Summing up Open-String Instantons

Suresh Govindarajan Indian Institute of Technology, Madras



Talk at the CITUSC Center, Jan. 30, 2002

Based on work with T. Jayaraman and T. Sarkar

hep-th/0108234

Motivation

 Summing up closed-string instantons Morrison – Plesser summed up closed-string instantons in the A-twisted topological version of the gauged linear sigma model(GLSM). Can one carry over these methods to the open-string case?

Issues: Need to construct a GLSM for Abranes first.

Extended Mirror Symmetry Mirror symmetry in its extended form includes D-branes on both sides. For the closed string case – the contributions of closed-string instantons is naturally summed up in the mirror where the classical computation is exact. The instanton expansion then arises from the change of variables called the *closed string mirror map*.

Is there an analogous open-string mirror map?

• Open-Closed Duality Gopakumar – Vafa proposed a duality between $SU(N)_k$ Chern-Simons gauge theory on S^3 [obtained as the worldvolume (topological) theory of ND3-branes wrapping S^3 of the deformed conifold] and the closed topological string on the resolved conifold. One can show that the CS partition function can be written as in the large-N 't Hooft expansion $g_s = 2\pi i/(k+N)$ and $t = 2\pi i N/(k+N)$

$$Z_{CS}(S^3) = \exp\left[\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} g_s^{2g-2} F_g(t)\right]$$

 $F_g(t)$ – the g-loop string amplitude on the resolved conifold with Kähler modulus t.

$$F_0(t) = P_3(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{n^3}$$
, $q = e^{-t}$



The CS partition function can be rewritten

$$\exp\left[\sum_{g,h} (g_s)^{2g-2+h} F_{g,h}(s,t)\right]$$

s is the holonomy of the gauge field on sL and $F_{g,h}$ is the string amplitude on the resolved conifold. In particular,

$$F_{disc} = F_{0,1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m,k} \frac{d_{k,m}}{n^2} q^{nk} s^{mn}$$

There are strong integrality conditions on the $d_{k,m}$.



GETTING CLOSED OBJECTS FROM A DISC



Plan

- Motivation
- A-branes in \mathbb{C}^n and non-compact Calabi-Yau threefolds
- A gauged linear sigma model (GLSM) with boundary for A-branes
- The topological *A*-model and the openstring instanton moduli space
- Finding the open-string mirror map and the superpotential
- Conclusion and Outlook

Special Lagrangian submanifolds of \mathbb{C}^n

Harvey-Lawson; Aganagic-Vafa

$$\omega \equiv i \sum_{i} dz_i \wedge d\overline{z}_i \text{ and } \underbrace{\Omega = dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n}_{\text{hol. (n,0) form}}$$

Consider the submanifold L given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{\alpha} |\phi_i|^2 = c^{\alpha} \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, r$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{\beta}^i \theta_i = 0 \quad \beta = 1, \dots, (n-r)$$

L is Lagrangian ($\omega|_L = 0$) if

•

$$\sum_i q_i^{lpha} v_{eta}^i = 0$$

and special Lagrangian $(\text{Im}\Omega|_L \text{ or } \text{Re}\Omega|_L = 0)$ when one of the angle conditions is $\sum_i \theta_i = 0$ which implies

$$\sum_{i} q_i^{\alpha} = 0$$

A-branes in \mathbb{C}^2 Consider the submanifold L given by

$$|\phi_1|^2 - |\phi_2|^2 = c^1$$
 and $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$.

- 1. When $c^1 \neq 0$, L is a non-compact manifold with boundary – it is the circle of radius $\sqrt{c^1}$ when $\phi_2 = 0$. The boundary can be removed by *doubling* i.e., by including $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = \pi$. The topology of L is $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$.
- 2. When $c^1 = 0$, there is no boundary and the topology of L is $\mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1$.



Non-compact CY3 s in the GLSM

Ingredients:

• (n-3) U(1) Vector Multiplets:

$$V_a = (v^a_\mu, \sigma^a, \bar{\sigma}^a, \lambda^a_\pm, \bar{\lambda}^a_\pm, D^a)$$

The field strengths are part of twisted chiral multiplets:

$$\Sigma^a = (\sigma^a, \lambda^a_{\pm}, D^a + iv^a_{01})$$

• *n* Chiral Multiplets with charges Q_i^a :

$$\Phi_i = (\phi_i, \psi_{\pm}, \bar{\psi}_{\pm}, F_i)$$

• The "Calabi-Yau condition": $\sum_i Q_i^a = 0$

The bosonic potential is

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \frac{(D^{a})^{2}}{e^{2}} + \sum_{i} |F_{i}|^{2} + 2\sum_{a,b} \sigma_{a}\sigma_{b} \sum_{i} Q_{i}^{a} Q_{i}^{b} |\phi_{i}|^{2}$$

We will consider examples where there is no superpotential and thus $F_i = 0$.

Further, let us assume that the F.I. parameters r^a are such that $\sigma_a = 0$ at the minimum of the potential.

Thus, the ground state is given by ϕ_i subject to the D-term condition(s)

$$\sum_{i} Q_i^a |\phi_i|^2 = r^a$$

modulo the gauge invariances. Equivalently, the space of ground states is given by the Kähler quotient : $\mathbb{C}^n/(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-3}$

Two examples of non-compact CY3's

1. Consider four fields with charges (1, 1, -1, -1). The D-term constraint is

$$|\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 - |\phi_3|^2 - |\phi_4|^2 = r$$

For r > 0, the fields ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 with negative U(1) charge become (sections of) line bundles $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ on a \mathbb{P}^1 . The minimal \mathbb{P}^1 is given by $\phi_3 = \phi_4 = 0$. The toric skeleton is



2. Consider four fields with charges (1, 1, 1, -3). The D-term constraint is

$$|\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 + |\phi_3|^2 - 3|\phi_4|^2 = r$$

For r > 0, the field ϕ_4 with negative U(1) charge become a (section of a) line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-3)$ on a \mathbb{P}^2 . The minimal \mathbb{P}^2 is given by $\phi_4 = 0$. The toric skeleton is



sL submanifolds for non-compact $CY3^{s}$

- First, construct sL for Cⁿ. Compatibility with the Kähler quotient implies that we must choose (n − 3) of the conditions to be the D-term constraints.
- The U(1) invariance of the conditions on phases (given by v_{β}) is $\sum_{i} Q_{i}^{a} v_{\beta}^{i} = 0$. This is the same as the Lagrangian condition!
- The CY condition $\sum_i Q_i^a = 0$ is identical to the sL condition.

Consider the second example: $\mathcal{O}(-3)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 – Consider the following sL submanfolds:

$$q^{1} = (1, 0, 0, -1) \qquad |\phi_{1}|^{2} - |\phi_{4}|^{2} = c^{1}$$

$$q^{2} = (0, 1, 0, -1) \qquad |\phi_{2}|^{2} - |\phi_{4}|^{2} = c^{2}$$

$$v = (1, 1, 1, 1) \qquad \sum_{i} \theta_{i} = 0$$

For generic values of c^1 and c^2 , one needs to double to obtain an sL without boundary. However, there are three phases where no doubling is necessary and the topology of the sL is $\mathbb{C} \times S^1$.

Phase I	$c^2 = 0$	$0 < c^1 < r$
Phase II	$c^{1} = 0$	$0 < c^2 < r$
Phase III	$c^1 = c^2$	$c^{1} < 0$

 c^1 is the area of a minimal disc whose boundary ends on the sL in phase I.



The sL as b.c.'s in the GLSM w/ boundary

We work on a worldsheet with coordinates (x_0, x_1) and boundary (at $x_1 = 0$) preserving A-type supersymmetry. The boundary superspace has coordinates: $(x^0, \theta, \overline{\theta})$ with $\overline{D} = -\partial/\partial\overline{\theta} + i\theta\partial_0$.

We add complex boundary multiplets

$$U_{\alpha} = u_{\alpha} + \theta v_{\alpha} - \bar{\theta} \xi_{\alpha} + \theta \bar{\theta} (D'_{\alpha} + iR_{\alpha})$$

subject to a gauge-invariance

 $U_{\alpha} \rightarrow U_{\alpha} + L_{\alpha}$

 $\operatorname{Im} \log \Phi'_{i} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \log \Phi'_{i} + q_{i}^{\alpha} \left(\frac{L_{\alpha} + \overline{L}_{\alpha}}{2} \right) \quad .$

where L_{α} are chiral superfields $(\bar{D}L_{\alpha} = 0)$. This gauge-invariance identifies Re (u_{α}) with the 'angle' conjugate to the b.c. given by q_{α} . R_{α} transforms like a gauge field.

The gauge-invariant 'field-strength' is given by a fermi multiplet

$$\Xi_{\alpha} \equiv \bar{D}U_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha} + \theta [D'_{\alpha} + i(R_{\alpha} + \partial_0 u_{\alpha})]$$

The boundary action is given by the sum of three terms: S_1 – the boundary-bulk interaction, S_2 – the boundary K.E. and S_3 – the boundary F.I. term.

$$S_{1} = \int dx^{0} d^{2}\theta \left(\sum_{i} q_{i}^{\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{i}^{\prime} \Phi_{i}^{\prime} \right) \times \\ \times \left[\left(\frac{U_{\alpha} + \bar{U}_{\alpha}}{2} \right) - \sum_{j} \tilde{q}_{\alpha}^{j} \operatorname{Im} \log \Phi_{j}^{\prime} \right] \\ S_{2} = -\frac{1}{2e_{b}^{2}} \int dx^{0} d^{2}\theta \, \bar{\Xi}_{\alpha} \Xi_{\alpha} \\ S_{3} = -\operatorname{Re} \left(w^{\alpha} \int dx^{0} d\theta \, \Xi_{\alpha} \right) \\ = -\int dx^{0} \left(c^{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^{\prime} - \frac{\theta^{\alpha}}{2\pi} (R_{\alpha} + \partial_{0} u_{\alpha}) \right)$$

where $w^{\alpha} = c^{\alpha} + i \frac{\theta^{\alpha}}{2\pi}$ is a complex parameter.

 D_{α}^{\prime} is an auxiliary field and its eqn. of motion is

$$-\frac{D'_{\alpha}}{e_b^2} = \sum_i q_i^{\alpha} |\phi_i|^2 - c^{\alpha}$$

The topological GLSM w/ boundary

We Wick rotate the worldsheet to an Euclidean one: $x_0 \rightarrow -ix_2$, $R \rightarrow iR$. The worldsheet is now topologically a disc D with its boundary ∂D a circle.

The bulk theta term in the non-linear sigma model is related to the B-field. The complex combination $t^a = r^a - i\theta/2\pi$ is thus related to the complexification of the Kähler class.

The boundary theta term also complexifies c^{α} (the size of a minimal disc) in a similar fashion to $w^{\alpha} = c^{\alpha} - i\theta^{\alpha}/2\pi$. In the non-linear sigma model, it is related to Wilson lines on compact one-cycles of the sL.

The integers $n_a \equiv -\int_D d^2x v_{12}^a/2\pi$ and $m_\alpha \equiv -\int_{\partial D} dx_2(R_\alpha + \partial_2 u_\alpha)$ are the first Chern class of the bulk gauge field and the winding number of the boundary gauge field R_α respectively.

The localisation eqns for the bulk fields are:

$$(D_1 + iD_2)\phi_i = 0$$
$$D^a + v_{12}^a = 0$$
$$\sigma^a = 0$$

The localisation eqns for the bdry fields are:

$$D'_{\alpha} + (R_{\alpha} + \partial_2 u_{\alpha}) = 0$$

$$\xi_{\alpha} = 0$$

The path-integral of the topological theory reduces to a finite-dimensional integral over the moduli space of solutions of the above equations modulo gauge invariances – bulk and boundary.

In nice (stable) situations, this moduli space is equivalent to the moduli space of the eqn. $(D_1 + iD_2)\phi_i = 0$ modulo complexified gauge transformations for the bulk D-terms and scalings for the boundary D-terms.

The Instanton moduli space

Closed-string case

The localisation equations imply that ϕ_i are holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{O}(n_i \equiv \sum_a Q_i^a n_a)$. This implies that whenever $n_i < 0$, $\phi_i = 0$ since line bundles of negative degree do not admit holomorphic solutions. Holomorphic line bundles of positive degree n_i can be written as $(z = x_1 + ix_2)$

$$\phi_i(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} \phi_{i,j} z^j$$

Thus, the moduli space is the space of $\phi_{i,j}$ modulo complex gauge transformations.

In the two examples that we considered, when the F.I. parameter $r \gg 0$, then one requires $n \ge 0$ and for $r \ll 0$, $n \le 0$.

Open-string case

When the disc is very large, one can treat it as the complex plane. Stability imposes some conditions on how this is done. The only changes one needs to do is to let $n_i =$ $\sum_a Q_i^a n_a + \sum_{\alpha} q_i^{\alpha} m_{\alpha}$. The instanton moduli space is now the $\phi_{i,j}$ modulo complex gauge transformations for the bulk D-terms and real scalings for the boundary D-terms.

In the n = m = 0 sector, the moduli space is the sL manifold (as expected).

It looks as if we can treat the boundary and the bulk conditions on a equal footing in the topological theory.

The next step would be to compute the partition function on the disc. But ... The genus-zero partition function $F_0(t)$ can be computed in the topological GLSM by summing up the contributions from all instanton sectors.

$$F_0(t) = \sum_n (\cdots) e^{-nt}$$

where (\cdots) refers to the result from the correlation function in the sector with instanton number n.

However, these are **not** the coordinates for which one has integrality predictions. The change of variable is the closed-string mirror map. It includes the relevant quantum corrections and takes the form

 $\hat{t} = t + \text{constant} + \text{instanton corrections}$

The closed string mirror map as well as the partition function are obtained from solutions (periods) of the following differential equations, the GKZ system:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Q^a} \ \tilde{\pi}(a) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Q^a} = \prod_{Q_i^a > 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \right)^{Q_i^a} - \prod_{Q_i^a < 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \right)^{-Q_i^a}$$

There are too many variables in the above equation. Instead let the periods be functions of the variables (algebraic coordinates)

$$z_a = \prod_i (a_i)^{Q_i^a}$$

These are to be identified with e^{-t_a} . This leads to a system of differential equations satisfied by the periods associated with threecycles on the mirror. The solutions of interest near $z \rightarrow 0$ are

- 1. The non-singular solution $-\pi_0(z)$
- 2. Those with logarithmic behaviour as $z \rightarrow 0$ - $\pi_1(z)$.
- 3. Those that behave as $(\log z)^2$ as $z \to 0 \pi_2(t)$.

The closed string mirror map is given by the identification

 $\hat{t} = \pi_1 / \pi_0$

and the free-energy is given by

$$\partial_{\widehat{t}}F_0 = \pi_2/\pi_0$$
 .

For the case of $\mathcal{O}(-3)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 , one has $z = a_1 a_2 a_3 / (a_4)^3$ and the differential equation $(\theta_z = z \partial_z)$

 $\left[\Theta_z^3 + z(3\Theta_z + 2)(3\Theta_z + 1)3\Theta_z\right] \ \pi = 0$

The basic solutions are

$$\pi_{0} = 1$$

$$\pi_{1} = \log z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-)^{n} \frac{3n!}{(n!)^{3}} \frac{z^{n}}{n}$$

$$\pi_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_{1}^{2} + C^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-)^{n} \frac{3n!}{(n!)^{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{3n} \frac{1}{j} \right) \frac{z^{n}}{n}$$
where $C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-)^{n} \frac{3n!}{(n!)^{3}} \frac{z^{n}}{n}$.

The closed-string mirror map is $[q = \exp(-\hat{t})]$ $z = q + 6q^2 + 9q^3 + 56q^4 - 300q^5 + \cdots$ The GKZ diff eqn for open-string moduli

We saw that it made sense to think of the boundary and bulk D-terms on par. This suggests adding the following differential operators to the bulk GKZ system:

$$\mathcal{L}_{q^{\alpha}} = \prod_{q_i^{\alpha} > 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \right)^{q_i^{\alpha}} - \prod_{q_i^{\alpha} < 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} \right)^{-q_i^{\alpha}}$$

The open-string moduli are then given by

$$s_{\alpha} = \prod_{i} (a_i)^{q_i^{\alpha}}$$
.

For instance, in phase I for the $\mathcal{O}(-3)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 example, the GKZ equation becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Theta_z^2(\Theta_z + \Theta_s) + z \prod_{i=0}^2 (3\Theta_z + \Theta_s + i) \end{bmatrix} \pi = 0$$
$$[(\Theta_z + \Theta_s) + s(3\Theta_z + \Theta_s)] \ \pi = 0$$

Identifying the solutions of interest

Following Aganagic, Klemm and Vafa, we choose a solution with the property

$$\widehat{w} = \log s + \Delta(\vec{z})$$

for the open-string mirror map i.e., the quantum corrections are only functions of closestring moduli.

The above ansatz is not compatible with \mathcal{L}_q since Δ is not a function of s.

Require that it be a solution of \mathcal{L}_Q – the extension of the bulk GKZ by the open-string moduli.

The solution associated with the superpotential is obtained by an ansatz of the form

$$\partial_{\widehat{w}}W(\widehat{w}) = \sum_{\vec{k},m} a(\vec{k},m) z^{\vec{k}} s^{m}$$

such that

- the zero instanton contribution vanish i.e., a(0,0) = 0
- The only non-zero contributions come from sectors with allowed instanton and winding numbers.
- It should solve the full set of boundary GKZ differential equations.

Does this method work?

For phase I of $\mathcal{O}(-3)$ on \mathbb{P}^2 , the open-string mirror map is

 $s = -\hat{s}(1 + 2q - q^2 + 20q^3 - 177q^4 + 1980q^5 + \cdots)$ where $\hat{s} = e^{-\hat{w}}$; $s = e^{-w}$ and $q = e^{-\hat{t}}$.

The superpotential is given by The solution is given by

$$\partial_{\widehat{w}}W = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-k}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+m} \Gamma(3k+m)}{\Gamma(k+m+1)\Gamma(k+1)^2} z^k s^m$$

One needs to implement the change of variables $(z,s) \rightarrow (q,\hat{s})$ to verify the integrality properties.

One has

$$\partial_{\widehat{w}}W = \sum_{k,m,n} \frac{m}{n} d_{k,m} \left(q^k \widehat{s}^m\right)^n$$
.

The following table lists the degeneracies $d_{k,m}$ of disc instantons.

mackslash k	0	1	2	3	4	5
-5	0	0	0	0	0	5
-4	0	0	0	0	-2	28
-3	0	0	0	1	-10	102
-2	0	0	-1	4	-32	326
-1	0	1	-2	12	-104	1085
1	1	-1	5	-40	399	-4524
2	0	-1	7	-61	648	-7661
3	0	-1	9	-93	1070	-13257
4	0	-1	12	-140	1750	-22955
5	0	-1	15	-206	2821	-39315
6	0	-1	19	-296	4450	-66213
7	0	-1	23	-416	6868	-109367

This agrees with the results of Aganagic, Klemm and Vafa.