

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation: JAN-MAY 2025

Employee ID: 008479 Faculty Name: SRIRAMKUMAR L

Course No : PH7080 Course Name : Foundations in Theoretical Physics

Responses / Regn: 17/20 Department: Physics

| Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|------|--------|---------|------|-----------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Evaluation | Mean | Median | Std Dev | MAD | Dept Mean | Institute Mean | | | | | |
| Course | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.86 | 0.87 | | | | | |
| Instructor | 0.89 | 0.91 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.88 | 0.89 | | | | | |

| Question-Wise Response | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|---|---|----|-----|----|------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Question No | SA | A | N | DA | SDA | NA | Mean | Institute Mean | | | | |
| 1 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.88 | 0.86 | | | | |
| 2 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 0.84 | | | | |
| 3 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.92 | 0.87 | | | | |
| 4 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 0.85 | | | | |
| 5 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 0.84 | | | | |
| 6 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.86 | 0.86 | | | | |
| 7 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.88 | 0.83 | | | | |
| 8 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.92 | 0.86 | | | | |
| 9 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.92 | 0.86 | | | | |
| 10 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 0.84 | | | | |
| 11 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.91 | 0.83 | | | | |
| 12 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.83 | 0.79 | | | | |
| 13 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.88 | 0.83 | | | | |
| 14 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.91 | 0.85 | | | | |

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list

- 1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
- 2.The concepts of the course were communicated well
- 3. The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
- 4.The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
- 5. The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
- 6. The instructor was willing and available for help outside the class if required
- 7. The instructor took interest in monitoring the progress of the students throughout the course
- 8. The instructor encouraged student-teacher interaction and other relevant learning activities in the class when required

- 9. The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely

 10. The course was planned and structured well
- 11. The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
- 12. The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the course
- 13. Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
- 14. Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 9 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 10 to 14 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks

great lectures but this course needs to be spread out across 2 semesters . short time for each topic doesnt do justice for the teachers as well , too rushed.

The instructor taught well very. But the course structure is not suitable for one sem. It should be at least for 2 sem course.

i enjoyed this course

No comments

A bit advanced

The teaching was very good and interactive. Some higher level topics were taught but they improved my understanding of theoretical physics.

The teaching style is very good and the explanations are clear. They teach advanced topics in a very simple way and give good quality assignments. This really helps to improve my understanding.

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course

No Remarks Given