Evaluate the corresponding spatial (three-) curvature scalar $\hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega})$ to fix the above combination of the contorsion matrices as represented by ξ .

This is what we shall do next. There are many possible solutions.

There are a set of solutions for homgeneous three-geometries described by the triads e_a^i , which can be put in eight classes given by Thurston's model three-geometries.

These eight geometries are: E_3 , S_3 , H_3 , $S_2 \times R$, $H_2 \times R$, Sol, Nil, SL(2, R).

This suggests a connection with some deep mathematics here.



This allows us to write the last condition on the curvatures as:

$$\xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 - \hat{e}^a_i \hat{e}^b_j \bar{R}^{\ \ ij}_{ab}(\bar{\omega})$$

All we need to do now is find a set of triads e_a^i which satisfy all the earlier conditions. Evaluate the corresponding spatial (three-) curvature scalar $\hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega})$ to fix the above combination of the contorsion matrices as represented by ξ .

This is what we shall do next. There are many possible solutions.

There are a set of solutions for homgeneous three-geometries described by the triads e_a^i , which can be put in eight classes given by Thurston's model three-geometries.

These eight geometries are: E_3 , S_3 , H_3 , $S_2 \times R$, $H_2 \times R$, Sol, Nil, SL(2, R).

This suggests a connection with some deep mathematics here.


This allows us to write the last condition on the curvatures as:

$$\xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 - \hat{e}^a_i \hat{e}^b_j \bar{R}^{\ ij}_{ab}(\bar{\omega})$$

All we need to do now is find a set of triads e_a^i which satisfy all the earlier conditions. Evaluate the corresponding spatial (three-) curvature scalar $\hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega})$ to fix the above combination of the contorsion matrices as represented by ξ .

This is what we shall do next. There are many possible solutions.

There are a set of solutions for homgeneous three-geometries described by the triads e_a^i , which can be put in eight classes given by Thurston's model three-geometries.

These eight geometries are: E_3 , S_3 , H_3 , $S_2 \times R$, $H_2 \times R$, Sol, Nil, SL(2, R).

This suggests a connection with some deep mathematics here.



This allows us to write the last condition on the curvatures as:

$$\xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 - \hat{e}^a_i \hat{e}^b_j \bar{R}^{\ ij}_{ab}(\bar{\omega})$$

All we need to do now is find a set of triads e_a^i which satisfy all the earlier conditions. Evaluate the corresponding spatial (three-) curvature scalar $\hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega})$ to fix the above combination of the contorsion matrices as represented by ξ .

This is what we shall do next. There are many possible solutions.

There are a set of solutions for homgeneous three-geometries described by the triads e_a^i , which can be put in eight classes given by Thurston's model three-geometries.

These eight geometries are: E_3 , S_3 , H_3 , $S_2 \times R$, $H_2 \times R$, Sol, Nil, SL(2, R).

This suggests a connection with some deep mathematics here.



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ — 圖

This allows us to write the last condition on the curvatures as:

$$\xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 - \hat{e}^a_i \hat{e}^b_j \bar{R}^{\ \ ij}_{ab}(\bar{\omega})$$

All we need to do now is find a set of triads e_a^i which satisfy all the earlier conditions. Evaluate the corresponding spatial (three-) curvature scalar $\hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega})$ to fix the above combination of the contorsion matrices as represented by ξ .

This is what we shall do next. There are many possible solutions.

There are a set of solutions for homgeneous three-geometries described by the triads e_a^i , which can be put in eight classes given by Thurston's model three-geometries.

These eight geometries are: E_3 , S_3 , H_3 , $S_2 \times R$, $H_2 \times R$, Sol, Nil, SL(2, R).

This suggests a connection with some deep mathematics here.



This allows us to write the last condition on the curvatures as:

$$\xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 - \hat{e}^a_i \hat{e}^b_j \bar{R}^{\ \ ij}_{ab}(\bar{\omega})$$

All we need to do now is find a set of triads e_a^i which satisfy all the earlier conditions. Evaluate the corresponding spatial (three-) curvature scalar $\hat{e}_i^a \hat{e}_j^b \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega})$ to fix the above combination of the contorsion matrices as represented by ξ .

This is what we shall do next. There are many possible solutions.

There are a set of solutions for homgeneous three-geometries described by the triads e_a^i , which can be put in eight classes given by Thurston's model three-geometries.

These eight geometries are: E_3 , S_3 , H_3 , $S_2 \times R$, $H_2 \times R$, Sol, Nil, SL(2, R).

This suggests a connection with some deep mathematics here.

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: (H_3 geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right) , \quad z > 0.$$

The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: $(H_3 \text{ geometry})$. The metric is given by: $ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) , \quad z > 0.$ The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: $(H_3 \text{ geometry})$. The metric is given by:

 $ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right) , \qquad z > 0.$

The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: $(H_3 \text{ geometry})$. The metric is given by:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right) , \quad z > 0.$$

The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: $(H_3 \text{ geometry})$. The metric is given by:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right) , \quad z > 0.$$

The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: $(H_3 \text{ geometry})$. The metric is given by:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right) , \quad z > 0.$$

The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: $(H_3 \text{ geometry})$. The metric is given by:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right) , \quad z > 0.$$

The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: $(H_3 \text{ geometry})$. The metric is given by:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right) , \quad z > 0.$$

The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Let us now present a few examples of such explicit degenerate tetrad solutions based on some of these model three-geometries.

Example 1: $(H_3 \text{ geometry})$. The metric is given by:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{z^2} \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \right) , \quad z > 0.$$

The triads and associated Levi-Civita connection fields and field strength fields are:

Example 2: (*Sol* geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = \exp(\frac{2z}{\ell}) dx^2 + \exp(-\frac{2z}{\ell}) dy^2 + dz^2$$

The triads and associated Levi-civita connection and curvature components are:

 $\begin{aligned} e_x^1 &= \exp\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ e_y^2 &= \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ e_z^3 &= 1, \\ all \ others \ zero; \\ \bar{\omega}_y^{23}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \ exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \ \bar{\omega}_x^{31}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \ exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ others \ zero; \\ \bar{R}_{xy}^{-12}(\bar{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \ \bar{R}_{yz}^{-23}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ \bar{R}_{zx}^{-31}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ others \ zero \\ \vdots \\ The \ scalar \ (spatial) \ three-curvature \ is: \ \hat{e}_i^a \ \hat{e}_b^i \ \bar{R}_{ab}^{-ij}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{2}{\ell^2} \\ \text{And the final constraint is: } \quad \xi \ \equiv \ N^{ij} N^{ji} - N^{ii} N^{jj} = \ 6\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \end{aligned}$

Example 2: (*Sol* geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds^2_{(4)} = \exp(\frac{2z}{\ell}) dx^2 + \exp(-\frac{2z}{\ell}) dy^2 + dz^2$$

The triads and associated Levi-civita connection and curvature components are:

$$\begin{split} e_x^1 &= \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}) , \ e_y^2 &= \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}) , \ e_z^3 &= 1, \qquad \text{all others zero;} \\ \bar{\omega}_y^{23}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \ \bar{\omega}_x^{31}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \quad \text{others zero;} \\ \bar{R}_{xy}^{-12}(\bar{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \ \bar{R}_{yz}^{-23}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \ \bar{R}_{zx}^{-31}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \quad \text{others zero;} \\ \bar{\Gamma} \text{ he scalar (spatial) three-curvature is:} \quad \hat{e}_i^a \ \hat{e}_i^b \ \bar{R}_{ab}^{-ij}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{2}{\ell^2} \\ \text{And the final constraint is:} \quad \xi &\equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} &= -6\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \end{split}$$

Example 2: (*Sol* geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds^2_{(4)} = \exp(\frac{2z}{\ell}) dx^2 + \exp(-\frac{2z}{\ell}) dy^2 + dz^2$$

The triads and associated Levi-civita connection and curvature components are:

$$\begin{split} e_x^1 &= \exp\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ e_y^2 &= \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ e_z^3 &= 1, \qquad \text{all others zero;} \\ \bar{\omega}_y^{23}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ \bar{\omega}_x^{31}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \exp\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \quad \text{others zero;} \\ \bar{R}_{xy}^{-12}(\bar{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \ \bar{R}_{yz}^{-23}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ \bar{R}_{zx}^{-31}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \quad \text{others zero;} \\ \bar{\Gamma} \text{ he scalar (spatial) three-curvature is:} \quad \hat{e}_i^3 \ \hat{e}_i^b \ \bar{R}_{ab}^{-ij}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{2}{\ell^2} \\ \text{And the final constraint is:} \quad \xi &\equiv N^{ij} N^{ji} - N^{ii} N^{jj} &= -6\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \end{split}$$

Example 2: (*Sol* geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds^2_{(4)} = \exp(\frac{2z}{\ell}) dx^2 + \exp(-\frac{2z}{\ell}) dy^2 + dz^2$$

The triads and associated Levi-civita connection and curvature components are:

$$\begin{split} e_x^1 &= \exp\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ e_y^2 &= \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ e_z^3 &= 1, \qquad \text{all others zero;} \\ \bar{\omega}_y^{23}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ \bar{\omega}_x^{31}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \exp\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \quad \text{others zero;} \\ \bar{R}_{xy}^{12}(\bar{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \ \bar{R}_{yz}^{23}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp\left(-\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \ \bar{R}_{zx}^{31}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right), \quad \text{others zero;} \\ \vdots \\ \\ The scalar (spatial) three-curvature is: \ \hat{e}_i^3 \ \hat{e}_i^b \ \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{2}{\ell^2} \\ \\ \text{And the final constraint is: } \quad \xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \end{split}$$

Example 2: (*Sol* geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds^2_{(4)} = \exp(\frac{2z}{\ell}) dx^2 + \exp(-\frac{2z}{\ell}) dy^2 + dz^2$$

The triads and associated Levi-civita connection and curvature components are:

 $\begin{aligned} e_x^1 &= \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}) , \ e_y^2 &= \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}) , \ e_z^3 &= 1, \\ all \ others \ zero; \\ \bar{\omega}_y^{23}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \ exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \ \bar{\omega}_x^{31}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \ exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ others \ zero; \\ \bar{R}_{xy}^{12}(\bar{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \ \bar{R}_{yz}^{23}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ \bar{R}_{zx}^{31}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ others \ zero; \\ \hline \text{The scalar (spatial) three-curvature is:} \ \hat{e}_i^a \ \hat{e}_i^b \ \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ ij}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{2}{\ell^2} \\ \text{And the final constraint is:} \quad \xi \ \equiv \ N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = \ 6\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \end{aligned}$

Example 2: (*Sol* geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds^2_{(4)} = \exp(\frac{2z}{\ell}) dx^2 + \exp(-\frac{2z}{\ell}) dy^2 + dz^2$$

The triads and associated Levi-civita connection and curvature components are:

 $e_x^{1} = \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), e_y^{2} = \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), e_z^{3} = 1, \quad \text{all others zero;}$ $\bar{\omega}_y^{23}(e) = -\frac{1}{\ell} \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \quad \bar{\omega}_x^{31}(e) = -\frac{1}{\ell} \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \quad \text{others zero;}$ $\bar{R}_{xy}^{12}(\bar{\omega}) = \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \quad \bar{R}_{yz}^{23}(\bar{\omega}) = -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \quad \bar{R}_{zx}^{31}(\bar{\omega}) = -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \quad \text{others zero;}$ $The scalar (spatial) \text{ three-curvature is:} \quad \hat{e}_i^a \quad \hat{e}_i^b \quad \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ \ ij}(\bar{\omega}) = -\frac{2}{\ell^2}$ $And the final constraint is: \quad \xi \equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = 6\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{\ell^2}$

Example 2: (*Sol* geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds^2_{(4)} = \exp(\frac{2z}{\ell}) dx^2 + \exp(-\frac{2z}{\ell}) dy^2 + dz^2$$

The triads and associated Levi-civita connection and curvature components are:

$$\begin{split} e_x^1 &= \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}) , \ e_y^2 &= \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}) , \ e_z^3 &= 1, \\ all \ others \ zero; \\ \bar{\omega}_y^{23}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \ exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \ \bar{\omega}_x^{31}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \ exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ others \ zero; \\ \bar{R}_{xy}^{12}(\bar{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \ \bar{R}_{yz}^{23}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ \bar{R}_{zx}^{31}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ others \ zero; \\ \hline \\ The \ scalar \ (spatial) \ three-curvature \ is: \ \hat{e}_i^a \ \hat{e}_i^b \ \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ ij}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{2}{\ell^2} \\ And \ the \ final \ constraint \ is: \ \xi &\equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = \ 6\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \end{split}$$

Example 2: (*Sol* geometry). The metric is given by:

$$ds^2_{(4)} = \exp(\frac{2z}{\ell}) dx^2 + \exp(-\frac{2z}{\ell}) dy^2 + dz^2$$

The triads and associated Levi-civita connection and curvature components are:

$$\begin{split} e_x^1 &= \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}) , \ e_y^2 &= \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}) , \ e_z^3 &= 1, \\ all \ others \ zero; \\ \bar{\omega}_y^{23}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \ exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \ \bar{\omega}_x^{31}(e) &= -\frac{1}{\ell} \ exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ others \ zero; \\ \bar{R}_{xy}^{12}(\bar{\omega}) &= \frac{1}{\ell^2}, \ \bar{R}_{yz}^{23}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(-\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ \bar{R}_{zx}^{31}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{\ell^2} \exp(\frac{z}{\ell}), \\ others \ zero \\ \vdots \\ The \ scalar \ (spatial) \ three-curvature \ is: \ \hat{e}_i^a \ \hat{e}_i^b \ \bar{R}_{ab}^{\ ij}(\bar{\omega}) &= -\frac{2}{\ell^2} \\ And \ the \ final \ constraint \ is: \ \xi &\equiv N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj} = \ 6\lambda^2 + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \end{split}$$

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ . Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

Unlike the usual framework where torsion enters through matter couplings such as fermions, we have here solutions which exhibit torsion in the pure gravity without any matter fields.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ . Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

Unlike the usual framework where torsion enters through matter couplings such as fermions, we have here solutions which exhibit torsion in the pure gravity without any matter fields.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ . Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

Unlike the usual framework where torsion enters through matter couplings such as fermions, we have here solutions which exhibit torsion in the pure gravity without any matter fields.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 \times 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ . Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

Unlike the usual framework where torsion enters through matter couplings such as fermions, we have here solutions which exhibit torsion in the pure gravity without any matter fields.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 \times 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ .

Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

Unlike the usual framework where torsion enters through matter couplings such as fermions, we have here solutions which exhibit torsion in the pure gravity without any matter fields.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3×3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_{2}^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_{2}^{l}$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ . Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3×3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_{2}^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_{2}^{l}$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ . Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

Unlike the usual framework where torsion enters through matter couplings such as fermions, we have here solutions which exhibit torsion in the pure gravity without any matter fields.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3×3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_{2}^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_{2}^{l}$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ . Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

Unlike the usual framework where torsion enters through matter couplings such as fermions, we have here solutions which exhibit torsion in the pure gravity without any matter fields.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

Let us try to understand the nature of geometry these new solutions represent.

These do not represent the usual geometry as seen in Einstein gravity.

To analyse this question, we shall go to the flat space-time limit.

In the flat space-time limit, square of the infinitesimal length element is given by (with Lorentzian signature):

$$ds^2_{(4)} = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2$$

Degenerate tetrads correspond to the limit here where the metric component $g_{tt} \equiv -c^2 \rightarrow 0$.

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

Let us try to understand the nature of geometry these new solutions represent.

These do not represent the usual geometry as seen in Einstein gravity.

To analyse this question, we shall go to the flat space-time limit.

In the flat space-time limit, square of the infinitesimal length element is given by (with Lorentzian signature):

$$ds^2_{(4)} = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2$$

Degenerate tetrads correspond to the limit here where the metric component $g_{tt} \equiv -c^2 \rightarrow 0$.

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

Let us try to understand the nature of geometry these new solutions represent.

These do not represent the usual geometry as seen in Einstein gravity.

To analyse this question, we shall go to the flat space-time limit.

In the flat space-time limit, square of the infinitesimal length element is given by (with Lorentzian signature):

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2$$

Degenerate tetrads correspond to the limit here where the metric component $g_{tt} \equiv -c^2 \rightarrow 0$.

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

Let us try to understand the nature of geometry these new solutions represent.

These do not represent the usual geometry as seen in Einstein gravity.

To analyse this question, we shall go to the flat space-time limit.

In the flat space-time limit, square of the infinitesimal length element is given by (with Lorentzian signature):

 $ds^2_{(4)} = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2$

Degenerate tetrads correspond to the limit here where the metric component $g_{tt} \equiv -c^2 \rightarrow 0$.

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

Let us try to understand the nature of geometry these new solutions represent.

These do not represent the usual geometry as seen in Einstein gravity.

To analyse this question, we shall go to the flat space-time limit.

In the flat space-time limit, square of the infinitesimal length element is given by (with Lorentzian signature):

$$ds^2_{(4)} = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2$$

Degenerate tetrads correspond to the limit here where the metric component $g_{tt} \equiv -c^2 \rightarrow 0$.

- 日本 - 4 日本 - 4 日本 - 日本

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical eqns of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

Let us try to understand the nature of geometry these new solutions represent.

These do not represent the usual geometry as seen in Einstein gravity.

To analyse this question, we shall go to the flat space-time limit.

In the flat space-time limit, square of the infinitesimal length element is given by (with Lorentzian signature):

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2$$

Degenerate tetrads correspond to the limit here where the metric component $g_{tt} \equiv -c^2 \rightarrow 0$.

This length element stays unaltered under change of frames:

$$ds_{(4)}^{2} = dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2} - c^{2}dt^{2} = dx'^{2} + dy'^{2} + dz'^{2} - c^{2}dt'^{2}$$

(i) Lorentz transformation (LT) leaves this length element invariant:

$$dx' = rac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - rac{v^2}{c^2}}} \;, \; dy' = dy \;, \; dz' = dz \;, \; dt' = rac{dt - rac{z}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - rac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \; v^2 < c^2$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (for $\Delta x' = 0$) is the velocity of a fixed point in the dashed frame as measured in the space-time of the undashed system.

(ii) (Not so well known), there is another way (dual to LT) of writing transformations that leave $ds^2_{(4)}$ unchanged (N.D. Sen Gupta, 1966):

$$dx' = -\frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = -\frac{dt - \frac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, \qquad w^2 > c^2$$

Here w, despite its dimensions, is not a velocity; it rather represents, $(w = \frac{dx}{dt} \text{ for } \Delta t' = 0)$, the rate of change of an event which occurs at a fixed time in the dashed system as measured in the undashed system.


This length element stays unaltered under change of frames:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2 = dx'^2 + dy'^2 + dz'^2 - c^2 dt'^2$$

(i) Lorentz transformation (LT) leaves this length element invariant:

$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dt}{c^2} dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \quad v^2 < c^2$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (for $\Delta x' = 0$) is the velocity of a fixed point in the dashed frame as measured in the space-time of the undashed system.

(ii) (Not so well known), there is another way (dual to LT) of writing transformations that leave $ds^2_{(4)}$ unchanged (N.D. Sen Gupta, 1966):

$$dx' = -\frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}} , \ dy' = dy , \ dz' = dz , \ dt' = -\frac{dt - \frac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, \qquad w^2 > c^2$$



This length element stays unaltered under change of frames:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2 = dx'^2 + dy'^2 + dz'^2 - c^2 dt'^2$$

(i) Lorentz transformation (LT) leaves this length element invariant:

$$dx' = rac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - rac{v^2}{c^2}}} \;,\;\; dy' = dy \;,\;\; dz' = dz \;,\;\; dt' = rac{dt - rac{2}{c^2}ax}{\sqrt{1 - rac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \qquad v^2 < c^2$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (for $\Delta x' = 0$) is the velocity of a fixed point in the dashed frame as measured in the space-time of the undashed system.

(ii) (Not so well known), there is another way (dual to LT) of writing transformations that leave $ds^2_{(4)}$ unchanged (N.D. Sen Gupta, 1966):

$$dx' = -\frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = -\frac{dt - \frac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, \qquad w^2 > c^2$$



This length element stays unaltered under change of frames:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2 = dx'^2 + dy'^2 + dz'^2 - c^2 dt'^2$$

(i) Lorentz transformation (LT) leaves this length element invariant:

$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dt - v^2}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \quad v^2 < c^2$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (for $\Delta x' = 0$) is the velocity of a fixed point in the dashed frame as measured in the space-time of the undashed system.

(ii) (Not so well known), there is another way (dual to LT) of writing transformations that leave $ds^2_{(4)}$ unchanged (N.D. Sen Gupta, 1966):

$$dx' = -\frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}} , \ dy' = dy , \ dz' = dz , \ dt' = -\frac{dt - \frac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, \qquad w^2 > c^2$$



This length element stays unaltered under change of frames:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2 = dx'^2 + dy'^2 + dz'^2 - c^2 dt'^2$$

(i) Lorentz transformation (LT) leaves this length element invariant:

$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dt - v^2}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \quad v^2 < c^2$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (for $\Delta x' = 0$) is the velocity of a fixed point in the dashed frame as measured in the space-time of the undashed system.

(ii) (Not so well known), there is another way (dual to LT) of writing transformations that leave $ds^2_{(4)}$ unchanged (N.D. Sen Gupta, 1966):

$$dx' = -\frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}} , \ dy' = dy , \ dz' = dz , \ dt' = -\frac{dt - \frac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, \qquad w^2 > c^2$$



This length element stays unaltered under change of frames:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2 = dx'^2 + dy'^2 + dz'^2 - c^2 dt'^2$$

(i) Lorentz transformation (LT) leaves this length element invariant:

$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dt - v^2}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \quad v^2 < c^2$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (for $\Delta x' = 0$) is the velocity of a fixed point in the dashed frame as measured in the space-time of the undashed system.

(ii) (Not so well known), there is another way (dual to LT) of writing transformations that leave $ds_{(4)}^2$ unchanged (N.D. Sen Gupta, 1966):

$$dx' = rac{dx - rac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - rac{c^2}{w^2}}} \;, \; dy' = dy \;, \; dz' = dz \;, \; dt' = rac{dt - rac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - rac{c^2}{w^2}}}, \qquad w^2 > c^2$$



This length element stays unaltered under change of frames:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2 = dx'^2 + dy'^2 + dz'^2 - c^2 dt'^2$$

(i) Lorentz transformation (LT) leaves this length element invariant:

$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dt - v^2}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \quad v^2 < c^2$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (for $\Delta x' = 0$) is the velocity of a fixed point in the dashed frame as measured in the space-time of the undashed system.

(ii) (Not so well known), there is another way (dual to LT) of writing transformations that leave $ds_{(4)}^2$ unchanged (N.D. Sen Gupta, 1966):

$$dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, \qquad w^2 > c^2$$



This length element stays unaltered under change of frames:

$$ds_{(4)}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 - c^2 dt^2 = dx'^2 + dy'^2 + dz'^2 - c^2 dt'^2$$

(i) Lorentz transformation (LT) leaves this length element invariant:

$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dt - vdt}{c^2}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \quad v^2 < c^2$$

where $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ (for $\Delta x' = 0$) is the velocity of a fixed point in the dashed frame as measured in the space-time of the undashed system.

(ii) (Not so well known), there is another way (dual to LT) of writing transformations that leave $ds_{(4)}^2$ unchanged (N.D. Sen Gupta, 1966):

$$dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}} , \ dy' = dy \ , \ dz' = dz \ , \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, \qquad w^2 > c^2$$

Here w, despite its dimensions, is not a velocity; it rather represents, $(w = \frac{dx}{dt} \text{ for } \Delta t' = 0)$, the rate of change of an event which occurs at a fixed time in the dashed system as measured in the undashed system.



э

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \ dy' = dy, \ dz' = dz, \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}},$$

which in the limit $c
ightarrow\infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):

$$c
ightarrow \infty$$
 : $dx' = dx - vdt$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = dt$

(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation is appropriate: $dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dx}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}.$

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

$$c
ightarrow 0: \qquad dx' = dx \;,\; dy' = dy \;,\; dz' = dz \;,\; dt' = dt - rac{dx}{w}$$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}},$$

which in the limit $c
ightarrow\infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):

$$c
ightarrow \infty$$
 : $dx' = dx - vdt$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = dt$

(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation is appropriate: $dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dx}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}.$

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

$$c
ightarrow 0: \qquad dx' = dx \;,\; dy' = dy \;,\; dz' = dz \;,\; dt' = dt - rac{dx}{w}$$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$
, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$,
which in the limit $c \to \infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):
 $c \to \infty$: $dx' = dx - vdt$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = dt$
(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation
is appropriate: $dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dw}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}$.

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

$$c
ightarrow 0: \qquad dx' = dx \;,\; dy' = dy \;,\; dz' = dz \;,\; dt' = dt - rac{dx}{w}$$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$
, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$,
which in the limit $c \to \infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):

 $c \to \infty$: dx' = dx - vdt, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, dt' = dt

(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation is appropriate: $dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dx}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}.$

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

$$c
ightarrow 0: \qquad dx' = dx \;,\; dy' = dy \;,\; dz' = dz \;,\; dt' = dt - rac{dx}{w}$$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \ dy' = dy, \ dz' = dz, \ dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}},$$

which in the limit $c \to \infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):

$$c o \infty$$
: $dx' = dx - vdt$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = dt$

(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation is appropriate: $dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dx}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}.$

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

$$c
ightarrow 0: \qquad dx' = dx \;,\; dy' = dy \;,\; dz' = dz \;,\; dt' = dt - rac{dx}{w}$$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$
, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$,
which in the limit $c \to \infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):
 $c \to \infty$: $dx' = dx - vdt$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = dt$
(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation
is appropriate: $dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dx}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}$.

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

$$c
ightarrow 0: \qquad dx' = dx \;,\; dy' = dy \;,\; dz' = dz \;,\; dt' = dt - rac{dx}{w}$$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$
, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$,
which in the limit $c \to \infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):
 $c \to \infty$: $dx' = dx - vdt$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = dt$
(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation
is appropriate: $dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w^2}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dx}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}$.

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

c
ightarrow 0: dx' = dx, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, $dt' = dt - \frac{dx}{w}$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$
, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$,
which in the limit $c \to \infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):
 $c \to \infty$: $dx' = dx - vdt$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = dt$
(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation

is appropriate:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dx}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}.$$

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

$$c
ightarrow 0: \qquad dx' = dx \;,\; dy' = dy \;,\; dz' = dz \;,\; dt' = dt - rac{dx}{w}$$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

It is of interest to study these two types of transformations in two different limits, $c \to \infty$ and $c \to 0$ respectively.

(i) Recall LT:
$$dx' = \frac{dx - vdt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$
, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{v}{c^2}dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$,
which in the limit $c \to \infty$ reduce to Galilean transformation (GT):
 $c \to \infty$: $dx' = dx - vdt$, $dy' = dy$, $dz' = dz$, $dt' = dt$

(ii) On the other hand, for $c \to 0$, the second (dual) transformation is appropriate: $dx' = \frac{dx - \frac{c^2}{w}dt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}$, dy' = dy, dz' = dz, $dt' = \frac{dt - \frac{dx}{w}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{w^2}}}$.

This reduces, in this limit, to Sen Gupta transformation (dual to GT):

$$c
ightarrow 0: \qquad dx' = dx \;,\; dy' = dy \;,\; dz' = dz \;,\; dt' = dt - rac{dx}{w}$$

(N.D. Sen Gupta, *On an Analogue of the Galilei Group*, Nuovo Cimento, **XLIV**, (1966) 4772-4777)

Thus we notice:

In the first order formulation, whereas invertible tetrads correspond to the usual Einsteinian curved space-time, the degenerate tetrads *(with one zero eigenvalue)* lead us to another (dual) phase described by the curved space-time generalizations of the Sen Gupta space-time.



Thus we notice:

In the first order formulation, whereas invertible tetrads correspond to the usual Einsteinian curved space-time, the degenerate tetrads *(with one zero eigenvalue)* lead us to another (dual) phase described by the curved space-time generalizations of the Sen Gupta space-time.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical equations of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ .

Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical equations of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ .

Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical equations of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ .

Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.



We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical equations of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ .

Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical equations of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ .

Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

We have constructed a variety of solutions for the classical equations of motion in the first order formulation with degenerate tetrads with one zero eigenvalue.

These all generically contain torsion.

The torsion is parametrized through the symmetric 3 × 3 matrix of fields N^{kl} (where contorsion $\kappa_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} N^{kl} e_a^l$).

Components of N^{kl} depend on all the four coordinates, x, y, z, τ .

Six components $N^{kl}(x, y, z, \tau)$ are all independent except for one constraint so that the combination $\xi \equiv (N^{ij}N^{ji} - N^{ii}N^{jj})$ has fixed values as dictated by the various solutions.

Thus the phase with degenerate tetrads leads to solutions of the equation of motion which generically carry non-zero torsion even in absence of any torsion inducing matter.

In contrast, in the second order formulation, we need matter fields such as fermions to introduce torsion.

A specific class of these degenerate tetrad solutions with one zero eigenvalue are associated with Thurston's homogeneous model eight three-geometries.

There is a profound connection with deep mathematics here.

Gravity theories in the second order formulation based on Einstein-Hilbert action functional and the first order formulation based on Hilbert-Palatini action are not equivalent even classically.



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Thus the phase with degenerate tetrads leads to solutions of the equation of motion which generically carry non-zero torsion even in absence of any torsion inducing matter.

In contrast, in the second order formulation, we need matter fields such as fermions to introduce torsion.

A specific class of these degenerate tetrad solutions with one zero eigenvalue are associated with Thurston's homogeneous model eight three-geometries.

There is a profound connection with deep mathematics here.

Gravity theories in the second order formulation based on Einstein-Hilbert action functional and the first order formulation based on Hilbert-Palatini action are not equivalent even classically.



Thus the phase with degenerate tetrads leads to solutions of the equation of motion which generically carry non-zero torsion even in absence of any torsion inducing matter.

In contrast, in the second order formulation, we need matter fields such as fermions to introduce torsion.

A specific class of these degenerate tetrad solutions with one zero eigenvalue are associated with Thurston's homogeneous model eight three-geometries.

There is a profound connection with deep mathematics here.

Gravity theories in the second order formulation based on Einstein-Hilbert action functional and the first order formulation based on Hilbert-Palatini action are not equivalent even classically.



Thus the phase with degenerate tetrads leads to solutions of the equation of motion which generically carry non-zero torsion even in absence of any torsion inducing matter.

In contrast, in the second order formulation, we need matter fields such as fermions to introduce torsion.

A specific class of these degenerate tetrad solutions with one zero eigenvalue are associated with Thurston's homogeneous model eight three-geometries.

There is a profound connection with deep mathematics here.

Gravity theories in the second order formulation based on Einstein-Hilbert action functional and the first order formulation based on Hilbert-Palatini action are not equivalent even classically.



Thus the phase with degenerate tetrads leads to solutions of the equation of motion which generically carry non-zero torsion even in absence of any torsion inducing matter.

In contrast, in the second order formulation, we need matter fields such as fermions to introduce torsion.

A specific class of these degenerate tetrad solutions with one zero eigenvalue are associated with Thurston's homogeneous model eight three-geometries.

There is a profound connection with deep mathematics here.

Gravity theories in the second order formulation based on Einstein-Hilbert action functional and the first order formulation based on Hilbert-Palatini action are not equivalent even classically.



Thus the phase with degenerate tetrads leads to solutions of the equation of motion which generically carry non-zero torsion even in absence of any torsion inducing matter.

In contrast, in the second order formulation, we need matter fields such as fermions to introduce torsion.

A specific class of these degenerate tetrad solutions with one zero eigenvalue are associated with Thurston's homogeneous model eight three-geometries.

There is a profound connection with deep mathematics here.

Gravity theories in the second order formulation based on Einstein-Hilbert action functional and the first order formulation based on Hilbert-Palatini action are not equivalent even classically.



This analysis can also be extended to obtain additional degenerate solutions of the equations of motion containing tetrads with two zero eigenvalues.

These also exhibit non-zero torsion even without usual torsion inducing matter.

A special class of such solutions (degenerate 4–geometries) correspond to three fundamental geometries that closed twosurfaces can accommodate, namely, two dimensional Euclidean plane E^2 , two-sphere S^2 and two dimensional hyperbolic plane H^2 .

For details of solutions containing tetrads with two and more zero eigenvalues see:

RKK and *S.* Senguta, New solutions in pure gravity with degenerate tetrads, *Phys. Rev. D* 94, 104047 (2016).



This analysis can also be extended to obtain additional degenerate solutions of the equations of motion containing tetrads with two zero eigenvalues.

These also exhibit non-zero torsion even without usual torsion inducing matter.

A special class of such solutions (degenerate 4–geometries) correspond to three fundamental geometries that closed twosurfaces can accommodate, namely, two dimensional Euclidean plane E^2 , two-sphere S^2 and two dimensional hyperbolic plane H^2 .

For details of solutions containing tetrads with two and more zero eigenvalues see:

RKK and *S.* Senguta, New solutions in pure gravity with degenerate tetrads, *Phys. Rev. D* 94, 104047 (2016).



This analysis can also be extended to obtain additional degenerate solutions of the equations of motion containing tetrads with two zero eigenvalues.

These also exhibit non-zero torsion even without usual torsion inducing matter.

A special class of such solutions (degenerate 4–geometries) correspond to three fundamental geometries that closed twosurfaces can accommodate, namely, two dimensional Euclidean plane E^2 , two-sphere S^2 and two dimensional hyperbolic plane H^2 .

For details of solutions containing tetrads with two and more zero eigenvalues see:

RKK and S. Senguta, New solutions in pure gravity with degenerate tetrads, Phys. Rev. D 94, 104047 (2016).



This analysis can also be extended to obtain additional degenerate solutions of the equations of motion containing tetrads with two zero eigenvalues.

These also exhibit non-zero torsion even without usual torsion inducing matter.

A special class of such solutions (degenerate 4–geometries) correspond to three fundamental geometries that closed twosurfaces can accommodate, namely, two dimensional Euclidean plane E^2 , two-sphere S^2 and two dimensional hyperbolic plane H^2 .

For details of solutions containing tetrads with two and more zero eigenvalues see:

RKK and S. Senguta, New solutions in pure gravity with degenerate tetrads, Phys. Rev. D 94, 104047 (2016).



This analysis can also be extended to obtain additional degenerate solutions of the equations of motion containing tetrads with two zero eigenvalues.

These also exhibit non-zero torsion even without usual torsion inducing matter.

A special class of such solutions (degenerate 4–geometries) correspond to three fundamental geometries that closed twosurfaces can accommodate, namely, two dimensional Euclidean plane E^2 , two-sphere S^2 and two dimensional hyperbolic plane H^2 .

For details of solutions containing tetrads with two and more zero eigenvalues see:

RKK and *S.* Senguta, New solutions in pure gravity with degenerate tetrads, *Phys. Rev. D* 94, 104047 (2016).



Are First and Second Order Formulations of Gravity Equivalent?

Thank you!

